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ABSTRACT

The interaction between liquid flow and solid boundary can result in cavitation formation when the local pressure drops below vaporization threshold. The cavitation dynamics does not depend only on basic geometry, but also on surface roughness, chemistry and wettability. From application point of view, controlling cavitation in fluid flows by surface functionalization is of great importance to avoid the unwanted effects of hydrodynamic cavitation (erosion, noise and vibrations). However, it could be also used for intensification of various physical and chemical processes. In this work, the surfaces of 10-mm stainless steel cylinders are laser textured in order to demonstrate how hydrodynamic cavitation behavior can be controlled by surface modification. The surface properties are modified by using a nanosecond (10–28 ns) fiber laser (wavelength of 1060 nm). In such a way, surfaces with different topographies and wettability were produced and tested in a cavitation tunnel at different cavitation numbers (1.0–2.6). Cavitation characteristics behind functionalized cylindrical surfaces were monitored simultaneously by high-speed visualization (20,000 fps) and high frequency pressure transducers. The results clearly show that cavitation characteristics differ significantly between different micro-structured surfaces. On some surfaces incipient cavitation is delayed and cavitation extent decreased in comparison with the reference – a highly polished cylinder. It is also shown that the increased surface wettability (i.e., hydrophilicity) delays the incipient cavitation.

1. Introduction

Cavitation is initiated by the formation of vapor cavities (bubbles or voids) that grow and collapse at multiple locations and can release large density of energy in short span of time. As a physical phenomenon, it is relatively well known and has been studied intensively since the end of the 19th century on behalf of avoiding, preventing or predicting cavitation on turbomachinery. On the one hand, the hydrodynamic cavitation may also result in desired effects. It has been shown [11,12] that the presence of gas exerts significant influence on cavitation dynamics and, consequently, its effects. It has been shown [11,12] that the presence of gas exponentially reduces erosion on the bulk surface, while Keller [6] empirically demonstrated the dependence of the cavitation scale effects on liquid quality and body geometry.

Due to high importance of the hydrodynamic cavitation on different engineering applications and its significant applicability, several authors have studied how cavitation and cavitation effects can be controlled (suppressed or increased) by changing solid-body geometry [5-7] and surface properties [8-10]. However, not only the body geometry and surface properties, but also liquid characteristics, such as viscosity, vapor pressure, surface tension, dissolved gasses, and other solid impurities importantly influence cavitation dynamics and, consequently, its effects. When hydrodynamic cavitation is developed due to increased flowrates, it goes through the following phases: (i) very first stage of the initial cavitation state (known also as incipient cavitation); (ii) the developed cavitation, which can be steady state (sheet cavitation) or oscillating (cloud cavitation) [13]; and (iii) the final stage (i.e.,
supercavitation), where the vapor phase overtakes a large volume of flow [14]. Since the main body geometry strongly influences on the developed and supercavitating state of cavitation, the body surface properties influence especially on incipient cavitation. Here, the surface should be considered as the outermost layer of a body that strongly influences the behavior of the interactive medium (i.e., liquid in case of cavitation) by its chemical and topographical properties.

Several authors already focused mainly on how surface topography (roughness) affects the hydrodynamic cavitation. One of the first studies on surface roughness was performed by Numachi et al. [15], where specimen profiles were prepared with various surface roughness in order to perform mutual comparison on basic cavitation characteristics. Surface roughness of profile varied within $R_s = 0.05–3.2 \, \mu m$. The authors reported that surface roughness advances incipient cavitation and tends to displace initial cavitation upstream. Billet et al. [16] showed, that surface roughness is an important consideration by scaling effects, where cavitation characteristics must be transferred from model to prototype geometry. One of the recent studies, where various surface roughness was compared, demonstrates that strong deviations in cavitation dynamics are formed by developed cloud cavitation [17]. Although the above-mentioned studies deal with cavitation characteristics on surfaces with various roughness, no study has been performed yet to investigate hydrodynamic cavitation on precisely defined surface topographies, induced by direct laser texturing (DLT).

