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Introduction: Educational technology

In the European continental tradition the educational technology is an integral part of didactics (Blažič, Ivanuš Grmek, Kramar and Strmčnik, 2003) as pedagogical discipline (Strmčnik, 2001). Didactics is defined »... as a theory 'about education and instruction (Strmčnik, 2001, pp. 20 citing Šilih, 1961, pp. 32), where teaching is understood as an educational process« (Strmčnik, 2001, pp. 20). Within didactics, educational technology in the process of learning and teaching is connected with pedagogical psychology, pedagogical sociology, philosophy of education, leadership and management in education and other sciences (Blažič idr., 2003). The study of educational technology is based on the American tradition that influenced the development of educational technology in Europe (ibid.). In the development, the field of educational technology has been linked to learning theories and teaching theories, and in the European tradition to didactic theories. With the development of computer science and information technology, this field is also influenced by computing, artificial intelligence, systems design, human-computer interaction (Issroff and Scanlon, 2002, pp. 4) and other social sciences and humanities that examine technology in society.

In the United States, the field of education has been influenced by the psychology of education with theories of learning and teaching. These also influenced the education technology, which developed as an independent field. Under the influence of cognitism, which develops teaching models, they also began to consider educational technology together with teaching models developed within the framework of teaching theories. (Reiser, 2001b). Reiser describes two practices covered by educational technology that gave rise to the concept of instructional design and technology in the 1970s: (1) the use of technology and media for instructional purposes, and (2) the use of systematic instructional design procedures. (Reiser, 2001a). Designing and evaluating curricula and solving problems in its implementation and improvement is the core of educational technology in the British tradition (Rowntree, 1982).

Educational technology was initially limited to the marginal and supplementary role of aids, devices and resources to present instruction. Influenced by communication
theories, it was conceived as a conveyor or mediator of information (Blažič idr., 2003). Influenced by cognitive theories of learning and teaching, the treatment of tools was joined by the treatment of instructional design (Reiser, 2001a). In defining the concept of educational technology, we distinguish two aspects: the aspect of the use of technology as a technical device and the aspect of the impact of technology on the design of the learning process. Educational technology derives from two basic assumptions:

- the technical possibilities offered by a technology, and
- how the technical options are used.

The introduction of educational technology solves two basic tasks, enables the updating of education in accordance with technological progress and the improvement of teaching and learning processes. Technological development and innovation enable changes in the field of teaching and learning. The development of innovations in the field of educational technology and their acceptance among teachers, educators and students is conditioned by the factors of formal and non-formal learning processes. The ways in which innovations are disseminated and accepted among end-users have changed with the development of information and communication technologies (ICTs), which have enabled new ways of communication. The proliferation characteristic of older periods, which characterizes the rapid spread in all social environments and systems, is complemented in the period of social networks and mobile technology by percolation, when the spread of technology applies infiltration of practices between different environments and systems.

Let us illustrate the conceptual aspect of the use of the term educational technology, which concerns the technological aspect and the aspect of designing learning processes in the case of the use of analog technologies in comparison with digital ones.

Digital storytelling covers the technological aspect concerning the production and presentation of a story, as well as all the didactic features of the analogous way of traditional storytelling. Therefore, we need to study it from a technological and didactic point of view.
Storytelling in the curriculum is introduced in language and literature, history, art and music education. Digital storytelling at the level of preparation and integration in the learning process combines didactic aspects that are established in curriculum areas with new technological possibilities. Technology is also bringing new possibilities, such as chat rooms, online classrooms and online social networks. Its predecessors were radio school and television lessons, which were characterized by remote transmission. The fundamental contribution of today’s online social networks is in introducing new possibilities for designing the learning process.

Educational technology initially covered materiality of physical means and played the role of aids, teaching resources and devices. Influenced by communication theories, it was conceived as a conveyor or mediator of information (Blažič idr., 2003). In both mentioned periods, it was included in the didactics among the structural components of the educational process (Kramar, 2009). Influenced by cognitive theories of learning and teaching, the treatment of a device was joined by the treatment of instructional design procedures (Reiser, 2001a). Educational technology intertwines two aspects: the aspect of the use of technology as a structural component and the aspect of the design of the learning process (process component).

In the preschool period, we use less intensive use of the term educational technology in the literature. Two facts contribute to this. The preschool curriculum is based on play as a child’s primary activity. In the game, the child interacts and uses various digital technologies - artifacts in their immediate environment. In the pre-school period, the primary environment is family and pre-school education in kindergarten takes place in close partnership with parents. In the field of early learning, the use of digital technology is widespread in the literature, covering a wide range of digital devices, contents, games and toys that children use in the home and kindergarten environment, while in the curriculum we find the use of information and communication technology. Another important fact is the definition of children’s play and its functions. Children’s play is an activity with the objective within itself in the end, which the child plays for the sake of the game itself and learns and develops during the game. Digital technology according to the findings of a survey conducted between parents and educators Plowman, McPake and Stephen (2010; 2012), con-
tributes to learning and development in three main ways: (1) Develops skills for understanding the functionality and interactivity of digital media as well as the ability to manipulate them. (2) Expands knowledge and understanding of the world in various curricular areas through the use of programs, websites and digital books. (3) Develops dispositions for learning in the affective, social and cognitive domains. (Plowman, McPake and Stephen, 2012)
Educational technology for the development of the 21st-century skills and competences

Among the key skills competencies for the 21st century, three sets of skills and competencies are important. The first set consists of skills for life and career. Within the second the 4C set of skills for learning and innovation involves Creativity, Critical thinking, Communication and Collaboration. The third set includes information, media and technological skills. (P21 2010; 2012) Trilling and Fadel (2009) define the 7C set of skills for the 21st century consisting of Critical thinking and problem solving, Creativity and innovation, Cross-cultural understanding, Communications, information and media literacy, Computing and ICT literacy, Career and learning self-reliance. Merriam-Webster dictionary (https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/skill) defines skill as »1). the ability to use one’s knowledge effectively and readily in execution or performance; dexterity or coordination especially in the execution of learned physical tasks; 2). a learned power of doing something competently : a developed aptitude or ability.« Among synonyms listed are artistry, masterfulness, artifice, cleverness, cratf. The competency is defined as »possession of sufficient knowledge or skill; legal authority, ability, or admissibility; and 2). as a specific area of competency.« Among the synonyms for competency are capability, ability, faculty (Merriam-Webster https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/competency).

In contemporary life and work, the formal and informal learning is essential and theories examine cultural contexts of authentic practices which should become part of formal learning. There is the notion of technology skills a person possess in her social practices not applying them within her professional competency area. Building a professional competency is a complex process involving a diverse sets of skills, knowledge and competences. Developing literacy of a child depends on incentives in a child’s approximate environment and formal instruction. Diverse national and cultural contexts define skills, knowledge and competences within curriculum areas and increasingly computer technology skills are identified as basic skills for everyone and included within population literacy competency or standards.
The educational technology integrates all skills and competences areas defined within P21 (P21 2010; 2012):

- information, media and technological competencies;
- the use of different tools for communication and collaboration, critical thinking and creativity, which affect competences for learning and innovation;
- establishing a learning environment for the development of competences for life and work.

Information, media and technological competences can be considered in the framework of various forms of literacy. For example, UNESCO has defined media and information literacy for teachers by including components of information, media, computer, internet, digital and media literacy (Wilson, Grizzle, Tuazon, Akyempong in Cheung, 2013).

Computer thinking was defined by Janette Wing in 2006 as problem-solving, systems design, and understanding of human behavior based on basic computer concepts. (Wing, 2006). The author encouraged the inclusion of computer thinking in the curriculum of compulsory education of the entire population (Barr, Harrison in Conery, 2011). Seymour Papert (1980) is in his pioneering work Mindstorms based on Piaget (1962) developmental theories substantiate computer thinking and its contribution to the development of problem-solving skills. Barr and co-authors define computation thinking as a problem-solving process that includes problem formulation supported by the use of computers and other tools, data organization and analysis, data representation with models and simulations, algorithmic thinking, and identifying, analyzing, generalization and transfer of the problem-solving process to various fields (Barr, Harrison in Conery, 2011). Computer programming involves knowledge of computer concepts, practices, and perspectives that help students understand themselves, society, and technology (Wing, 2008; Lye in Koh, 2014). Encourages the development of the ability, dispositions and attitudes to perform complex tasks, perseverance in difficult tasks, tolerance for unclear tasks, the ability to solve problems with an open solution, and the ability to communicate and cooperate in achieving goals (Barr, Harrison in Conery, 2011).
In the second set of learning and innovation competencies is the 4C model similar to the taxonomy of educational technology given by Bruce and Levin (1997) based on Dewey’s (1943) model of children’s impulses to learn in interaction with the environment. Taxonomy encompasses four types of educational technology, namely: (1) learning by inquiry, (2) interaction and communication as basic for social engagement, (3) construction in play and creativity involving a set of materials and (4) expression. (ibid.).

