Studies on structural, mechanical and erosive wear properties of ZA-27 alloy-based micro-nanocomposites

Journal:	<i>Proc. IMechE Part L: Journal of Materials: Design and Applications</i>
Manuscript ID	JMDA-20-0525
Manuscript Type:	Original article
Date Received/Accepted:	18 December 2020 / 26 January 2021
Complete List of Authors:	Vencl, Aleksandar; Mechanical Engineering Faculty, University of Belgrade, Tribology Laboratory Kandeva, Mara; Faculty of Industrial Technology, Technical University of Sofia Zadorozhnaya, Elena; South Ural State University, Motor transport Svoboda, Petr; Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Brno University of Technology Michalec, Michal; Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Brno University of Technology Milivojević, Aleksandar; University of Belgrade – Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Engineering Materials and Welding, Tribology, Fuels and Combustion Trdan, Uros; Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Ljubljana
Keywords:	ZA-27 alloy, micro-nanocomposites, compocasting, microstructure, hardness, erosive wear
Abstract:	Metal matrix nanocomposites represent relatively new class of material, which are still extensively investigated. However, most of the studies are devoted to aluminium- or magnesium-based nanocomposites and only a few to zinc alloy base nanocomposites, and even fewer to zinc alloy base micro-nanocomposites. In addition, most of the tribological studies investigate adhesive or abrasive wear resistance, whereas studies of erosive wear resistance lag well behind. It was previously shown that the presence of nanoparticles in ZA-27 alloy-based nanocomposites led to slightly increase of erosive wear resistance, so the aim was to produce micro-nanocomposites that will retain the positive effect of nanoparticles and obtain improvements due to the addition of microparticles together with nanoparticles. The ZA-27 alloy-based micro-nanocomposites were reinforced with 3 wt. % Al ₂ O ₃ microparticles (particle size approx. 36 µm) and with four different amounts (0.3, 0.5, 0.7 and 1 wt. %) of Al ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles (particle size 20 – 30 nm). Tested materials were produced by the compocasting process, with mechanical alloying pre-processing. Solid particle erosive wear testing, with particle impact angle of 90°, showed that all micro-nanocomposites had significantly increased wear resistance in comparison to the reference material.

This is a pre-print of an article published in Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part L: Journal of Materials: Design and Applications. The final authenticated version is available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1464420721994870>

Studies on structural, mechanical and erosive wear properties of ZA-27 alloy-based micro-nanocomposites

Aleksandar Venc^{1,2}, Mara Kande^{3,2}, Elena Zadorozhnaya², Petr Svoboda⁴, Michal Michalec⁴, Aleksandar Milivojević⁴, Uroš Trdan⁵

¹ University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Kraljice Marije 16, 11120 Belgrade 35, Serbia; avenc@mas.bg.ac.rs; amilivojevic@mas.bg.ac.rs

² South Ural State University, Lenin prospekt 76, 454080 Chelyabinsk, Russia; elena-nea@rambler.ru

³ Faculty of Industrial Technology, Technical University of Sofia, 8 Kliment Ohridski Blvd, 1000 Sofia, Bulgaria; kandevam@gmail.com

⁴ Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Brno University of Technology, Technická 2896/2, 616 69 Brno, Czech Republic; petr.svoboda@vut.cz; michal.michalec@vut.cz

⁵ Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Ljubljana, Aškerčeva 6, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia; uros.trdan@fs.uni-lj.si

Abstract: Metal matrix nanocomposites represent relatively new class of material, which are still extensively investigated. However, most of the studies are devoted to aluminium- or magnesium-based nanocomposites and only a few to zinc alloy base nanocomposites, and even fewer to zinc alloy base micro-nanocomposites. In addition, most of the tribological studies investigate adhesive or abrasive wear resistance, whereas studies of erosive wear resistance lag well behind. It was previously shown that the presence of nanoparticles in ZA-27 alloy-based nanocomposites led to slightly increase of erosive wear resistance, so the aim was to produce micro-nanocomposites that will retain the positive effect of nanoparticles and obtain improvements due to the addition of microparticles together with nanoparticles. The ZA-27 alloy-based micro-nanocomposites were reinforced with 3 wt. % Al_2O_3 microparticles (particle size approx. 36 μm) and with four different amounts (0.3, 0.5, 0.7 and 1 wt. %) of Al_2O_3 nanoparticles (particle size 20 – 30 nm). Tested materials were produced by the compocasting process, with mechanical alloying pre-processing. Solid particle erosive wear testing, with particle impact angle of 90°, showed that all micro-nanocomposites had significantly increased wear resistance in comparison to the reference material.