Kwok et al. [18] reviewed laser surface engineering in terms of protecting materials against cavitation erosion. Various laser surface modification techniques can be used for achieving better surface resistance against cavitation erosion, such as laser transformation hardening, laser surface melting, laser surface alloying and others. These techniques were mainly used to deal with cavitation consequences, but DLT can also deal with cavitation formation and its characteristics. However, in case of DLT, the interaction between laser pulse and surface does not lead only to morphological (e.g., roughness) modifications but results also in surface chemistry modification [19-21]. This both influence surface wettability. Several studies [22-24] have shown that metallic surfaces immediately after DLT are in a (super)hydrophilic state that can be described by the saturated Wenzel regime [20,25]. But this state is not stable and hydrophobicity, or even superhydrophobicity (lotus effect) with contact angle above 150° and roll-off angles below 5° are developed if such surface is exposed to ambient conditions [22-24,26,27]. Due to this reason, the influence of the laser-textured surfaces on cavitation characteristics is much more complex as only roughness modifications, performed by conventional methods.

There are also some studies about the influence of surface wettability on cavitation behavior. In this context, Belova et al. [28,29] examined how surface hydrophilicity and hydrophobicity influence on cavitation bubbles formation, when using ultrasound induced cavitation device. Their results revealed that cavitation bubbles strongly avoid hydrophilic surfaces, which confirms that surface wettability also plays an important role by cavitation dynamics. Due to the wettability transition, DLT surfaces enable investigations of cavitation behavior on the surfaces with the same (micro) roughness, but different wettability. These aspects have not been appropriately addressed yet, although it seems that they can be used for controlling the cavitation behavior in fluid flows.

Therefore, the main aim of this work is to study, how properties of laser-textured surfaces influence on hydrodynamic cavitation dynamics, especially in initial stage by incipient cavitation. Due to this reason, we use 10-mm-long stainless-steel cylinders with diameter of 10 mm and their surfaces were modified by texturing of several patterns using a nanosecond fiber laser. The fiber laser was used since it offers a compact, robust and cost-effective approach appropriate also for industrial applications [23,30,31]. The surface wettability after laser texturing was evaluated by the apparent contact angle (ACA). Although the presented results have no ability to give an ultimate answer, how particular parameters of laser texturing influence cavitation behavior, they clearly show that this approach has significant potential for controlling cavitation and cavitation erosion. Thus, this work indicates that such an approach can offer a solution to many applications where controlling cavitation with DLT could be beneficial - from reducing cavitation aggressiveness to alleviate cavitation erosion on turbine machinery, to controlling cavitation conditions on devices designed to use cavitation phenomenon as an efficient treatment tool. Also in applications, where hydrodynamic cavitation is used as a treatment tool (water treatment [3-32], food processing [33,34], emulsion production [35,36] etc.), the erosive effects are usually not desired. Thus, it will be very useful if the negative aspects of cavitation erosion would be controlled by appropriate surface modifications.

2. Experimental set-up

Experiments were performed in a closed loop cavitation tunnel (Fig. 1) at the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Ljubljana. Preliminary experiments were performed by Perkovšek et al. [37]. The tunnel was filled by a tap water two days before actual measurements to stabilise its temperature. During the experiments, the water temperature equaled 22 °C and did not deviate more than 2 °C. Before and after the measurements the amount of dissolved oxygen was measured with O2 probe Intelectal LDO101 on Hach Lange HQ430D multimeter instrument. The amount of dissolved oxygen during the experiments equalled 7.6 mg/L ± 0.2 mg/L. The cavitation tunnel and the test section are designed in a way that any uncontrolled cavitation upstream the test section is avoided.

For water circulation in cavitation tunnel a 4.5 kW pump (1) is installed. This enables the variation of rotation frequency via frequency controller to control the flow rate. The water flows through the upstream reservoir (2) into the test section (3) and through the downstream reservoir (4) back into the pump. The main purpose of the two reservoirs is to eliminate the pump pulsation and to avoid potential small bubbles to enter the test section. The upstream reservoir can also be used to raise the fluid temperature with an electric heater installed, while the downstream reservoir enables a cooling system with separated cooling loop, connected to the tap water system. Two valves are installed upstream and downstream of the test section to enable the additional control of the flow rate as well as easy and fast disconnection of the test section from the main loop. The flowrate is monitored by an electromagnetic flowmeter (5). Since the system is closed, the water level in reservoirs does not vary and the measured flow equals to the flow in the test section. Temperature of the fluid is monitored by resistance temperature detector Pt100 (6), installed into the downstream reservoir (calibrating tests confirmed that the temperature in this reservoir equals to the temperature in the test section by the accuracy ± 0.1 °C), while pressure is monitored at the inlet and outlet.