The beginnings of educational technology are marked by audiovisual communication and the use of devices for presentation of learning content as a teacher’s aid. Instructional radio and television were delivering instruction and transmitting the learning content lacking interactive component. Computer-assisted teaching and intelligent tutoring systems establishing interactive learning environment were initially targeted at the individual learner. In the nineties of the last century, with the development of the Internet (Stahl, Koschmann in Suthers, 2006) and under the influence of constructivism to promote student-centered learning (Means in Olson, 1997) the computer-assisted collaborative learning expanded. The use of the computer in learning has transcended merely an individual orientation. Computer-assisted collaborative learning combines the advantages of a group form of learning, in an interaction triangle learning content - student - student, using a variety of collaborative technologies, learning resources and learning methods. Group learning implements the principle of individualization while exploiting influences in the social-affective learning domain, in interaction between students (Strmčnik, 1987). Learning takes place in social interaction and in interaction with cultural artifacts in the socio-cultural environment (Vygotsky, 1987). Collaborative learning defines the interdependence of students in achieving the goals of all individuals and groups (Johnson and Johnson, 1996) and shared cognition and learning (Resnick, 1993).

Computer-assisted collaborative learning is currently still a basic educational technology (Adams Becker, Cummins, Davis, Freeman, Hall Giesinger, & Ananthanarayanan, 2017) as well as a basic strategy in educating teachers to use educational technology (Hattie, 2009; Tondeur, van Braak, Siddiq and Scherer, 2016; Hao and Lee, 2017). Computer-assisted learning environments encourage creativity and critical thinking (Istenič Starčič and Lebeničnik, 2020). Research in the last decade of the
20th century shows the useful contribution of computer technology in early childhood education setting in all curricular areas and also its impact on the development of the child and her abilities of creative and critical thinking, on the social development and learning to learn (Clements, Nastasi and Swaminathan, 1993; Clements, 1999; Yelland, 2005).

**The notion of digital and media literacy**

B. Street identifies four traditions in defining the developmental aspects of literacy and pedagogical approaches to literacy: literacy and learning; cognitive approach to literacy; an approach that addresses literacy embedded in social practices; and literacy as text (Street, 2005). In teaching and learning literacy, he pointed out the transition from the notion of literacy in the context of cognitive processes to socio-cultural understandings of literacy. In the context of a cognitive approach, the literacy is understood as an independent entity that is taught independently of contexts as neutral for use in different contexts. While socio-cultural understanding places literacy in the authentic contexts of various socio-cultural practices. Within this approach literacy is conceived as socially defined and not independent of power relations in society, which is characteristic of the “autonomous model” within the cognitive approach. The autonomous model understood the literacy as a type of skills, tools, techniques and cognitive competencies that the individual learns and will enable him to work in different contexts. (Street, 1989; 2005)

Already Vygotsky (1978) placed the pedagogical interventions of teaching and learning literacy in socio-cultural contexts, where literacy develops in authentic practices. With the Bernes-Lee concept of the World Wide Web and the intensive proliferation and growth of various online services, the expansion of socio-cultural practices on the Internet began at the end of the last century. With the rise of digital content on the Internet, the definition of literacy has expanding beyond embracing the abilities of reading and writing, namely to (1) creating and understanding content in a variety of representational ways, including (2) a level of codification with operational and technical competencies and (3) an epistemic level content interactions with content that takes place in socio-cultural practices.
In a period of intensive development of various technologies and media, the nature of communication and the notion of literacy, traditionally tied to the use of the medium of writing, has changed (Buckingham, 2015). D. Buckingham defines literacy beyond the original notion that was tied to the use of the medium of writing. Literacy is seen as emerging literacy that develops in children’s play with the media (Spencer, 1986, in Buckingham, 2015), visual literacy (Moore and Dwyer, 1994, in Buckingham, 2015), television literacy (Buckingham, 1993, in Buckingham, 2015), information literacy (Bruce and Levin, 1997, in Buckingham, 2015).

Literacy is not an autonomous entity (Street, 1989; 2015), it is characterized by a variety of phenomena (Buckingham, 2015) in different socio-cultural and technological conditions and requires modern pedagogical approaches (Cope and Kalantzis, 2000). Marsh and colleagues define literacy as digital literacy, as it no longer concerns only writing and takes place using a range of digital technologies at events and practices that include both online and offline activities (Sefton-Green, Marsh, Erstad and Flewitt, 2016 ; Marsh, Hannon, Lewis and Ritchie, 2017).

Educational technology is related to the third set of competencies for the 21st century, which includes information, media and technological competencies (P21 2010; 2012). Information, media and technological competences are addressed in the context of information, media and digital literacy. Conceived on the basis of the concept of autonomous literacy, as defined by Street (1989), they gradually included several dimensions and also intertwined and complemented each other. The more recent Dutch definition of digital literacy links ICT skills, media literacy, information literacy and computer thinking and is implemented in the national curriculum integrated with authentic learning and assessment (Fisser, Heitink and Strijker, 2020). Literacy and literacy development require a socio-cultural context and a place in which meaning is constructed (Street, 2005).

Definitions of information literacy in the 1970s included searching for and obtaining information resources, solving problems, and using information in a variety of situations. In the 1980s, with the expansion of the computer technology, highlighted were a variety of sources and different technologies that enable information retrieval and the importance of critical evaluation of information. In the 1990s,
the definition, which includes the search, critical evaluation and use of information, became stable. During this time, there have been strong movements for the integration of various information sources into education and the development of information literacy, which enables quality learning with diverse resources. These activity resulted in the requirements for the inclusion of information literacy among the key components of literacy (Behrens, 1994).

Media literacy was defined in the 1990s as a critical reading of information and media content and includes the post-print media (Bawden, 2001). Bawden (ibid.) conceives of media literacy as overlapping with information literacy and, above all, with one of its definitions given by Hamelink (1976, in Bawden, 2011) which differs significantly from other definitions. Namely, Hamelink (ibid.) defined information literacy as the ability for individual and independent opinion and assessment of media content and the need for the media liberated from political and economic influences. R. Hobbs (1999) summarizes key issues of media literacy as early as the late 1990s, including (1) the role of media literacy for child safety, which the child develops through active participation in media debates; (2) the child’s role as a producer and not just a consumer, which is also reflected in the learning activities of media content production; (3) the role of popular culture in the development of media literacy as a culturally located practice; (4) the ideology of the media literacy curriculum; (5) equality in ensuring media literacy for all children; (6) the role of media organizations in literacy development and hidden curriculum.

These definitions are aligned with the socio-cultural understanding of literacy as defined by Street (2005). The findings of media studies, sociology, psychology, pedagogy, health care, librarianship and information studies are important in the research and development of media literacy as a multidimensional concept. For media literacy, treatment in different contexts is essential: in the context of a critical citizen and user of media content, in the context of child development, the impact of media content on socialization processes and in the context of professional work increasingly involving the Internet and computer tools (Hobbs, 2017). Hobbs (2011) among digital literacy skills lists technical, cognitive, media, and social skills. Also according to Potter (1998) media literacy is multidimensional and encompasses the cognitive, emotional, aesthetic and moral dimensions. The definition of media literacy from the year
1992 highlights a critical reading of media and media content based on five points: media are constructed by and construct reality, media have market, political and economic effects, the media form and content are linked to aesthetic and communicative code, media message is interpreted by reader (Aufderheide, 1992).

Digital literacy emerged in the 1990s (Bawden, 2001). In 1997, Gilster defined digital literacy as the skill of using the Internet, which, compared to print media, presents content in supertext and in various forms, and information literacy through critical reading of information. He identified four competencies: knowledge acquisition, content evaluation, Internet search, and supertext navigation (Gilster, 1997). The epistemic and representational dimensions are present in Glister’s definition.

Current treatment of digital literacy includes two submenus: (1) conceptualization of digital literacy in the process of moving from the technological and information aspect to social practices and (2) transformation of original literacy with the medium of writing, which is transformed into multicode literacy and multidimensional literacy; that is compound literacy in social practices.

We follow the conceptualization of digital literacy as a literacy that transforms the understanding of the media as a technological or informational (Buckingham, 2015) into an understanding of the media as a social practice (Sefton-Green, Marsh, Erstad, and Flewitt, 2016). The definition of information literacy highlights the critical evaluation and use of collected information through the development of the information society (Behrens, 1994). The technological definition of computer literacy (Fetler, 1985), which means the technical use of computer technology and its application, has led in the curriculum to the development of technological concepts, skills of using technology, programming, computer thinking and problem solving. Langhorne, Donham, Gross, and Rehmke (1989) extend and define the concept of computer literacy with three components: computer knowledge, application usage (text editor, databases, spreadsheets, graphics, desktop publishing, music, computer-assisted learning), and knowledge of the social context associated with computer use (copyright, right to privacy, the impact of technology on future life, awareness of the information society).
The development of digital technology enables, in addition to the consumption of media content, also the production (Jenkins, Clinton, Purushothm, Robinson, and Weigel, 2007) and influences modern literacy, which no longer takes place solely through the use of traditional writing media (Buckingham, 2015). Emerging practices in socio-cultural contexts that take advantage of the nature of digital technology allows for multimodal text formatting and multimodal literacy (Kress and van Leuween, 2001; Kress and Jewitt, 2003). Literacy in conjunction with reading and writing practices no longer concerns only writing, but involves multimodal expression (Marsh et al., 2017). The definition of multimodal literacy refers to media and semiotic means, according to this definition representation and communication are multimodal (Kress, 2003). Computer technology enables multimodal representations and communications (Debevc, Weiss, Šorgo, & Kožuh, 2020) and thus influences thinking (Kress and Jewitt, 2003).