Keywords: ZA-27 alloy, micro-nanocomposites, compocasting, microstructure, hardness, erosive wear

1. Introduction

In recent years, extensive research efforts have been made to develop nanocomposite materials by using different ingot metallurgy (casting) processes. Most of these studies were related to development of nanocomposites with aluminium^{1–3} or magnesium^{4,5} alloy base and with the addition of ceramic nanoreinforcements. On the other hand, there are much less studies focused on nanocomposites with zinc alloys base,^{6–9} despite the fact that there are numerous research activities related to development of such microcomposites.^{10–12} The most frequently used zinc alloy base is ZA-27, a zinc-aluminium alloy with relatively high aluminium content,¹³ whereas for the production of microcomposites different secondary phases were used, e.g. SiC , Al_2O_3 and other ceramic particles,^{10–12} graphite particles¹⁴ and short glass fibres.¹⁵

The ZA-27 alloy is a commercial casting alloy, which can be found in a wide range of applications, particularly in automotive industry due to the high strength to weight ratio.¹⁶ Due to its relatively high adhesive wear resistance, it has been frequently used in sliding bearings and bushings.¹⁷ Wide solidification interval, between liquidus and solidus temperature, allows processing of ZA-27 alloy in the semi-solid state,¹⁸ i.e. production of the composites with ZA-27 alloy base by the compocasting process.¹⁹ Compocasting process enables production of relatively cheap and quality metal matrix composites (MMCs) with a non-dendritic matrix structure. This process is carried out at substantially lower temperatures compared to the e.g. high-pressure die casting, thereby enabling energy savings, lower emissions and extended tool life. In addition, it is possible to obtain near net shape castings that can be later processed by stir casting, squeeze casting²⁰ or by extrusion.²¹

Nowadays, compocasting process is also used for the production of metal matrix nanocomposites (MMnCs). Unlike MMCs in which the increased strength is accompanied by a decreased ductility (compared to the matrix alloy), the addition of nanoparticles in MMnCs lead to the beneficial increase of both strength and ductility, compared to the metal matrix. In order to further improve the properties of MMCs and/or MMnCs, research activities have also been focused on the production of composite materials that contain both micro- and nanoparticles.^{22,23} However, despite considerable progress in this area, little attention has been paid to the production and characterization of zinc alloys-based micro-nanocomposites. Hence, the aim of this paper was to investigate the production possibility of various ZA-27 alloy-based micro-nanocomposites through the compocasting process, as well as to analyse structural, mechanical and erosive wear properties of the obtained composites.

2. Experimental details

2.1 Materials

Matrix material was zinc-aluminium alloy ZA-27, with the chemical composition according to the ASTM standard.¹³ Type, size and amount of reinforcement particles used for obtaining of the microcomposite and micro-nanocomposites are shown in Table 1. The investigated microcomposite (composite with the addition of Al_2O_3 microparticles only) was produced by the compocasting process on the apparatus, which is described elsewhere.²⁴ Micro-nanocomposites (composites with the addition of Al_2O_3 microparticles and Al_2O_3 nanoparticles) were produced using the same process and apparatus, whereas the mechanical alloying of ceramic nanoparticles with ZA-27 alloy metal chips was applied beforehand. It was applied in order to reduce the formation of the Al_2O_3 nanoparticle cluster agglomerations in the matrix. Before this pre-processing, the ZA-27 alloy metal chips were thoroughly washed in trichloroethylene and then in ethanol for degreasing, while the Al_2O_3 nanoparticles were washed in ethanol before and heated to 400 °C in order to remove the moisture and contaminations. The mechanical alloying parameters were similar as in our previous study.²⁵ The only difference is in metal chips-to-nanoparticles weight ratio (2:1 vs. 3:1). After mechanical alloying, the mixture of ZA-27 alloy metal chips and Al_2O_3 nanoparticles was mixed with Al_2O_3 microparticles. The resulting mixture was homogenized for 60 minutes in a mixer without alumina balls.

Table 1. Designation of the produced composites and specification of used reinforcements

Composite designation	Reinforcement amount, wt. %	
	Al_2O_3 microparticles (approx. 36 μm)	Al_2O_3 nanoparticles (20 – 30 nm)
Micro	3	–
MN-0.3		0.3
MN-0.5		0.5
MN-0.7		0.7
MN-1.0		1.0

Compocasting process started with the matrix alloy overheating at 550 °C, and cleaning of the slag. Afterwards, the temperature of the melt was reduced to 500 °C, followed by mixing of the melt with a stirrer at 250 rpm. Infiltration of the mixture, obtained in mechanical alloying process, started at this temperature and lasted for 3 minutes. After the infiltration, mixing of the melt at increased rate of 500 rpm lasted for 5 minutes. Then, with continued mixing, the temperature of the melt was reduced further to 465 °C (cooling rate of 5 °C/min). Additional mixing at this temperature was continued for 8 minutes, after which the melt was poured into preheated mould (at 480 °C) made of steel. Reference material was ZA-27 alloy, produced by thixocasting (designated as ZA-TX). The parameters of thixocasting and compocasting process were similar, for the purpose of comparison. The matrix alloy was first overheated at 550 °C, and the slag was cleaned. After that, the temperature of the melt was reduced to 500 °C, followed by mixing of the melt with a stirrer at 500 rpm, for 5 minutes. Then, with continued mixing, the temperature of the melt is reduced further to 465 °C (cooling rate of 5 °C/min). Additional mixing at this temperature was continued

for 8 minutes, after which the melt was poured into preheated mould (at 480 °C) made of steel. At the end of producing process, all samples (ZA-27, as well as, composites samples) were hot-pressed (350 °C and 250 MPa).