![Fig. 1. Cavitation tunnel.](image-url)
of the test section by absolute and differential pressure transducers. The detailed description of the test section is shown in Fig. 2. It is made of a transparent acrylic glass, which enables to observe cavitation phenomena from different angles. The channel width and height equal 10 mm and 40 mm, respectively. Cavitation was observed on the 10-mm-long stainless-steel cylinders with diameter of 10 mm and modified surfaces by direct laser texturing (DLT). During the preliminary experiments, cylinders with different diameters were tested. The diameter of 10 mm was chosen to avoid influence of the bottom and top wall on the cavitation that is developed behind the cylinder, while still keeping as large cylinder surface as possible. The cylinders were installed horizontally, perpendicular to the flow direction and their centers coincident with the center of the vertical direction. They were tightly inserted into the channel from sidewall to sidewall in order to eliminate any gap between the sidewall and cylinder. Observed cavitation from the top view showed no influence due to sidewalls, since the cavitation was homogeneously distributed along the cylinder length. Static pressure was measured 80 mm upstream and 120 mm downstream from the center of the cylinder.

Experiments were performed sequentially under the same operating conditions (Table 1) for all six specimens, i.e., cylinders that are labeled S1-S6. The flowrates were chosen based on visual perception. Here, the initial flowrate (operating point B) determines the initial cavitation on the reference specimen (S1). Operating point A was added to cover the non-cavitation conditions as well. Flowrates, inlet pressures and spatial characteristics (operating point A) were added to cover the inception. Then, other measurements, the operating points were chosen based on visual perception. Here, the initial flowrate (operating point B) determines the initial cavitation on the reference specimen (S1). Operating point A was added to cover the non-cavitation conditions as well. Flowrates, inlet pressures and flow temperatures were monitored and controlled, to ensure the same cavitation number for all six specimens, i.e., cylinders that are labeled S1-S6. The pressure was measured 80 mm upstream and 120 mm downstream from the center of the cylinder.

As a reference sample, we used a highly-polished surface (S1). Additionally, we prepared four different DLT samples (S2-S5). They were textured by using a fiber laser (SPI Lasers, Ltd., G4, SP-620P-A-HS-A-Y), radiating pulses with a wavelength of 1060 nm and with variable pulse duration. The cylinders were mounted on the rotational stage with an angular resolution of 0.005° in a way that their symmetrical axis was aligned with the stage axis of the rotation. The top part of the cylinder surface was placed into the focus of an F-theta lens with a focal length of 163 mm (see Supplementary Fig. S1). The beam spot size (i.e., the beam waist diameter) on the surface equaled 38 μm. A scanning head (Raylase GmbH, SS-IE-10) was used to deliver laser pulses along the top surface of the cylinder in the x direction, parallel to the symmetrical axis of the cylinder.

We have chosen the following processing parameters to texture four different samples (details of processing parameters are collected in Supplementary Table S1):

- Dimpled sample (S2) was processed by drilling the holes in a hexagonal arrangement. The distance between the hole’s centers equaled 130 μm. The laser pulses were 10 ns long (Full Width at Half Maximum, FWHM) and average power equaled 19 W. The pulse repetition rate equaled 900 kHz, while each hole was drilled for 30 ms, resulting in 27,000 pulses.
- Velvet sample (S3) was textured by high overlapping of successive microchannels. Each microchannel was processed by one pass in the x direction with scanning velocity of 540 mm/s, pulse duration (FWHM) of 28 ns, pulse frequency 90 kHz and average power of 19 W. After each scan, the sample was rotated by an angle Δφ = 0.13°. Therefore, the distance between two scanning lines equals Δy = 11 μm.
- Oxidised sample (S4) was textured by using 10-ns-long pulses, pulse frequency of 100 kHz and average power of 3.7 W. Each line was processed by scanning velocity of 300 mm/s and the high overlapping of successive lines was achieved by small angle step of Δφ = 0.01° to ensure line separation of Δy = 0.9 μm.
- Waved sample (S5) was processed by pulses of 28 ns, pulse frequency of 90 kHz, scanning velocity of 540 mm/s and average power of 19 W. The angle step of Δφ = 0.77° resulted in scanning line separation of Δy = 67 μm.
- Grooved sample (S6) was textured by microchannels that were separated by Δy = 130 μm. Each channel was drilled by 10 passes of a laser beam across the x direction with scanning velocity of 540 mm/s. The same scanning speed, pulse duration and pulse frequency as in sample S5 was used.