The literacy as dynamic is dependent on the respective socio-cultural context. Multidimensional or compound literacy, which is constantly alive and changing in the socio-cultural contexts of users using dynamic representational resources, embraces the various socio-cultural practices of users in their authentic contexts (New London Group, 2000). Technological development enables the emergence of new forms of texts that in different ways constitute distinct forms of literacy (e.g., word-of-mouth, textual, visual, digital, multimedia) and enable different ways of interaction and collaboration (Grosman, 2011).

Marsh, Hannon, Lewis, and Ritchie (2017) explore literacy as a practice of reading and writing, using a variety of digital technologies that support different modalities, rather than just writing. Sefton-Green, Marsh, Erstad, and Flewitt (2016) define digital literacy as reading, writing, and reasoning practices using a range of digital technologies that take place at events and practices that include both online and offline activities. Digital literacy crosses physical boundaries and the boundaries of online / offline and establishes complex communication beyond time and space (Lander and Sheehy, 2004). Digital literacy encompasses digital text creation, and the development of text creation skills takes place in a variety of socio-cultural practices (Lankshear and Knobel, 2008; 2011).
Pedagogy of multidimensional or compound literacy defines literacy as embedded in socio-cultural practices co-established by the Internet and digital practices (New London Group, 2000). Along with school literacy, it becomes important to re-learn literacy practices that develop outside the school context, for example at home and in peer groups, and to include them in the school curriculum (Lankshear and Knobel, 2008; Lankshear and Knobel, 2011). A child’s emerging literacy in a family environment interacts with a variety of Internet sources (Plowman, Stephen, & McPake, 2010a).

Use of digital resources for reading and creating electronic texts with spatial orientation and a high level of composition (verbal, visual and other data), transforms the reader’s / writer’s linear interaction with text and conditions literacy, speech-language development and education (Grosman, 2011). With a more complex conception of literacy in the formal learning environment, substantiated is the need for teaching and learning literacy, which includes all forms of reading and composing texts, in connecting various formal and informal social practices (Grosman, 2011).

**Factors influencing educational technology integration in preschool**

At the preschool level, the important factors influencing educational technology integration are digital literacy skills (Hatzigianni in Kalaitzidis, 2018). The readiness to use of their digital literacy skills in teaching and building teacher’s educational technology competences depends on their perceptions and attitudes of the contribution of educational technology in early learning in relation to their pedagogical beliefs (Istenič Starčič in Lebeničnik, 2020; Hatzigianni in Kalaitzidis, 2018). Perceptions and beliefs are the strong influencing factor and predictors of educational technology integration (Ertmer, Ottenbreit-Leftwich, Sadik in Sendurur, 2012). Developing digital literacy with the potential for educational technology competency and the formation of underpinning pedagogical beliefs is significantly influenced by the initial teacher education (Drent in Meelissan, 2008) which informs attitudes guiding technology integration in preschool classroom.

Researchers examine readiness to use new technology by measuring an interaction between person’s perception of the usability of the technology or how much
technology can improve an individual’s performance in the context of person’s technology related attitudes and behavioural intention (Davis, 1989; Venkatesh, Morris, Davis in Davis, 2003). These research approach is needed in examining teacher’s readiness for innovation in lesson planning and performance (Valenčič Zuljan in Marentič Požarnik, 2014; Istenič Starčič, 2019; Istenič Starčič in Lebeničnik, 2020). Among attitudes towards technology integration contributions, naming some, examined are: the impact on improving learning and achievement, the impact on individualization and differentiation, the impact on promoting creativity (Sang, Valcke, van Braak in Tondeur, 2010), self-efficacy, observability of individual work and achievements, flexibility of activities (Van Braak in Tearle, 2007) and enabling new activities that were not possible before the use of technology (PuenteDura, 2013).

According to a Finnish survey report from 2006, digital literacy of teachers covered three levels of the use of information and communication technologies. At the first level the skill of using text editors and e-mail, at the second level more advanced pedagogical knowledge of the development of learning resources and at the third, highest level, which was achieved by only 10 percent of teachers, the use of multimedia, distance learning systems, networking, programming, the use of administrative systems and the use of information and communication technology for research and innovation. (Ristimaki, Niemi, Tissari, Mikkola in Jakku-Sihvonen, 2006)

With the technological development and accessibility of technology, the third level has become basic for all pedagogical workers. With the shift from traditional writing technology to digital technology, the basic concern of the teacher in the context of literacy development is also the development of digital skills (Istenič Starčič in Turk, 2016). At the 25th World Wide Web Conference held in Montreal Andreja Istenič (Istenič Starčič and Turk 2016) presented a model of digital literacy in three dimensions (Figure 2), which they designed on the basis of three established models (Mishra in Koehler, 2006; PuenteDura, 2012; Koole, 2009).

The model of teacher’s digital competencies includes technological, pedagogical and content-specific subject knowledge (TPACK model) developed by Mishra and Koehler (2006), captures technological interventions according to the SAMR model
Model SAMR (Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, Redefinition) (Puente'dura, 2012) outlines technology integration for enhancement and transformation of instruction. Teac'hnology supported learning is performed on four levels:

- replace an existing activity,
- to enrich an existing activity,
- to modify an activity,
- to redefine an activity and introduce the activity not possible before the technological introduction.

The framework for rational analysis of mobile education (FRAME) by Koole (2009) defines learning emerging from the learner, mobile device and social interaction. Technology integration (the device) encourages children’s development (learner’s characteristics) and learning by connecting traditional activities in working with traditional materials and resources (social environment). The teacher plans to integrate technology so as not to impair the natural and cultural environment that is important for the child’s learning and development. At the same time, it takes into account the child’s life practice with technology and includes it by contributing to learning in the cognitive, socio-affective and psychophysical areas of learning. Koole
developed the model referring to the child’s zone of proximal development (Vigotski, 1978). The educator’s task is to support the child in the quality use of technology that promotes the child’s development. In the intersection between the device and the social environment, there are characteristics that arise from both dimensions and reflect the potential of the device in the social context. In the intersection between the dimensions of the device and the child are the characteristics and capabilities of the child, which also arise from the social environment and are reflected in the use of the device.

We do not subordinate planning to learning goals that would be decontextualized from the child’s life practice and thinking. When planning, we focus on the child’s abilities and interests, which make sense of the achievement of learning goals in the context of his life world (intersection of the child’s dimensions and social context). When planning the lesson with the process of cognitive empathy, we try to illuminate the process of thinking of students as much as possible. The teacher should anticipate student responses and behavior (Cerbin and Kopp, 2006).

In 2010, UNESCO issued didactic recommendations for the integration of ICT in early childhood care and education by describing the teacher’s competencies in three parts. Teachers in preschool should engage in the inquiry of technology and toys, learning about technology and integration of the technology in teaching and learning. Didactic recommendations consist of: understanding and performing didactics of digital toys and apps; planning and monitoring children manipulating ICT’s in play; the integration of technology in all curriculum areas; understanding the ICT’s integration for developing a child’s learning disposition and in teacher’s professional learning. Teacher’s competencies for the integration of ICT in preschool include: (1) inquiry of learning technology, (2) learning about and with technology and (3) technology integration in a child’s play. Understanding the technologies concerns the development of child’s skills for communication and collaboration, creativity, socio-dramatic play, learning to learn. The use of technology in emerging literacy, working with text, developing mathematical and science understanding, developing problem solving skills, developing visual literacy, drawing and painting, media education and musical education. The technology integration in pedagogical work in preschool basically follows the emerging digital literacy of the child. In the endeavours
for developing professional competency, teachers collaborate within institution and across institutions. (Kalaš, 2010 citing Siraj-Blatchford and Siraj-Blatchford, 2006 and Hayes and Whitebread, 2006)

Figure 2: Dimensions of teacher’s digital literacy

The established model of digital competencies includes the teacher’s professional and pedagogical competencies and the development of students’ digital competencies (Redecker, 2017). Competences are presented in three sets (Figure 3): (1) professional competencies (organizational communication, professional collaboration, reflective practice, digital continuous professional learning), (2) pedagogical competencies for teaching and learning (teaching, guidance, collaborative learning, self-regulatory learning), digital resources (selection, design, management, security and sharing), student empowerment (differentiation and personalization, accessibility and inclusion, active student involvement), assessment (assessment strategies, monito-
ring, feedback and planning) and (3) the development of students’ digital competences (information and media literacy, communication, content development, responsible use, problem solving).