2.2. Methods of characterization

Plate-like samples (15 × 15 × 6 mm) were postulated for microstructural examinations on the scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Prior to SEM observations, the sample surfaces were successively grinded with the P80, P360 and P600 grit SiC abrasive papers and afterwards polished with the paste containing Al₂O₃ microparticles (particle size 1 – 5 µm). Hardness measurements (Vickers macro- and microhardness) were performed on plate-like samples (30 × 15 × 6 mm) that were used for erosive wear tests. Macrohardness (HV 5) was determined for the purpose of comparison with our previous studies,^{26,27} while the microhardness (HV 0.5) was determined in order to diminish the influence of porosity and other defects. For each material, measurements of both hardness were repeated at least five times and an average value with a standard deviation was calculated to obtain reproducibility of the results.

Erosive wear tests were carried out on plate-like samples (30 × 15 × 6 mm) using the jet nozzle type solid particle erosion equipment, exposed to air, at room conditions. Detail description of the apparatus and the test procedure are presented elsewhere.^{25,28} Parameters used in the erosive wear testing were the same for all tested samples (Table 2), and also, for the purpose of comparison, the same as in our previous study.²⁵ Used solid particles had sharp edges and irregular morphology, and they were dried in an oven before the tests, to remove any remaining moisture. Wear was calculated as a difference between the initial mass of the sample and its mass at the end of test. For this purpose, electronic balance with accuracy of 0.1 mg was used. Calculation of the wear rate was done by dividing mass loss of the sample material by the mass of applied solid particles material during the test, which was 500 g. Cleaning and degreasing of the samples were done before and after the testing. At least three replicate tests were performed for each material. Worn surfaces of samples were analysed with SEM, after the testing.

Table 2. Parameters used in the erosive wear testing

Test parameter	Value
Solid particles material	Black corundum (Al ₂ O ₃)
Maximum size of the particles	630 µm
Air stream pressure	0.2 MPa
Particles flow	167 g/min
Particles impact angle	90°
Distance between the sample and the nozzle	10 mm
Duration of the test	3 minutes

3. Results and discussion

The microstructure of the thixocasted ZA-27 alloy is non-dendritic, and regions of α phase (dark gray areas), α + η phase mixture (middle gray areas) and η phase (light gray areas) can be noticed (Fig. 1). The regions of α phase and α + η phase mixture form elliptical microconstituents. Transformation of dendritic to non-dendritic structure occurred under the influence of shear forces during mixing of the ZA-27 alloy semi-solid melt. According to the Al-Zn phase diagram,¹⁶ solidification of conventional cast ZA-27 alloy begins with the formation of α phase nuclei. The growth of the nuclei in the melt during solidification results in formation of α phase particles. At peritectic temperature, the α phase particles (dendrites) react with the melt, and high temperature β phase is formed at edges of the α phase particles. The β phase is unstable at lower temperatures.²⁹ During further cooling, the β phase transforms into the α + η phase mixture so that the α phase and the α + η phase mixture form a dendritic shape microconstituents.

Figure 1. Microstructure of thixocasted ZA-27 alloy, SEM: (a) general view and (b) α phase formed by deagglomeration of large α phase region

In the conventionally casted structure, when the process of solidification takes place without the influence of external forces, the α phase formats the dendrite cores, while the $\alpha + \eta$ phase mixture is present in the proximity of dendrites. The η phase is the interdendritic phase. Due to the influence of the shear forces during mixing (thixocasting process), the α phase (dendrites) are transformed into a non-dendritic shape (deformed ellipses). During thixocasting process, the cooling time of the semi-solid melt in the preheated mould was longer, which resulted in a prolonged peritectic reaction and expanded regions of $\alpha + \eta$ phase mixtures (Fig. 1). Agglomeration and deagglomeration of primary particles of the solid phase occur under the influence of shear forces during mixing of semi-solid melts.³⁰ Large regions of α phase that are formed by agglomeration of smaller elliptic α phase regions can be seen in Figure 1a, while regions of α phase formed by deagglomeration of large α phase region are clearly visible in Figure 1b.