### Table 1
Operating conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating point</th>
<th>Flowrate (L/min)</th>
<th>Inlet pressure (kPa)</th>
<th>Reynolds number</th>
<th>Cavitation number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>83 × 10³</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>89 × 10³</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>100 × 10³</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>111 × 10³</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>122 × 10³</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>133 × 10³</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Test section.
3. Results and discussion

3.1. Surface morphology

Surface morphology is revealed by using scanning electron microscope (SEM) and 3D optical Infinite-Focus Measuring (IFM) device. SEM images are shown in Fig. 3 (see also Supplementary Figs. S2–S10 for higher magnifications SEM images and SEM images acquired in tilted mode). The 3D surface structures are presented in Fig. 4 and the surface parameters are summarized in Table 2. The peak-to-peak amplitude (PPA) presents an average height between the valley and the peak over a profile curve, as schematically shown in Supplementary Fig. S12.

The surface roughness is evaluated by the average height of the selected area, i.e., the $S_a$ parameter (see Eq. (S7) in Supporting Information). To enable better comparison with other (existing and future) studies, the roughness of a profile $R_a$ is also provided in Table 2 and the methodology of its evaluation is revealed in Supporting Information. The reference, polished surface has surface roughness $S_a = 0.44 \pm 0.01 \, \mu m$ that increases by laser texturing, as expected. In case of dimpled surface, each hole was drilled by 27,000 pulses resulting in total energy of 0.57 J per spot. In this way, we textured the surfaces by holes having a diameter of 110 $\mu m$ and forming a hexagonal pattern with center-to-center distance of 130 $\mu m$. Average PPA of the holes equals $26 \pm 4 \, \mu m$ (see also Profile curve in Supplementary Fig. S12).

Samples S3-S6 were textured by leading the laser beam over parallel lines separated by different distances $\Delta y$. The oxidized surface was processed by the lowest pulse fluence of 3.3 J cm$^{-2}$. Thus, its morphology on a microscopic level has not significantly changed due to laser texturing. Its surface roughness ($S_a = 0.54 \pm 0.05 \, \mu m$) is just slightly higher as in case of the reference surface and the profile curve does not express significant periodicity (Supplementary Fig. S14). Instead, a thin layer of oxide formed over the whole surface. On contrary, higher pulse fluence was used for producing the velvet and the waved surface morphologies. These surfaces were textured by the same

![Fig. 3. SEM images of surfaces of the polished (reference) and the laser-textured cylinders.](image)

![Fig. 4. 3D morphology of the surfaces of the cylinders used in the experiments.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Peak-to-peak value [µm]</th>
<th>$S_a$ [µm]</th>
<th>$R_a$ [µm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference (S1)</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimpled (S2)</td>
<td>26 ± 4</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velvet (S3)</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidized (S4)</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waved (S5)</td>
<td>10.5 ± 1.5</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grooved (S6)</td>
<td>58 ± 1.5</td>
<td>18.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>18.4 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
processing parameters (including pulse fluence), but with different scanning line separation. As shown before [20,38], decreasing the scanning line separation significantly below the width of microchannels results in decreased surface roughness. Due to this reason, the surface roughness $S_a$ decreases from 2.9 ± 0.1 μm (for the waved surface) to 1.0 ± 0.03 μm (for the velvet surface), while the PPA decreases from 10.5 ± 1.5 μm to 4.3 ± 1.4 μm (see also Supplementary Figs. S11–S16).

The grooved surface was produced by the same pulse fluence as the velvet and waved surfaces, but each microchannel was drilled by 10 passes of the laser radiation over the same line. This results in 58 ± 1.5 μm deep and 45-μm wide microchannels that are separated by 130 μm. The edges experienced a significant increase in height due to the remolten material during the processing (see also Supplementary Fig. S16).

3.2. Cavitation dynamics

The size and the intensity of the hydrodynamic cavitation for all six specimens at all five operating conditions (B-F) are visualized by the mean value of the gray level distribution of cavitation (Fig. 5) and by the standard deviation for this distribution (Fig. 6). Operating condition A is omitted from Figs. 5 and 6, due to absence of cavitation. Time resolved images of cavitation behind the specimens are presented on Supplementary Figs. S17–S21.