Figure 3: Teacher’s digital competences (Redecker, 2017)
Educational technology in the process of education

Kramar defines the educational process as ‘a deliberately planned, socially organized activity in which the participants in the process of education engaging in learning activities achieve set educational objectives’ (Kramar, 2009). Among the tasks of the educational process are material, formal and formative tasks. Material tasks include the development of material or material knowledge, formal tasks include the development of abilities and personality traits, and formative tasks concern the development of a complete personality. Emphasizing the trinity of tasks is important in the planning and implementation of the educational process, as each student and teacher work intertwine processes within three domains of learning: cognitive, socio-affective and psychomotor (Kramar, 2009). The design of educational technology is influenced by learning theories (Blazič et al., 2003). Learning theories study and explain the processes and factors that influence learning processes and address changes in an individual’s functioning and the conditions under which the changes occurred (Dri-scoll, 2000).

Authors in the United States place the development of educational technology in the early 20th century with visual and audiovisual teaching aids (Reiser, 2001b). Educational technology in the first two decades of the 20th century focused on visualization within the visualization movement in the classroom, during the development of the first educational films (Reiser and Ely, 1997). Visual aids were designed to support teacher in instruction. The use of visual materials and tools in teaching was complemented by audiovisual resources and aids in the 20th century thanks to technological innovations in sound recording, radio, and moving image with sound (Reiser and Ely, 1997). Already during the movement for the visualization of lessons, it was pointed out that it is not only aids in instruction, namely instructional media, e.g. visual materials and aids have an equal role in the curriculum with textbooks and teacher’s instruction (Reiser and Ely, 1997). In the didactic triangle, teacher - student - learning content, educational technology as didactic resources/devices are placed as the fourth component, teacher - student - learning content – educational technology (Kramar, 2009).
In the 1950s and early 1960s, with the communication revolution and the development of communication theories, there was a transition from the study of audiovisual media e.g. materials and teaching aids to the study of audiovisual communication and media (Reiser, 2001b) focusing on the message formation using different media in the pedagogical process (printed, film, radio, television, computer). They felt that the field covered more than just resources and aids, and began to examine communication theories in the development and use of audiovisual aids and resources (Reiser and Ely, 1997). The field of educational technology was defined in the 1960s as audiovisual communication, which deals with the ways in which messages are formed and conveyed in guiding the learning process (ibid.). In the 1970s, the field of audiovisual teaching and communication was replaced by a broader field - instructional design and technology (Reiser, 2001b). Even the very name of the professional association in the USA changed from the Department of Audiovisual Instruction (DAVI) to the Association for Educational Communications and Technology (AECT) in the 1970s (ibid.).

Prior to the first official definition in 1963, educational technology was placed within the framework of audiovisual instruction. In the 1960s, educational technology was considered in the context of audiovisual communication (Reiser and Ely, 1997; Reiser, 2001b). Instructional theories develop teaching models based on learning theory and allow the definition of the conditions and effects of teacher interventions and support in the learning process (Smith and Regan, 1996). In the 1970s, under the influence of cognitivism and the development of instructional theories, the field was renamed instructional design and technology (Reiser, 2001b). The discussion shifts from the focus on learning content and representational characteristics of visual and audio-visual elements in educational communication to the design of the learning process and the effectiveness of technologies in learning in relation to the set learning objectives and methods. Cognitive theory had a greater impact on educational technology in the late 1970s and 1980s, although important works were created as early as the 1950s and 1960s. Cognitive theory shifts attention from learning outcomes (outcome orientation) to the learning process (process orientation) and the characteristics of students and the differences between them. At the end of the 1970s,
in the field of educational technology, instructional design according to learning models began to gain ground, which means a shift from the communicative characteristics of resources to the instructional design.

In the 1980s, with the expansion of microcomputers and information and communication technologies, the computer became well established in education. In the initial period, which lasted from the fifties or sixties to the eighties, the computer was dealt with by experts with specialist computer programming knowledge. In the initial period, they included computers in education for individualization of lessons with (1) practice systems, (2) computer-assisted learning units (comprising text, diagrams, animations), (3) simulation systems (possible). real-world parts, experiments), (4) learning tools (for data processing, laboratory work), (5) learning programming (including programming as problem-solving and computer thinking) (Jones, Kirkup, & Kirkwood, 1992). The introduction of computers into education supported the processes of leadership and management and teaching and learning (Ramiszowski, 1988), computer-assisted learning resources were among the key capabilities in early computer implementation in addition to computer-assisted teaching systems (Reynolds and Anderson, 1992).

With the development of the Internet in the 1990s, networks also penetrated the field of education. Intensive technological developments in the field of computing and Berness-Lee’s concept of the World Wide Web establish opportunities for collaboration and wide availability of resources. Self-directed learning using learning resources, open learning environments (Hannafin Land and Oliver, 1999) and computer-assisted collaborative learning are provided. During this period, constructivism is influential with process orientation and student-centered learning.

With the technological development and introduction of computers, educational technology is no longer conceived only within the framework of didactic means (within the framework of structural components), with its capabilities it supports the entire educational process, at the structural and process level. Modern digital technology encompasses electronic tools, systems, devices and resources, enables the storage and processing of data (Victoria state government education and training, 2019).
In the structure of the educational process, educational technology was placed among the structural components (Kramar, 2009), and now we define it as part of the structural and process components of the learning process, which concerns the levels of articulation of the educational process from the perspective of teachers and students (Figure 4).

Figure 4: The structure of the educational process

In the educational process, the role of educational technology is discussed in the global scheme of factors, as educational technology plays an important role in linking teaching in educational institutions and learning in natural environments. Namely, teaching has a basis in learning in different natural environments (Strmčnik, 2001). Educational technology plays an important role in establishing a learning environment that enables learning related to natural environments, original problems and
cultural practices. Educational technology enables the establishment of an authentic learning environment in three important ways: (1) the use of digital technology for today’s generations is an authentic activity and an authentic environment of social life, (2) due to the capabilities of modern technology, authentic learning environments can be established by connecting the classroom original environments (natural and other) and (3) a learning environment with all functions can be established in a variety of original environments.

Educational technology supports authentic learning at three levels: (1) learning related to the authentic living environment, (2) learning related to authentic – real life tasks, and (3) learning related to the characteristics of the learner and his interaction in the environment. It enables the approach of the natural environment to the educational process (simulations, virtual reality), the establishment of the educational process in the natural environment (mobile learning) and the establishment of a learning environment that enables authentic tasks and takes into account the original characteristics of students.

At the preschool level, educational technology enables authentic learning, which Dewey (1938) defines as learning that makes sense to a child. Based on Dewey’s (1943) model of children’s impulses to learn in interaction with the environment, Bruce and Levin (1997) classified educational technology in a preschool curriculum based on the child’s original activity-play into four categories:

Taxonomy encompasses four types of educational technology, namely: (1) learning by inquiry, (2) interaction and communication as basic for social engagement, (3) construction in play and creativity involving a set of materials and (4) expression (Bruce and Levin, 1997). In the preschool educational process, educational technology supports the child’s immanent creative activity, which is a condition of the child’s development and is adapted to his inclinations (discovery, interaction, problem solving, construction, creativity, expression). Under the first category, educational technology for learning through discovery and research encompasses a wide range of tools for expanding the senses, for thinking, and for manipulating data. Within the second category, educational technology for communication includes a set of tools
for message design, interaction and collaboration. Under the third category, educational technology for construction comprises a set of design tools. Within the fourth category, educational technology for expression, encompasses a set of tools for artistic, musical, multimedia expression, animation, and composition (Bruce and Levin, 1997).

**Educational technology in the global system of factors of the process of education**

In dealing with the factors of the educational process and the factors of the use of educational technology, we will use the scheme of Bronfenbrenner’s ecological systems theory (1979) and Vygotsky’s socio-cultural theory (1978) for a broader framework. The concept of practice, which in sociological theory of practice integrates, manifests and establishes human activity and social structures and systems, serves us best in explaining (Ortner, 1984). Practices are co-established by different systems (e.g. family, school). The characteristics of the practice are comprehensibility within individual practices and procedures of activities and communication (ibid.). Practices in the use of digital technology in the family and among peers are important in the planning of educational technology. Bronfenbrenner’s (1979; 1986) model of studying a child within the microenvironment of his family and in the interdependence of micro- and mesosystems and macrosystems and their practices is directly applicable in the study of children’s digital practices. Vygotsky’s socio-cultural theory (1978) deals with children’s play in relation to the socio-cultural environment in which digital technologies are a cultural tool.