Microstructures of all micro-nanocomposites were very similar (Figs. 2 and 3). General view of the microstructure (Fig. 2a) confirms that the Al_2O_3 microparticles are placed in the regions of $\alpha + \eta$ phase mixture, and that the structure of micro-nanocomposites matrix is the same as the structure of thixocasted ZA-27 alloy. The distribution of Al_2O_3 microparticles (particle size approx. $36 \mu\text{m}$) is analysed on higher magnification image (Fig. 2b). A good mechanical bonding between the incorporated Al_2O_3 microparticles and the metal matrix, with low content of porosity and without contact between the particles was obtained. This is very significant for achieving good mechanical properties, as well as high erosive wear resistance. Groups of Al_2O_3 nanoparticle clusters (denoted with white arrows) can also be noticed on Figure 2b.

Figure 2. Microstructure of micro-nanocomposite MN-0.3, SEM: (a) general view, (b) microparticles distribution

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

The distribution of Al_2O_3 nanoparticles (particle size 20 – 30 nm) is analysed on higher magnification images (Fig. 3). The Al_2O_3 nanoparticles are present in the form of agglomerated (Figs. 3a and b) or more or less uniformly distributed clusters (Fig. 3c). The presence of significant microporosity in the structure of micro-nanocomposites is not visible, although micropores can be noticed near some Al_2O_3 microparticles. There is no strict relationship between the amount of Al_2O_3 nanoparticles and microstructure of the produced micro-nanocomposites, i.e. the structure mainly depends on the production parameters and their control.

Figure 3. Microstructure of micro-nanocomposites (nanoparticle clusters distribution), SEM: (a) micro-nanocomposite MN-0.3, (b) micro-nanocomposite MN-0.5 and (c) micro-nanocomposite MN-1.0

Example of Al_2O_3 nanoparticles agglomeration, composed of several small and large clusters, is clearly visible on Figure 3a (denoted with white arrow). These agglomerations and Al_2O_3 nanoparticle clusters are mainly randomly distributed within the microstructure. Sometime, they are placed between ceramic microparticles (Fig. 3b), which may prevent appropriate bonding between the microparticles and the matrix. In some cases, the presence of cracks in ceramic microparticles is also evident (denoted with rectangle on Fig. 3b). These microcracks probably appeared due to thermal stresses, which occurred during the cooling of the composite mixture. Their presence adversely affects the mechanical and erosive wear properties of the produced micro-nanocomposites. On the other hand, uniform distribution of the Al_2O_3 nanoparticle clusters and their favourable shape and size, should have a positive effect. Such example can clearly be observed in Figure 3c (denoted with white arrow), where Al_2O_3 nanoparticles clusters are circular, with size up to 2 μm , and uniformly distributed within the $\alpha + \eta$ phase mixture.

3.2 Hardness

The macro- and microhardness results presented in Figures 4 and 5 confirm good repeatability of the results, where calculated standard deviations were below 8 %. The presented hardness values are lower than that obtained in our previous studies.^{26,27} Also, the prescribed values of hardness for sand/die cast ZA-27 alloy are 113/119 HB.¹³ Relatively lower hardness was probably obtained due to increase in the preheating temperature of the steel mould (from 400 to 480 °C), and associated longer cooling time, i.e. smaller cooling rate of the samples. In addition, a change in the composting process in the mixing part could lead to loss of magnesium, which also could have diminishing effect on the hardness. As expected and as showed in some previous studies,^{31,32} microhardness values, which were measured with lower load, were slightly higher than macrohardness values.

Figure 4. Macrohardness values and corresponding standard deviations (SD) of tested materials

Figure 5. Microhardness values and corresponding standard deviations (SD) of tested materials

All micro-nanocomposites achieved more-or-less similar hardness values, which were rather expected due to their similar microstructures. Although higher amount of nanoparticles should result in higher hardness of the nanocomposites,¹¹ it should be noted that the hardness of composites also strongly depends on the interface between reinforcements and matrix as well as on distribution of the reinforcements.³³ Positive effect of higher amount of nanoparticles on hardness diminishes with higher content of porosity. Microstructural examinations have confirmed favourable distribution of micro- and nanoparticles in the micro-nanocomposite with the lowest amount of the nanoparticles. The agglomerations of nanoparticles clusters are placed in the regions between the microparticles and contact between the nanoparticles and microparticles was not detected (Fig. 3a). Hence, lower porosity was

1
2 achieved. On the other hand, with higher amount of nanoparticles more and more agglomerations of
3 nanoparticle clusters are in contact with microparticles (Fig. 3b). Contact between the nanoparticles and
4 microparticles led to the discontinuity of the microparticle/matrix interface, which adversely affected the
5 load transfer from the matrix to the reinforcements and thereby decreased the hardness. In addition,
6 microcracks within the microparticles were also noticed. Regardless of the nanoparticle amount, all micro-
7 nanocomposites achieved higher hardness than thixocasted ZA-27 alloy. The average percentage increase
8 of macrohardness was around 30 %, which is much higher than in the case when only nanoparticles were
9 incorporated in the matrix alloy (around 9 %).^{26,27} This is probably due to the combination of different
10 reinforcement mechanisms, i.e. difference in coefficients of thermal expansion (microparticles) and
11 Orowan dislocation strengthening mechanism (nanoparticles).
12
13