The used visualization method requires the two-phase flow, since the image appears due to light scattering on the cavitation bubbles (representing the fluid-gas interface). The mean value and the standard deviation of the gray level distribution is calculated from $N = 10,000$ visualization images (an acquisition period of 0.5 s) in a monochrome technique and saved with 8-bit grayscale color depth, similarly as already described in Ref. [39]. In Fig. 5, the mean value for the $j^{th}$ pixel is calculated as

$$\langle I(j) \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} I(j),$$

where index $i$ corresponds to particular image. A single pixel intensity $I(j)$ in 8-bit grayscale can take an integer value between 0 and 255 and each image contains $640 \times 256$ pixels, so $j = 1, \ldots, 163,840$.

To improve the image perception, color bars are used in Fig. 5. Here, the blue color corresponds to value 0 (no cavitation appeared at the selected pixel within all the analyzed images), while the red color presents the highest average values. The void fraction can only be qualitatively estimated via visualization. However, one must be aware, that color scales differ between operating points (B-F) to maximize the image contrast. The same color scales are used for all the samples at the same operating condition.

Fig. 6 shows calculated standard deviations of the gray level distribution of the cavitation, calculated as

$$\delta I(j) = \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (I(j) - \langle I(j) \rangle)^2 \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{(N-1)}$$

where index $i$ corresponds to particular image. A single pixel intensity $I(j)$ in 8-bit grayscale can take an integer value between 0 and 255 and each image contains $640 \times 256$ pixels, so $j = 1, \ldots, 163,840$.

Similar as in Fig. 5, the color bars were used. Here, the blue color corresponds to standard deviation 0, while the red color indicates the highest standard deviation. Again, color scales differ between operating points, but are the same between various samples at a single operating condition.
Operating condition at \( \sigma = 2.3 \) was chosen with polished sample (S1), where cavitation was not yet visible by a naked eye, but already audio perceived. In Fig. 5, only slight deviation in average gray level distribution can be noticed, while in Fig. 6, sufficient change in the gray level distribution can be seen to confirm the presence of cavitation. Comparing other five samples (S2 – S6) with S1 is showing that incipient cavitation appears first on the sample S1, while S3 and S2 seem to have better “resistance” against incipient cavitation. By increasing the Reynolds number (decreasing the cavitation number) the cavitation extent increases by all the samples. Comparing different samples between each other, one can notice, that average cavitation extents differ, since differences in shape and intensity can be observed from the gray level distribution.

Comparison of the cavitation distribution between the laser textured samples of different roughness and the reference sample S1 in Fig. 5 reveals the following:

- Laser texturing delays the incipient cavitation and slightly reduces the cavitation intensity at lower cavitation numbers, which is visible from the comparison between the polished sample S1 and the oxidized sample S4 (having the surface roughness \( S_a = 18.3 \pm 0.1 \mu m \)) as well as by the sample S3 with just slightly higher surface roughness.
- When the surface roughness is further increased, as in the samples S2, the cavitation incipience is further delayed and the cavitation intensity at higher numbers is further decreased. In this context, the samples S2 and S5 act very similar at cavitation numbers \( \sigma = 2.3 \) and \( \sigma = 1.8 \). Here, the incipient cavitation is present in smallest intensity and extent in comparison with all other samples. However, the cavitation behind the dimpled sample S2 is strongly suppressed even by all other operating conditions. Cavitation intensity behind the waved sample S5 at lower cavitation numbers (between \( \sigma = 1.4 \) and \( \sigma = 1.0 \)) is only minor decreased in comparison with the polished sample S1.
- As would be expected, significant increase of the surface roughness gains the cavitation intensity. Thus, the sample S6 with the highest surface roughness \( S_a = 18.3 \pm 0.1 \mu m \) causes the biggest cavitation extent by the lowest cavitation number, and cavitation dynamics is clearly changed (e.g., see Figs. 5 and 6 at cavitation number \( \sigma = 1.8 \)).

Fig. 7 shows the average cavitation length behind the reference and the five laser-textured samples. Cavitation length was measured from the center of the cylinder to the position, where the normalized gray level intensity equals 0.5, as described in details in Supporting Information (Figs. S22, S23 and Table S2). It is clearly seen that the longest cavitation at all five Reynolds numbers appears behind the grooved specimen, while the velvet and dimpled specimens lead to the smallest cavitation extent.