The use of digital technology is changing family, school, and peer practices in two ways, through proliferation and its ubiquity. In the past, we have talked about the proliferative spread of technology, which marks the rapid spread in social practices. School practices were characterized by lagging behind other social practices, and technologies were introduced more slowly and with delay in learning and teaching. In the last decade, we have been talking about percolation percolation, when the spread of technology applies infiltration of practices between different environments and systems (Istenič Starčič and Lebeničnik, 2020).
Technology is ubiquitous and establishes environments beyond time, geography, or physically defined space. The technological environment is immersive and embedded and is defined by interaction that takes place in these environments (Plowman, 2016). Technology is becoming imperceptible and has penetrated into all pores of social life. Researchers point to the inadequacy of the bounded treatment of technology within family or school practice as a predetermined environment (ibid.). If technology was once prominently placed within the home and its practices have affected, for example, the family environment, today technology is becoming increasingly inconspicuous and establishing a context beyond the family environment (ibid.). The study shifts from studying the environment, 'where the practices of using technology take place", to studying by capturing the content of practices or studying "what technological practices cover in the family environment and beyond' (ibid.).

During the period of the spread of television, the core of research was media content, their representational forms and effects on children’s development and learning. Later, the study covered the impact of time spent in front of screens and the colonization of leisure time at the expense of other family leisure activities, the impact of portraying violence, exploiting childhood to promote consumerism (Wartella and Robb, 2011) and developing popular cultures with digital technology changed children’s play and mastered children’s media practices (Cook, 2005).

With the development of television, the use of television for educational purposes has expanded. Television shows for children were designed on the basis of curricular objectives and broadcast as part of formal learning recommended by educational institutions in television programs with the aim of formal and non-formal learning in children’s leisure activities. Researchers have studied the impact of television on a child’s learning (Barr and Hayne, 1999). In early childhood, children’s television educational shows can have a stimulating effect on cognitive abilities (Ball and Boggatz, 1970), language skills (Rice and Haight, 1990), and prosocial skills (Stein and Friederich, 1975). They studied the impact of different television content on cognitive development and knowledge acquisition (Solomon and Cohen, 1977) and different combinations of video, audio and speech content, and dynamics (fast or slow pace) on knowledge acquisition (Huston and Wright, 1983).
Studies have highlighted the sensory characteristics of television. These go beyond the comfort that a child feels when reading, watching picture books, and spontaneous imaginative play, and consequently influence the child’s practices (Singer, 1981). They studied how a child’s viewing of television affects a child’s spontaneous imaginative play. Singer (ibid.) lists attention, short sequences, and the effect of interference among the main features. Television attracts the child’s most attention and distracts her from other activities. The intense dynamics of short sequences affect the child’s perception and experience, because the child seeks a similar intensity of stimuli elsewhere. The effect of interference with the intense playback of external representations affects the child’s memory and information processing. The child processes external stimuli and perceptions with his own imagination, vocalization of words or sounds, and motor movement. When watching television, she stares at the screen and due to the fast dynamics of events, she cannot process his own perception, which impairs his experience and the imaginary world, which are the basis of a child’s imaginary play (ibid.).

The study of the family environment and the active role of parents was conceptualized with the concept of parental mediation, which defines the active role of parents and their way of mediating between the child and the media. Parental mediation theory has dealt with the study of negative media effects on a child’s information processing and cognitive development (Clark, 2011).

The study shifted from media content and media effects to the study of technology as an environment and related experiences on a cognitive, socio-affective, psychomotor and sensory level. Socio-cultural theory deals with children’s play in relation to the socio-cultural environment in which digital technologies are a cultural tool (Vigotski, 1978). Through play as an autotelic activity (the play itself is the cause and purpose), the child experiences his socio-cultural environment from an early age, interpreting it with imagination (Vigotski, 1978). She uses cultural material tools in the play, including digital technology. Psychological tools, sign, speech, and other symbolic systems contribute to the development of cognitive processes that develop using a variety of cultural material tools in a child’s interaction with the environment (Vigotski, 1978).
In society and culture, digital technologies are an important source of socio-cultural practices in all areas, including interaction in the family, school and between peers. Researchers in the field of education study digital technologies as the core of socio-cultural content and tools and related practices (Plowman, Stevenson, Stephen, & McPake, 2012; Edwards, 2013). Digital technologies-related consumer and popular culture practices are permeating children’s practices. Children’s play and toys are used for sophisticated ways of advertising. Children’s needs for toys are media constructed with elements of popular culture. The child encounters toys in television shows, movies, series, and in computer games.

The modern phenomenon of toy conceptualization takes place through transmedia storytelling through various media channels and forms, such as cartoon, film, computer game (Gulden, 2015; Jenkins, 2003). Smart toys connect online and offline, virtual and physical environment (Marsh, 2010), connected toys collect family data that the manufacturer analyzes to advertise its products.

The use of digital technologies in children’s play and learning is a response to the socio-cultural context in which technologies are integrated (Edwards, 2013). It is shaped by the nature of interaction in society, family, school and between peers. Digital technology as a tool of cognition and learning is included in Vygotsky’s socio-cultural theory (1978) in children’s play and school practices from kindergarten onwards. The game manifests socio-cultural practices typical of digital technologies.

Researchers are talking about an increasingly blurred boundary between home, school, and the technological environment (Plowman, 2016). In the past, technology has been addressed within the family or school, such as the proportion of exposure to media content, and has focused on measuring the impact on children’s development. When studying technology as an environment, we consider the establishment of contexts defined by the technological environment and not limited to the family or school. The technological environment is ubiquitous and constantly present and seamlessly enters various social practices. It commands its own practices of communication and interaction between people and technological devices, characteristic of different technological practices.
The environment is no longer spatially or temporally determined and becomes limitless with omnipresent technology, leading us to new approaches in thinking about the relationships between practices, people and technology (ibid.). A different approach to the treatment of technology in the everyday life of children and adolescents is needed. The study of children’s and young people's use of technology takes place in a context that is not directly related to a spatially or temporally defined environment. The discussion shifts from the study of the immediate environment to the consideration of the context established by the technological environment and not tied to the directly present locally and temporally defined environment (ibid.). The technological environment is characterized by practices defined by spatial and temporal distribution, even boundlessness, fragmentation and dispersion, and seamless integration into various family or school practices.

The definition of digital literacy given by Sefton-Green, Marsh, Erstad, and Flewitt (2016) reflects a contextual dimension that extends beyond time and space. Digital literacy is defined as the practice of reading, writing and making sense using a range of digital technologies that take place at events and practices involving both online and offline activities. Digital literacy crosses physical boundaries and the boundaries between online and offline and establishes complex communication outside of time and space (Lander and Sheehy, 2004; in Sefton-Green, Marsh, Erstad, and Flewitt, 2016).

When dealing with a child’s activity at home or when socializing with peers, the use of a mobile phone and computer is tied to contexts outside the immediate environment. The treatment of the child’s activity necessarily includes the child’s interaction in the immediate environment and in the contexts established by the technologically supported interaction, which leads to the intertwining of the interaction in the direct and virtual environment. The boundaries between real and virtual, between offline and online activities are blurred (Marsh, 2010; Plowman, 2016).

**Educational technology in the structure of the process of education**

In didactic theory, the factors of the educational process constitute a complex system of subjects that operate in the context of the department, kindergarten as an
organisation, family, in the local environment and at the global level of social and natural systems. The educational process consists of a structural and procedural ingredients, involving: subjects (teacher, students), structural components (objectives, contents, methods, didactic means, didactic environment) and process components (articulation of the educational process: planning, implementation, verification and assessment) (Kramar, 2009).

The discussion of the basic structure of teaching has traditionally taken place in a didactic triangle in the relationship between three components: teacher - student - content. In the second half of the 20th century, in didactic theory, this triangle was supplemented with didactic means and thus expanded into a four-component structure teacher - student - content - didactic means (ibid.). In Slovene didactics, the structural components include goals, contents, methods, didactic resources and the didactic environment, while teachers and students are considered among the subjects of teaching (ibid.).

Educational technology is understood in Slovene didactics as a structural component. In the definitions of the structure of teaching Slovene didactics, we find didactic means. Kramar (ibid.) Defines didactic resources as resources, transmitters (media) and the work of teachers and students. An overview of the definition and historical development of the concept of educational technology shows that it has gone through several phases, starting with the content and its visualization or audio-visual presentation in the first decades of the 20th century. Even then, it was pointed out that visual aids and tools play an equal role in the curriculum, alongside textbooks and teacher’s instruction (Reiser and Ely, 1997). Later it was conceived in the context of educational communication, supported by various technologies that, through their characteristics, enable the transmission or mediation of educational messages (Ely, 1983; Reiser, 2001b). Recently, the discussion has shifted to the learning environment established by educational technology (Istenič Starčič and Lebeničnik, 2020) as a structural and process component. Namely, in the 1970s, with the development of instructional models within the framework of teaching theory, it was defined as instructional design and technology (Reiser, 2001b) and encompasses structural and process components.
Educational technology is therefore defined in the set of structural and process components that enable the articulation of the educational process by the teacher and students.