14 **3.3 Erosive wear properties**
15

16
17 The wear rate results of the tested materials obtained by erosive wear testing are shown in Figure 6. The
18 standard deviation values of the erosive wear rates indicate that the repeatability of the results was
19 acceptable, i.e. around 10 %. The wear rate of thixocasted ZA-27 alloy (ZA-TX) is very similar to the one
20 obtained in our previous study,²⁵ although the hardness of the same material in that study was higher. This
21 can be explained by the fact that the hardness is directly related to erosive wear rate only for annealed
22 pure metals in case when small impact angles are applied.³⁴ Indeed, erosion is a complex phenomenon. For
23 ductile metals it is impossible to use a single mechanical property or a simple combination of a few
24 mechanical properties to predict its erosive wear resistance.³⁵
25

42 Figure 6. Erosive wear rate values and corresponding standard deviations (SD) of tested materials
43

44
45 Results in Figure 6 clearly depict that the highest wear rate was obtained with the microcomposite
46 material (composite with the addition of 3 wt. % Al₂O₃ microparticles only). Despite the fact that this
47 composite had similar hardness as the thixocasted ZA-27 alloy, its wear rate was slightly higher and could
48 even increase with higher amount of Al₂O₃ microparticles. Especially since a harder MMCs can show higher
49 erosion wear than a softer material.³⁶ Although MMCs mostly experience higher abrasive and adhesive
50 wear resistance than the matrix material,^{37,38} their erosive wear behaviour is less predictable. Moreover, it
51 has been shown that the erosive wear resistance of the aluminium alloy-based composites, carbide-metal
52 composites, cermet, nickel-chromium superalloy composites and steel-based composites is often lower
53 than that of the matrix material.^{35,39-41} Reduced erosive wear resistance of the MMCs reinforced with
54 higher amount of micro-size ceramic particles is usually connected with significant lowering of the ductility.
55 Hence, lower ductility results in reduced plastic deformation ability, which enhances the possibility of
56 microfracture upon impact of the erosive particles.⁴²
57

58 All micro-nanocomposites (composites with the addition of Al₂O₃ microparticles and Al₂O₃ nanoparticles)
59 showed lower wear rate than the thixocasted ZA-27 alloy. The average reduction of wear rate compared to
60 the reference material was in this case relatively high, i.e. 53 %. This suggests that the simultaneous
addition of micro- and nanoparticles had a major, beneficial effect on erosive wear rate. This is most likely

associated with the collateral effect of dual, i.e. micro- and nanoparticles reinforcement of the matrix material. Addition of micro- or nanoparticles separately does not show a strong influence on erosive wear rate reduction. For example, the addition of Al_2O_3 nanoparticles reduces wear rate only by approximately 6 %, ²⁵ while the addition of Al_2O_3 microparticles even increases the erosive wear rate (Fig. 6). In our previous study, ²⁶ it was shown that the addition of Al_2O_3 nanoparticles somehow increases hardness and compressive yield strength through the enhanced dislocation density strengthening mechanism. Most probably the addition of nanoparticles also increases the ductility of micro-nanocomposites, which is very important in erosive wear test with particles impact angle of 90° . This property combined with increased strength (due to the presence of ceramic microparticles) resulted in lower wear rate of micro-nanocomposites. Results of this study confirm similar wear rates of all micro-nanocomposites, which is in accordance with obtained microstructures and hardness. Theory suggests that the wear rate should be lower in micro-nanocomposites with higher amount of nanoparticles, but it is not the case mainly due to the structural imperfections that were noticed in all micro-nanocomposites (Figs. 2 and 3). Poor distribution of nanoparticles (agglomerations of clusters) and positioning around microparticles, as well as presence of cracked microparticles had a detrimental effect on erosive wear rate/resistance.

The SEM analyses of worn surfaces depicted in Figure 7, which were performed after testing, show typical erosive wear appearance of all worn surfaces. ⁴³ This generally includes several erosive wear mechanisms that usually occur simultaneously, i.e. microcutting and microploughing; surface cracking; extrusion of material at the exit of impact crater; surface or subsurface fatigue cracks due to the repeated impact; formation of thin platelets due to the extrusion and forging by repeated impact; and formation of platelets by a backward extrusion process. ³⁴ Plastic deformation of the surface with the occurrence of extruded material around the large indents and its smearing by subsequent impacts of particles, presence of scratches and grooves caused by ploughing action of particles which additionally caused progressive degradation of the surface with small and large wear debris can be observed on all samples. However, greater presence of surface cracks and presence of embedded erosive particles were not noticed. Relatively large size of the erosive particles ($\leq 630 \mu\text{m}$) and other test parameters prevented embedment of the erosive particles.