Fig. 8 shows the frequency spectra, measured by a hydrophone 90 mm downstream from the cylinder. Inspecting pressure analysis, one can notice two distinctive parts on the pressure frequency diagrams. Primary peaks appear below 500 Hz, while the secondary peaks are visible at higher frequencies. The secondary peaks are related to cavitation (at non-cavitating conditions they are not present), while the primary peaks most probably present frequencies caused by the von Karman vortex street at non-cavitating conditions. It is, however, not excluded that part of the primary frequency spectra is related to the cavitation tunnel operation noise (the pressure pulsations from the circulation pump or the electric noise).

Fig. 8 presents the frequency spectra at the following selected cavitation numbers for all six specimens:

- non-cavitating conditions (\( \sigma = 2.6 \));
- the beginning of the developed cavitation (\( \sigma = 1.8 \));
- the developed cavitation conditions (\( \sigma = 1.4 \)); and
- the fully developed cavitation conditions (\( \sigma = 1.0 \)), which appears on the boundary of the supercavitation.

Comparison between the pressure analysis (Fig. 8) and the visualization (Figs. 5 and 6) reveals fine correlations. This correlation is also confirmed by the comparison of the frequency spectra, measured by the hydrophone and calculated from the acquired images in Fig. S24 (Supporting Information). At cavitation number 1.8, the cavitation is well developed on the polished and the grooved sample (Fig. 5). This is clearly noticed also on the frequency spectra on Fig. 8. By the other four samples (dimpled, velvet, oxidized and waved), the secondary peaks in the frequency spectra at this flow rate are very weak. This corresponds well with the observed small-scale cavitation during the visualization.

At cavitation number 1.4, a very distinctive peak is rising at frequency of 500 Hz for almost all the samples, with an exception of the grooved sample having this peak at about 10 Hz lower frequency. This peak is a consequence of cavitation cloud shedding, in shape of the von Karman street vortex. This is additionally confirmed by the frequency analysis of the acquired images (see Fig. S24 in Supporting Information). Overall cavitation dynamics behind various samples differ between cavitation numbers 2.3 and 1.0. Surface finishing strongly affects the cavitation development at its initial stages.
Furthermore, it also influences on the developed cavitation regimes (Figs. 7, 8). This reflects in cavitation length (Fig. 7), cavitation shape (Fig. 5) and shedding dynamics (Figs. 6, 8).

The cavitation behavior of the tested sample via pressure analysis was quantified by a dimensionless Strouhal number $St$, defined as:

$$St = \frac{f_0 L}{u}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

In Eq. (3), $f_0$ stands for the shading frequency, $L$ represents the distinctive length which in our case equals to the cylinder diameter, while $u$ stands for the freestream flow velocity.

The primary frequency peaks in Fig. 8 only slightly vary by sample to sample, while the secondary peaks, representing the cavitation activity, significantly differentiate by samples. The individual peak frequencies $f_0$ were determined from the measured frequency spectra by fitting the Gaussian function to the secondary frequency peaks (see Fig. S26 and Eq. (S14) in Supporting Information). Similarly, the standard deviation of the Strouhal number was calculated from the spectral width of the secondary peaks (e.g., see $\sigma_f$ in Fig. S26 in Supporting Information). The representative Strouhal numbers and amplitudes as a function of the Reynolds number are shown in Fig. 9 (the numerical values are listed in Supporting Table S2). The comparison of the frequency peaks for all six samples at individual operating condition clearly indicates that the surface properties influence the cavitation response.

The central frequency of the secondary peak generally decreases with the cavitation growth. This correlates well with the visualization revealing that increasing cavitation extent leads to longer oscillating times of cavitation (lower shedding frequencies). As clearly visible from Fig. 8, the operating point with the highest Reynolds number results in intense frequency increase at three modified samples (dimpled, velvet, oxidized). The visualization suggests that this happens due to the shedding parts of cavitation clouds from the main cavitation structure - the classic von Karman street is not formed. On contrarily, cavitation on the polished and the grooved samples seems to still preserve the von Karman street vortex shedding. The amplitudes of the secondary frequency peaks generally increase with the Reynolds number or cavitation increase.