Following, we discuss the structural components, the learning environment and the learning objectives. In the introduction, we have already pointed out the influence of technological development and the contextual conception of the learning environment. When using educational technology, it is important to consider in connection with digital technologies in the child’s family environment, which is not limited to the physical environment at home. Digital technology intervenes in the family environment by introducing socio-cultural practices of consumerism and mass cultures with specific practices specific to technological environments. These include the transmedia practices, for example, toys with the inclusion of various media channels and forms, such as cartoon, film, computer game and didactic games.
Child's primary environment and digital technology

The primary environment of the family with the active role of parents is important for the child's development from birth to school. Digital technology is included in the socio-cultural practices of the family in the approximate environment through social interaction, children's play and artifacts, influencing the child's daily experiences. The child's primary interaction in the family environment is changed in two ways. Digital technology intervenes in the relationship between the child, the interactive content, and the environment, thereby altering interaction in the family by changing the context in which the child establishes interaction. As Plowman (2016) wrote, a child does not interact in a direct spatial and temporal home environment, the interaction context is established by the technological environment. The manner and process of interaction between the child and parents and other family members have also changed. A digital device emerges as an interaction interface in a family environment that can inhibit interaction or enable new ways of communication. For example, when looking at a screen, parents talk to a child without eye contact, thus impoverishing eye contact communication. The eye contact is key to a child’s emotional security and a basic stimulus for his or her expression and interaction with an adult. When playing with a digital toy or playing a screen game, children enter technological environments that establish a context outside the family environment.

Trends in studying the impact of digital technologies in child's environment

The study of family digital practices and children's exposure to the media has become intensive with the expansion of television. With the proliferation of television in homes, children watch television programs before the age of three and have their favorite shows at the age of two (Guernsey, 2012). The study of children's use of information and communication technologies has, with the expansion of television, problematized the impact of mass media and media content (Wartella and Robb, 2011), especially the negative effects on children's information processing and cognitive development (Clark, 2011). Among them, Wartella and Robb (2011) highlight: studying the impact of time spent in front of screens, the colonization of
leisure time at the expense of other family leisure activities, the impact of portraying violence, and consumerism that addresses parents indirectly through children. To this must be added the study of popular cultures that are spreading with digital technology and changing children’s play. Consumerism and popular cultures are entering children’s media practices (Cook, 2005). The use of digital technology is extremely difficult to separate from consumption. The use of digital technology enables consumption, and consumption encourages the use of technology (Edwards, 2013).

The production of children’s programs (Anderson and Pempek, 2005) and the presence of several television sets in the household, which are also in children’s rooms, have extended the time children spend in front of screens (Wartella and Robb, 2011). In 2003, nearly 40 percent of children under the age of six in the U.S. had television in their room (Wartella and Robb, 2011). In the period of ubiquity of mobile devices and the introduction of tablets and smartphones, more and more children own mobile devices, which prolongs their time in front of screens and reduces the age of children who spend time in front of screens. The study of the effects of media violence on children (Bandura, 1977; Singer, 1981) has spread to all digital media since the study of the original television viewing. The study covers a range of phenomena: watching violent media contents, playing violent computer games, showing various forms of relational violence, such as abuse of friendship and violent messages. Researchers find that media violence affects children’s and later adults’ perceptions of various social phenomena and, consequently, the relationships they develop in the circle of family, peers and later in the work environment.

The development of consumerism and popular cultures was accelerated by television by incorporating advertising into children’s shows and, in the 1980s, by developing market-based shows that advertised toys (Wartella and Robb, 2011). The development of digital media has accelerated consumerism, as it is no longer determined in time and space of visiting the store. The use of digital technology integrates various forms of consumerism. Children’s toys and other products are among the pillars of consumerism. Children’s play and toys are subtly marketed with the development of toys like t. i. spinoff of media production products (television series, movies, computer games) and smart toys, where they collect data on family practices, allowing the industry to accelerate consumption.
The study of the influences of the media in the family environment was conceptualized while studying parental mediation. Clark (2011) summarizes three strategies of parental mediation from the television period: active mediation as a discussion of media with the child, restrictive mediation as setting rules governing the child’s use of media, and co-viewing, which includes parental presence and nonverbal communication. Active mediation through conversation encourages interaction between parents and the child in general, develops critical thinking and critical evaluation of media content (ibid.). Research has put restrictive mediation in front of a co-viewing, while too little restrictive or too restrictive mediation has controversial effects, in a child arouses resistance and a desire for the forbidden (Nathanson, 2002, in Clark, 2011). Co-viewing of television as a family practice that brings family members together has been criticized for saying that the family is only physically united in front of the television screen, which does not encourage and increase interaction between family members (Maccoby, 1951, in Wartella and Robb, 2011). In 1999, the American Academy of Pediatrics indicated the danger of replacing direct interaction in the family with passive television viewing (AAP - American Academy of Pediatrics, 1999). However, some research has also found positive effects on family well-being and structuring family routines relative to television programming (Silverstone, Hirsch, & Morley, 1991; Lull, 1980; in Clark, 2011). Research reports on differences between the genders, mother and father, by education and differences in family income. Mothers, higher educated parents, and higher-income families use multiple parenting mediation strategies (Eastin, Greenberg, & Hofshire, 2006; in Clark, 2011).

With the ownership of mobile devices and their entry into children’s rooms, parental mediation is becoming more demanding. With the development of information technologies and mobile devices, the theory of parental mediation does not cover the complexity and dynamics with which digital media enter family practices and their both negative and positive effects (Clark, 2011; Livingstone, Ólafsson, Helsper, Lupiáñez-Villanueva, Veltri in Folkword, 2017). Traditional forms of parental mediation are less appropriate and often ineffective in the age of mobile technology (Mascheroni and Ólafsson, 2014). Restrictive mediation with technical control is still in use, co-viewing and active mediation are no longer sufficient (Livingstone et
In online practices, children are the initiators and lead in front of parents in adopting strategies, which the authors call reverse socialization (Valcke, Bonte, Wener, & Rots, 2010; Li-vingstone et al., 2017). Clark (2011) addresses parental mediation in conjunction with factors such as parenting style and emotions that are under pressure from the dynamics between technology, family members, and their interests and needs. For example, a child’s use of digital media is a cause for concern for parents, while for a child or adolescent, joy and satisfaction (ibid).

Chakroff and Nathanson (2011) cite the positive effects of a child’s vocabulary development and readiness for school, the impact of prosocial TV shows on a child’s prosocial development, and the impact of playing computer games on spatial and coordination skills. A review of research in the period 2009–2014 on the impact of the use of digital technologies on children’s learning between the ages of three and six highlights literacy, inclusion, interaction, mathematics (Zomer and Kay, 2016).

Clark (2011) introduces participatory learning as an alternative to active mediation, in which parents use digital learning media together with their child. At the preschool level, active mediation in the form of participatory learning can also include a guided play with digital technologies. Digital technology, according to research conducted by Plowman, McPake and Stephen (2010; 2012) among parents and educators, contributes to learning and development in three main ways: (1) Develops skills to understand the functionality and interactivity of digital media as also the child’s ability to manipulate them. (2) Expands knowledge and understanding of the world in various curricular areas through the use of programs, websites and digital books. (3) Develops dispositions for learning in the affective, social, and cognitive fields (Plowman, McPake, & Stephen, 2012).

Parents and pedagogical staff in preschool need concrete guidelines on the use of digital and educational technology in different developmental periods, which place digital activities among other children’s activities and cover all areas of learning, not just general ones. Researchers in various fields characterize inappropriate polarized views and a generalized view of digital technology as harmful, or describe its use only as positive. Pediatricians point to the balanced recommendations of pediatric associ-
ations that address both the negative and positive aspects and potentials of technology for learning and development (Straker, Zabetiero, Danby, Thorpe, & Edwards, 2018). Researchers in the field of media studies and education emphasize the need for a comprehensive and contextualized treatment that connects family and school practices while tackling society’s demands for 21st century competencies (Livingstone et al., 2017).

Livingstone and colleagues (2017) classified parental mediation into two sets: into mediation to enable the use of digital technology, and into restrictive mediation that controls and restricts. Mediation to enable the use of digital technology is akin to active parental mediation that was established at the time of television. While active mediation involved mainly talking to the child, mediation to enable the use of digital technology supports the child's activity, taking into account the high complexity of digital media. It includes developing children’s skills, intervening and encouraging quality use of digital media. Mediation to enable the use of digital technology requires parents to have developed digital skills and knowledge of the safe use of the Internet, as well as a commitment to the use of digital technologies (Livingstone et al., 2017). Research results show that propensity to use and experience with use influence parents’ choice of mediation style (Valcke, Bonte, Wener, & Rots, 2010; Livingstone et al., 2017).