Figure 7. Worn surfaces of tested materials, SEM: (a) thixocasted ZA-27 alloy, (b) microcomposite, (c) micro-nanocomposite MN-0.3 and (d) micro-nanocomposite MN-1; all images are taken with the same magnification (1000 ×)

Differences in the appearance of the worn surface between tested materials are, first of all, in the severity of plastic deformation. This was more intensive at thixocasted ZA-27 alloy and microcomposite. In addition, the presence of relatively large wear debris and surface microcracks are also observed on the worn surface of thixocasted ZA-27 alloy (Fig. 7a). Formation of plastically deformed and extruded material is noticed around the large indents (craters). There are also some small indents and scratches, which most likely occurred during the impact of small and sharp erosive particles or its fragments (microcutting). Compared to the thixocasted ZA-27 alloy, indents on the worn surface of microcomposite are bigger and more massive (Fig. 7b). In addition, presence of large wear debris in the form of plates and even brittle fracture is noticed only on the worn surface of microcomposite.

Worn surfaces of all micro-nanocomposites were very similar (Figs. 7c and d). It agrees with the wear rates of these composites (Fig. 6), which all achieved similar values. Further, no large wear debris could be observed on their worn surfaces, in contrast to thixocasted ZA-27 alloy and microcomposite. On the other hand, presence of thin plates and grooves caused by ploughing action of erosive particles and ductile fracture (microploughing) were noticed only at worn surfaces of micro-nanocomposites. Formation of thin platelets could be identified as one of the previously mentioned erosive wear mechanism,³⁴ i.e. as “formation of thin platelets due to the extrusion and forging by repeated impact”. In addition, since the particles impact angle was 90°, it is very presumable that plastic deformation and work hardening of the thin surface layer, with induced compressive residual stresses, occurred.⁴² All this provides a possible explanation for significantly higher erosive wear resistance of all micro-nanocomposites, compared to the thixocasted ZA-27 alloy and microcomposite.

4. Conclusions

In order to reduce the undesired formation of nanoparticle clusters in the matrix alloy, mechanical alloying of ceramic nanoparticles with a scrap of the matrix alloy was applied before the compocasting process. In such way, complex microparticles, more suitable for infiltration in the semi-solid matrix alloy during the compocasting process, were formed.

Microstructures of all micro-nanocomposites were very similar, i.e. there was no obvious relationship between the amount of Al_2O_3 nanoparticles and the microstructure of the produced micro-nanocomposites. The Al_2O_3 nanoparticles appeared in the form of relatively uniformly distributed clusters. In most cases, these clusters were not in direct contact with Al_2O_3 microparticles, which would prevent the appropriate bonding between the microparticles and the matrix. This is an important feature to obtain good mechanical properties and higher erosive wear resistance. All micro-nanocomposites achieved more-or-less similar hardness values. Regardless the amount of nanoparticles, hardness of micro-nanocomposites was higher than that of thixocasted ZA-27 alloy. The average percentage increase of macrohardness was around 30 %.

All micro-nanocomposites achieved similar erosive wear resistance, which was much higher than that of thixocasted ZA-27 alloy. The average reduction of wear rate was 53 %. Higher erosive wear rates were obtained due to the dual effect of simultaneously added micro- and nanoparticles. Most probably microparticles mainly increased strength and nanoparticles prevent lowering or even increase the ductility of the matrix material. In addition, SEM analyses showed that micro-nanocomposites had a specific worn surface appearance, suggesting that forging by repeated impact and work hardening of the surface most likely occurred.

Acknowledgement

This work has been performed as a part of activities within the project 451-03-68/2020-14/200105, supported by the Republic of Serbia, Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development, and its financial help is gratefully acknowledged. Mara Kandeveva acknowledges the project ДН 07/28-15.12.2016, funded by the National Science Fund of the Ministry of Education and Science, Bulgaria. Petr Svoboda and Michal Michalec acknowledge the project FSI-S-17-4415, funded by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of Czech Republic. Uroš Trdan acknowledges the financial support from the state budget by the Slovenian Research Agency Programme No. P2-0270. Collaboration through the CEEPUS network CIII-BG-0703, COST action CA15102 and bilateral Project 337-00-00111/2020-09/50 and BI-RS/20-21-047 between Republic of Serbia and Republic of Slovenia is also acknowledged.