As visible from Fig. 9, the difference between the sample surfaces leads to different trends in amplitudes of the secondary frequency peak as a function of the Reynolds number. The polished, waved and grooved samples reach the amplitude peak at cavitation number $\sigma = 1.2$, while the amplitude for the dimpled, velvet and oxidized samples monotonically increase up to the cavitation number 1.0. However, they most probably reach the amplitude peak at lower cavitation numbers, not considered in this study. When the supercavitation state is developed, the cavitation oscillations and aggressiveness start to decrease due to cavity-cavity interference or the so-called cushioning effect [12]. The presented results show that for specific surface modifications (dimpled, velvet and oxidized) pressure pulsations (parameter related to the cavitation aggressiveness) can be decreased in comparison with the polished sample.

3.3. Influence of the surface wettability

Another aspect of the laser-textured metallic surfaces is their ability
to develop hydrophobicity while keeping their micro-meter topography [40]. It is well-known that metallic surfaces immediately after the laser texturing are in a (super)hydrophilic Wenzel state [20,25,40]. However, this state is not stable and hydrophobicity, or even super-hydrophobicity (lotus effect) with contact angle above 150° and the roll-off angles below 5° are developed if such a surface is exposed to ambient conditions [20,22,23]. We used this intrinsic property of the laser-textured surfaces to study the influence of wettability on the cavitation behavior. In this context, we performed the experiments by using the following three different samples that were stored in atmospheric air:

- the reference (S1, non-laser textured) sample with an apparent contact angle (ACA) of 90°;
- the oxidized sample (S4) two weeks after the laser texturing, when the ACA of 141° was developed; and
- the oxidized sample (S4.1) one week after the laser texturing, when the ACA equaled 51°.

The oxidized sample (S4) was chosen since its surface roughness is very similar to the surface roughness of the reference sample (see Table 2). Their contact angles before and after the cavitation-dynamics experiments are shown in Fig. 10 (a). In such a way, we were able to directly compare the influence of wettability on the cavitation dynamics by excluding any significant influence of micro topography. The results are presented in Fig. 10.

Fig. 10(b) showing the average cavitation extent on the tested samples at four different cavitation numbers reveal significant influence of wettability on the cavitation dynamics. From these results (samples S4 and S4.1), it seems that increased wettability (lower ACA) delays the incipient cavitation. In case of hydrophilic surface, the liquid tends to stay in a contact with surface, thus it breaks or separates from the surface at higher energies. This acts like an initial cavitation delay.

Comparison of the ACA between the samples, before and after the cavitation experiments [Fig. 10(a)] reveals that ACA by the polished sample did not change and remained 90°. However, the contact angle in case of the hydrophobic laser-textured surface decreases after these experiments. This might happen, since the development of the water repellency on the laser-textured metallic surfaces is most probably related by the absorption of hydrocarbon contaminants from the atmosphere, where the processed samples are stored [41–43]. This contamination may be partially removed during cavitation.

4. Conclusions

In this study, we have used different laser processing parameters to texture five various surface topographies (dimpled, velvet, oxidized, waved and grooved). They were used in fluid flow inducing cavitation and the results were compared to a reference, i.e., highly polished surface. Best reduction results by means of cavitation extent and its pressure oscillations were achieved at the velvet, dimpled and oxidized surface topographies. The results prove that cavitation characteristics significantly depend not only on the surface roughness, but also on the surface wettability. The increased wettability (lower apparent contact angles) delays the incipient cavitation, since the liquid tends to stay in a contact with the hydrophilic surface. Thus, the liquid separates from the surface at higher flowrates (representing higher energies). Here, the development of the surface wettability on the laser-textured metallic surfaces by time offers an interesting approach to test the interaction between the fluid flow and the curved surfaces with the same micro-topography, but different wettability.

The presented results clearly demonstrate that cavitation on the same basic geometry (cylinder) can be efficiently controlled by laser surface engineering. If appropriate laser-texturing parameters are used, cavitation can be decreased, or initial cavitation can be shifted to lower cavitation numbers. The visual observation and pressure measurements endorse the direct laser texturing as a potential technique for controlling cavitation dynamics. Thus, laser surface engineering is proven as a promising tool for modification of the surface properties leading to: (i) reduced risk of cavitation to appear or eventually reduced cavitation...
extant or its aggressiveness and, consequently, the cavitation erosion; and (ii) increased cavitation effects, when needed for, e.g., advanced oxidation processes. However, further investigation is still needed to understand the fundamental underlying mechanisms. Here, examination of the single-phase flow on the laser-textured surfaces, e.g., by using particle image velocimetry, may clarify the distinctive influence of the surface topography and wettability on the cavitation incipience.
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