**Digital technology in a child's play environment**

A child is born into a cultural environment marked by cultural tools, and his play is the primary mode of cultural development (Vigotski, 1978). It interacts with its environment, in which exchanges with intermediaries take place, including digital technology in modern society. Children’s play is essentially an autotelic activity, makes up a large part of his daily life and is important for his development. Autotelic activity is internally motivated, self-sustaining, and engages the child into its flow (Rautio, 2013). The child plays out of his curiosity and inner need to play. He develops the skills of playing through the game itself as an autotelic activity, in which he includes material, objects from his immediate environment and other forms of exchange and intervention in the environment. Play enables the child to experience
the social cultural environment, which he perceives and interprets through play (Vigotski, 1978). A child’s play is a source of development, enabling him to move away from concrete experiences and develop thinking, by establishing an area of approximate development in which the child acts on his abilities (Vigotski, 1987).

Compared to the socio-cultural view of children’s play, cognitive play theory highlights developmentally appropriate play activities, based on the assumption that children’s play is conditioned by the child’s development. Piaget (1962) describes four stages of a child development and the first three take place in early learning and development. At the first stage of sensorimotor, the child develops a functional or practical play (movement and senses). In the second the preoperational stage, the child develops symbolic play and increasingly involve in a pretend play that allows him to represent experiences in the environment (symbolic play and manipulating symbols). A special form of play that enables the transition from sensorimotor to symbolic is constructional play which Piaget describes as an accommodation play (Marjanović Umek and Kavčič, 2001). In the third stage, the concrete operational level, the child develops a play of rules.

Various authors define the game developmentally. Hutt (1966) classifies play into epistemological, in which the child explores his environment and his sensory abilities, and ludic, in which he explores with imagination and imagines how he can manipulate various objects from the environment. Bird and Edwards (2015) interpret epistemic and ludic play in a socio-cultural perspective, using tools combined in the contexts of epistemic and ludic activity.

In the preschool period, Toličič (1961) classifies play into functional, imaginary, perceptual and creative play. Perceptual play involves listening to fairy tales, observing, imitating, while creative composing, storytelling, drawing, dancing, singing.

Symbolic play establishes a developmental area for the child, in which the imagination enables him to interpret the environment and work on his own abilities (Vygotsky, 1978). Symbolic play is characterized by the interpretation of the socio-cultural environment by directing oneself (autosymbol play), role-playing and by moving away from the real situation and overcoming it (Vygotsky, 1978, p. 99). Group symbolic or sociodramatic play is symbolically more complex. In addition to
interaction and verbal communication, various forms of symbolic play, imitation, toy-related transformation, or verbal transformation take place (Marjanovič Umek and Kavčič, 2001). In a sociodramatic play, the child relives actual or imaginary experiences in the course of their own reasoning and interpretation (Lindon, 2001).

Through play the child develops many skills, which are an integral part of the play. The opposite is the allotel game, which is instrumentalized and conditioned by external impulses and goals. The allotel game includes a didactic game planned according to the educational goals.

Digital technology is entering children’s play at all levels of development. Along with traditional toys (plush toys, dolls, puzzles, etc.), children encounter digital toys. Digital toys are classified into screen digital toys and non-screen digital toys (Stephen and Plowman, 2014). Children of the touch interface generation are exposed to digital media from birth (Plowman, McPake, & Stephen, 2008) and have no pre-digital experience. The child uses various digital devices when playing. Among those who do not belong to the toys, the child uses a smartphone, tablet, personal or laptop computer, digital camera, digital camera. The child handles the camcorder and camera, listens to music, uses audio recorders and listening devices.

Criticisms of the digital game, claiming that it restricts creation, are rejected by the authors (Bolstad, 2004; Stephen and Plowman, 2014). In creative play, the child expresses himself by using different devices, and not just by using selected computer games.

Non-screen digital toys include smart toys (with built-in sensors for recognizing the child’s interaction and response to different parts of the toy) and connected toys (connected to a smartphone, computer, etc., including connected toys that collect and transmit information about the child on the Internet, allowing them to react to the child’s interaction). A new area of children’s play with the emergence of digital toys connected to the Internet is represented by augmented reality toys and mixedreality technologies (Yilmaz, 2016). It is called the Internet of Toys and connects toys to the Internet and, through sensors, enables the programming of a toy and its communication with a child or other toys. Interactive toys provide: a holistic experi-
ence that includes tactile, imaginative, functional mechanical and emotional experiences; provides different types of feedback; child supervision or combined parental supervision (Lampe and Hinske, 2007).

Child’s play and imaginary world emerges or is constructed by storytelling manipulating physical and digital materiality. Child’s imaginary world during the play (Fig. 5) transit or merge physical and virtual environment during the play (Stapleton and Hughes, 2003) and supports diverse forms of imaginary play which a child performs by storytelling. Child’s augmented playground for individual or sociodramatic play provides possibility for embedded narrative in technology-based learning environment. The diverse set of digital toys and the traditional toys enriched with electronic or virtual multimedia contents add to a child storytelling recording and collaborative features (Lampe and Hinske, 2007). The digital competences for safety of digital toys is very high on agenda for parents and educators.

Figure 5: A model of a child’s play and imagination constructed by storytelling utilizing physical and virtual materiality (based on Stapleton in Hughes, 2003)
Digital play is addressed in three approaches: examining the extent to which digital activities fall within the scope of children’s play; comparisons of traditional and technological toys and identification of possible promotion of new types of play with technological toys; studying the contexts of children’s play and interpreting digital play as a culturally embedded activity (Edwards, 2013). The boundaries between traditional and digital gaming are blurring (Marsh, 2010). In the preschool period, digital play as a culturally located activity is the basis for planning pedagogical approaches (Edwards, 2013). Culturally embedded digital toys and games are also studied from the perspective of a hidden curriculum (Edwards, 2015). The curriculum should include digital technology in several way.

- The child should use tools and objects in her environment for different types of play, epistemic (inquiry and problem solving), functional or practical (sensorimotor schemes), ludic (symbolic, imaginary, innovative activities). The authors note that technological play reduces the level of complexity characteristic of pretended and imaginative play (Johnson and Christie, 2009; Smirnova, 2011; Edwards, 2015). The digital toy with built-in mechanisms and interactive software is self-sufficient, carries out activities independently of the child who would guide a digital toy. The child’s creativity is thus inhibited, when playing with a toy, such as a doll, the child does not animate her emotions, and built-in interactive mechanisms replace the child’s fantasy (Smirnova, 2011). Researchers point to the difficulty of separating meaning from object (Leong and Bodrova, 2012; Edwards, 2013; Bird and Edwards, 2015), which is important in the development of symbolic thinking (Bird and Edwards, 2015).

- Through play, the child learns digital skills and learns the characteristics of digital technologies. He begins to develop digital skills early on, as digital technology enters a child’s life very early on. Although play is a fundamental way of learning in preschool, Bird and Edwards (ibid.) believe that we have no insight into the processes of learning a child’s digital skills that take place through play.

- When playing with technology, the child learns in various areas and recognising this, the development of didactic programs for the preschool level is
becoming more intensive. Digital toys and programs developed for home use and for the global market also need to be addressed in terms of a hidden curriculum that addresses cultural traditions and practices and ways of interacting in teaching and learning that should not be uncritically introduced into the child’s cultural environment (Edwards, 2015). When using didactic programs, children depend on their developed digital skills.

**Parental mediation in the use of digital technologies**

Among the factors influencing an child’s early contact with technology is parental influence. Parents are the child’s role models and intermediaries in his use of the media (Plowman, McPake, & Stephen, 2008). The approach to use also depends on their understanding of technology and its role in children’s play. A survey (Plowman, McPake, & Stephen, 2012) among parents of preschool children on parents’ perceptions of the benefits of computer use in preschool identified four areas that cover:

- the development of computer skills,
- the understanding of the world,
- the development of dispositions for learning (independence in computer manipulation, which the child can transfer to other areas),
- the understanding the role of technology in everyday life from observing adults and when children themselves become involved in more advanced use,
- encouraging impact of planned activities in preschool educational institutions on family practices.

The role of parents in establishing a safe and supportive environment includes mediation in media use and media literacy (Chakroff and Nathanson, 2011). In both mediation and media literacy, critical consideration of the media and their effects is crucial. Media literacy is multidimensional and includes insights from media studies, sociology, psychology, pedagogy and health. Educators and teachers play an important role in raising parents’ awareness, as they deal with these topics in preschool education.
European research describes media socialization (Süss, Lampert, & Wijnen, 2009, in Chaudron, 2015), which derives from the basic principle that children should not be excluded from media use, but should use it meaningfully and safely in a variety of areas. Pedagogical approaches include: learning quality use through role play derived from the child’s experiences and emotions; parental control of content; the introduction of new media technologies in collaborative activities with the child, drawing attention to the internet safety; writing a diary for the child about the media, news and personal experiences; the child’s independent expression, in the sense that the experience is not mediated by the parents (Süss, Lampert, & Wijnen, 2009; in Chaudron, 2015, pp. 57–58).