5 – 4

References

1. Sajjadi SA, Torabi Parizi M, Ezatpour HR, et al. Fabrication of A356 composite reinforced with micro and nano Al_2O_3 particles by a developed compocasting method and study of its properties. *J Alloys Compd* 2012; 511: 226–231.
2. Karbalaee Akbari M, Baharvandi HR and Mirzaee O. Nano-sized aluminum oxide reinforced commercial casting A356 alloy matrix: Evaluation of hardness, wear resistance and compressive strength focusing on particle distribution in aluminum matrix. *Compos Part B: Eng* 2013; 52: 262–268.
3. Karbalaee Akbari M, Baharvandi HR and Mirzaee O. Fabrication of nano-sized Al_2O_3 reinforced casting aluminum composite focusing on preparation process of reinforcement powders and evaluation of its properties. *Compos Part B: Eng* 2013; 55: 426–432.
4. Hassan SF and Gupta M. Development of high performance magnesium nanocomposites using solidification processing route. *Mater Sci Technol* 2004; 20: 1383–1388.
5. Lan J, Yang Y and Li X. Microstructure and microhardness of SiC nanoparticles reinforced magnesium composites fabricated by ultrasonic method. *Mater Sci Eng A* 2004; 386: 284–290.
6. Swiderska-Sroda A, Kalisz G, Grzanka E, et al. SiC-Zn nanocomposites obtained using the high-pressure infiltration technique. *Solid State Phenom* 2006; 114: 257–262.

7. Karimzadeh F, Enayati MH and Tavoosi M. Synthesis and characterization of Zn/Al₂O₃ nanocomposite by mechanical alloying. *Mater Sci Eng A* 2008; 486: 45–48.
8. De Cicco MP, Li X and Turng L-S. Semi-solid casting (SSC) of zinc alloy nanocomposites. *J Mater Process Technol* 2009; 209: 5881–5885.
9. Li ZG. Fabrication of in situ TiB₂ particulates reinforced zinc alloy matrix composite. *Mater Lett* 2014; 121: 1–4.
10. Sharma SC, Girish BM, Somashekar DR, et al. Sliding wear behaviour of zircon particles reinforced ZA-27 alloy composite materials. *Wear* 1999; 224: 89–94.
11. Sastry S, Krishna M and Uchil J. A study on damping behaviour of aluminite particulate reinforced ZA-27 alloy metal matrix composites. *J Alloys Compd* 2001; 314: 268–274.
12. Sharma SC, Sastry S and Krishna M. Effect of aging parameters on the micro structure and properties of ZA-27/aluminite metal matrix composites. *J Alloys Compd* 2002; 346: 292–301.
13. ASTM B 86:2013. Standard specification for zinc and zinc-aluminum (ZA) alloy foundry and die castings.
14. Seah KHW, Sharma SC and Girish BM. Mechanical properties of cast ZA-27/graphite particulate composites. *Mater Des* 1995; 16: 271–275.
15. Sharma SC, Girish BM, Satish BM, et al. Aging characteristics of short glass fiber reinforced ZA-27 alloy composite materials. *J Mater Eng Perform* 1998; 7: 747–750.
16. Gervais E, Barnhurst RJ and Loong CA. An analysis of selected properties of ZA alloys. *JOM* 1985; 37: 43–47.
17. Rac A, Babić M and Ninković R. Theory and practice of Zn-Al sliding bearings. *J Balk Tribol Assoc* 2001; 7: 234–240.
18. Chen TJ, Hao Y and Li YD. Effects of processing parameters on microstructure of thixoformed ZA27 alloy. *Mater Des* 2007; 28: 1279–1287.
19. Karni N, Barkay GB and Bamberger M. Structure and properties of metal-matrix composite. *J Mater Sci Lett* 1994; 13: 541–544.
20. Ribes H and Suéry M. Effect of particle oxidation on age hardening of Al-Si-Mg/SiC composites. *Scr Metall* 1989; 23: 705–709.
21. Rahmani Fard R and Akhlaghi F. Effect of extrusion temperature on the microstructure and porosity of A356-SiC_p composites. *J Mater Process Technol* 2007; 187–188: 433–436.
22. Rajmohan T, Palanikumar K and Arumugam S. Synthesis and characterization of sintered hybrid aluminium matrix composites reinforced with nanocopper oxide particles and microsilicon carbide particles. *Compos Part B: Eng* 2014; 59: 43–49.
23. Karbalaee Akbari M, Baharvandi HR and Shirvanimoghaddam K. Tensile and fracture behavior of nano/micro TiB₂ particle reinforced casting A356 aluminum alloy composites. *Mater Des* 2015; 66A: 150–161.
24. Vencel A, Bobić I, Jovanović MT, et al. Microstructural and tribological properties of A356 Al-Si alloy reinforced with Al₂O₃ particles. *Tribol Lett* 2008; 32: 159–170.
25. Vencel A, Bobić I, Bobić B, et al. Erosive wear properties of ZA-27 alloy-based nanocomposites: Influence of type, amount and size of nanoparticle reinforcements. *Friction* 2019; 7: 340–350.
26. Bobić B, Vencel A, Ružić J, et al. Microstructural and basic mechanical characteristics of ZA27 alloy-based nanocomposites synthesized by mechanical milling and compocasting. *J Compos Mater* 2019; 53: 2033–2046.
27. Vencel A, Bobić I and Kandeva M. Influence of small addition of nano particles on mechanical and erosive wear properties of zinc-based nanocomposites. In: *International Conference on "Trends in Nanotribology 2017" (TiN17)*, Trieste, Italy, 26–30 June 2017, posters.
28. Vencel A, Kandeva M and Bobić I. Influence of nanoparticles amount on erosive wear properties of ZA-27 alloy-based dual-size composites. In: *1st International Conference on Technological Innovations in Metals Engineering (TIME)*, Haifa, Israel, 30–31 May 2018, p.48.
29. Murphy S and Savaskan T. Metallography of zinc-25% Al based alloys in the as-cast and aged conditions. *Pract Metall* 1987; 24: 204–221.
30. Flemings MC. Behavior of metal alloys in the semisolid state. *Metall Trans A* 1991; 22: 957–981.
31. Vencel A, Mrdak M and Hvizdos P. Tribological properties of WC-Co/NiCrBSi and Mo/NiCrBSi plasma spray coatings under boundary lubrication conditions. *Tribol Ind* 2017; 39: 183–191.
32. Vencel A, Vučetić F, Bobić B, et al. Tribological characterization in dry sliding conditions of compocasted hybrid A356/SiC_p/Gr_p composites with graphite macroparticles. *Int J Adv Manuf Technol* 2019; 100: 2135–2146.
33. Rohatgi PK, Liu Y and Ray S. Friction and wear of metal-matrix composites. In: Blau PJ (ed) *ASM Handbook, Volume 18: Friction, Lubrication, and Wear Technology*. Metals Park: ASM International, 1992, pp.801–811.
34. Zum Gahr K-H. *Microstructure and wear of materials*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1987.
35. Liu X. *A study on the erosion and erosion-oxidation of metal matrix composites*. PhD thesis, Helsinki University of Technology, Finland, 2003.
36. Peat T, Galloway A, Toumpis A, et al. The erosion performance of particle reinforced metal matrix composite coatings produced by co-deposition cold gas dynamic spraying. *Appl Surf Sci* 2017; 396: 1623–1634.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
37. Venci A. Tribology of the Al-Si alloy based MMCs and their application in automotive industry. In: Magagnin L (ed) *Engineered Metal Matrix Composites: Forming Methods, Material Properties and Industrial Applications*. New York: Nova Science Publishers, 2012, pp.127–166.
38. Vučetić F, Veličković S, Milivojević A, et al. A review on tribological properties of microcomposites with ZA-27 alloy matrix. In: *15th International Conference on Tribology – SERBIATRIB '17*, Kragujevac, Serbia, 17–19 May 2017, pp.169–176.
39. Saravanan RA, Surappa MK and Pramila Bai BN. Erosion of A356 Al-SiC_p composites due to multiple particle impact. *Wear* 1997; 202: 154–164.
40. Miyazaki N and Funakura S. Solid particle erosion behavior of metal matrix composites. *J Compos Mater* 1996; 30: 1670–1682.
41. Ninham AJ and Levy AV. The erosion of carbide-metal composites. *Wear* 1988; 121: 347–361.
42. Wilson S and Ball A. Wear resistance of an aluminium matrix composite. In: *First South African Colloquium: The Engineering Development and Application of Composite Materials*, Johannesburg, South Africa, 23 July 1990, paper no. 5.
43. Laguna-Camacho JR, Vite-Torres M, Gallardo-Hernández EA, et al. Solid particle erosion on different metallic materials. In: Pihtili H (ed) *Tribology in Engineering*. Rijeka: InTech, 2013, pp.63–78.

Microstructure of thixocasted ZA-27 alloy, SEM: (a) general view and (b) α phase formed by deagglomeration of large α phase region

603x299mm (72 x 72 DPI)

Microstructure of micro-nanocomposite MN-0.3, SEM: (a) general view, (b) microparticles distribution

603x299mm (72 x 72 DPI)

Microstructure of micro-nanocomposites (nanoparticle clusters distribution), SEM: (a) micro-nanocomposite MN-0.3, (b) micro-nanocomposite MN-0.5 and (c) micro-nanocomposite MN-1.0

603x603mm (72 x 72 DPI)

Macrohardness values and corresponding standard deviations (SD) of tested materials

590x447mm (72 x 72 DPI)

Microhardness values and corresponding standard deviations (SD) of tested materials

590x447mm (72 x 72 DPI)

Erosive wear rate values and corresponding standard deviations (SD) of tested materials

590x445mm (72 x 72 DPI)

Worn surfaces of tested materials, SEM: (a) thixocasted ZA-27 alloy, (b) microcomposite, (c) micro-nanocomposite MN-0.3 and (d) micro-nanocomposite MN-1; all images are taken with the same magnification (1000 ×)

603x603mm (72 x 72 DPI)