Technological toys are increasingly entering the play. Simple electric and electronic toys or powered toys, which traditionally had a place in a child’s environment, are now replacing digital toys and digital devices. A child’s play environment is increasingly incorporating digital resources and devices. A personal computer on the desk, with accompanying ergonomic requirements, and mobile devices that allow child’s use also in movement enhance technology use at the early stage.

Due to the increased share of screen activities, the child’s interaction with adults and children’s play, which is crucial for his learning and development, is impoverished. As Figure 6 shows, the following are important in daily activities: (1) parental mediation to enable the use of digital technology through participatory learning and guided play with digital devices. When interacting with a device, tablet or phone, verbal and non-verbal communication with eye contact, body movements, nodding of the head, movement around the room is important. The child’s engagement should be (2) active, not passive. In digital activities, the child is physically active, activating fine motor skills and gross motor movement. Thus, when using a tablet computer or mobile phone, a child moves freely around the room (Volk, Cotič, Zajc and Istenič Starčič, 2018). Interaction with the touch screen and with the manipulation of digital and physical objects is recommended (AAP, 2016). Activities also take place on a cognitive level when the child engages in activities that take place on a digital device. Socioemotionally, a child is active when interacting with other people around her.
Researchers report that parents are aware of the importance of linking digital activities to traditional activities, which involve integrating digital into other traditional play activities of the child (Stephen and Plowman, 2014).

Figure 6: Digital technology in a child's play in a child – parent interaction
**Teachers and parents working towards partnership**

In the preschool period, the child develops through experiences in different environments, the primary environments being the family and kindergarten. Bronfenbrenner (1979) points out the intertwining of different environments and the interactions between them. The development of emerging literacy begins in everyday interaction in the family environment, with different sources being important and among them increasingly digital technology (Marsh et al., 2017). When using digital media, children not only develop digital skills, but also develop dispositions for learning in all areas (Plowman et al., 2010; 2012).

Research reports that a child’s primary environment is a source of digital media experience that the educator incorporates in their day-to-day work in kindergarten. In doing so, it takes into account the experiences of different environments from which children come and establishes a broader context of socio-cultural practices. The results of research in European countries show that some parents know and provide their child with quality digital applications, while other parents do not know them and have no ideas for their use (Chaudron, 2015). The research emphasizes the educator’s role in raising awareness and educating parents about digital technology and encouraging the child to use it in a quality way (ibid.).

When addressing digital technology at an early age in partnership with parents, the teachers follows four key aspects of the role of digital technologies:

- the child’s development takes place through play activities in which the child interacts with the environment and with cultural artifacts, among which digital ones are becoming more widespread,
- digital technologies enable the development of a child’s digital skills,
- digital technologies enable the development of dispositions for learning and learning and
- digital technologies and digital skills enable learning in various curricular areas.

Addressing contexts in which digital technology is used at an early age requires ethnographic studies in authentic settings and the involvement of parents as active
stakeholders who observe children’s activities (Marsh et al., 2015). There is not much empirical research that would study in depth the use of digital technologies in a child’s early period. Research on the qualitative examination of the family environment from birth to the age of eight included observation and interviews with parents (Chaudron, 2015).

The partnership between the teachers and the parents includes the participation of the parents in the institution and the direct or indirect participation in the educational work with the children in the kindergarten and at home. Batistič Zorec and Turnšek (2002) include the field of cooperation between kindergarten and family in the indirect level of monitoring and ensuring the quality of preschool education.

The traditional division into formal (meetings and speaking hours) and informal forms of cooperation (communication and activities agreed by parents and educators) has been overcome with the support of modern information and communication technologies. Educational institutions use various systems and approaches in establishing partnerships with parents, and among the most widespread are documentation and information systems that upgrade the original functions related to communication and the organization of daily routines. Namely, the tools for distance communication and cooperation enable various forms of synchronous and asynchronous communication and thus more closely connects the environments of the educational institution and the family. This enables a better partnership in activities in the field of curriculum planning, implementation and evaluation. Greater transparency of the implementation curriculum is ensured with daily, weekly and annual preparations of the educator’s work and digital learning resources. Educators work with colleagues in their home and other educational institutions and with their parents in planning and designing learning resources. Preparations and learning resources can be used to connect kindergarten and family environments.

The partnership between the educator and the parents has a stimulating effect on well-being, mutual trust and safety; enables connection and continuity between the two environments (Sheridan, Knoche, Edwards, Bovaird and Kupzyk, 2010) and thus a higher quality of use of digital technologies in kindergarten and home environ-
ment. Early learning is marked by two important transitions from home to kindergarten and soon after from kindergarten to school. Among the key roles of the partnership, Berčnik and Devjak (2017) cite readiness for school by caring for children who are less adapted to the transition from kindergarten to primary school, and the impact on academic performance.

Partnership is based on the motivation for participation of all involved (Resman, 1992). Research shows the diversity of perceptions of children's needs and practices in the use of digital technology between families within the same cultural environments and between cultural environments. Some parents believe that digital technology enables a child's development and brings potential for his academic success, while others see it primarily as the risks posed by the use of technology in early childhood (Rideout, 2011).

The operation in the treatment of digital media provides mutual insight; the parents know the practices in the family circle, the educator is given the insight into the diversity of the children's family practices, which are the basis of the organization of work in the kindergarten. Approaches to partnership are based on the educator's sensitivity to the needs of children and parents and on recognizing parenting style, which also takes place in less transparent and tangible ways, and not just in the form of events such as parent meetings and workshops (Jeynes, 2011). The partnership is based on trust, is established mutually, for the duration of the kindergarten program, and is reflected in daily activities. The educator and the parents share the experiences of the child’s family and kindergarten play environment and connect them into a comprehensive experience. In partnership, the educator obtains information about the child's daily experiences in the home environment, play habits and interests, daily feeding routines, exercise and the child's current development. Digital technology significantly intervenes between daily activities and routines in various fields. Pediatric associations and researchers therefore monitor the use of digital technologies among the key factors of the child's environment (daily activities, nutrition, exercise, use of digital technologies), their potential and effects on development and learning (Bellows, McCloskey, Clark, Thompson, Bekelman, Chamberlin and Johnson, 2018).
When establishing a partnership, there is an agreement on ways of communication and cooperation in the field of digital technology, their use at home and in pedagogical approaches in kindergarten. Partnership includes joint activities, which include discussions and exchange of experiences, activities of parental mediation and observation of the child working with digital technology in the home environment. Considering the fact that the environment of home and kindergarten interact and involve all partners with their different socio-cultural experiences, the professional experience of parents (Bronfenbrenner, 1979) also has a significant impact on the child’s use of technology in the family environment. digital practices in preschool.

The partnership between the teacher and the parents does not mean that the educator offers parents instructions for home activities, but mutual cooperation in planning and reflection on working with the child both at home and in preschool (Karila and Alasuutari, 2012). In the field of digital technologies, the partnership takes place in several areas of integration of digital technology into the curriculum, which is implemented in the kindergarten in continuity with the home environment:

- taking into account the child’s play habits and interests in integrating digital technology into the child’s spontaneous play;
- the parental mediation in the use of digital technology to learn about technology and develop digital literacy (Livingstone et al., 2017);
- the understanding the child, his skills and the potential development of digital skills, which indirectly contributes to the use of digital technology for the development of dispositions for learning and learning in different areas of the curriculum;
- getting to know and critically evaluating various applications, didactic programs, their pedagogical and didactic values and suitability for different development periods by curriculum areas;
- the partnership in the implementation curriculum of the preschool.

Establishing a partnership is a lengthy process. It takes place in stages that enable the preparation of a partnership, the analysis of needs and goals, the formation of a common vision and plan, the planning and evaluation of partnership processes
(Bryan and Henry, 2012). The establishment of a partnership is guided by the principles of democracy, justice and empowerment (ibid.). The Slovenian curriculum for kindergartens considers the principle of cooperation with parents to be one of the foundations for the implementation of the curriculum for kindergartens.

The teachers establishes a partnership with the parents and connects them in a working group for the analysis of the situation and for planning the integration of ICT in the activities of the kindergarten. She can prepare mobservation instruments, and it is important to process the results together with the parents. It can use surveys or interviews with parents, or develop an observation protocol to monitor and record activities over a period of time. Audio, video or photo-analyses are sensitive to sharing and dealing with different stakeholders, but they enable parents to observe and record better with the help of audio documentation, video viewing or documentation of the child’s daily activities through photography.

In collaboration the use of group ware and social media contributes to workflow and intensity. Also the documentation and information systems integrate collaboration tools (Istenič Starčič and Vukan, 2019). Computer-aided communication enables quality and effective cooperation, as it takes place integrated in an authentic family environment. The technologies allow for simulation, exchange of examples of good practice and discussion of the risks associated with overuse or inappropriate uses of digital technology. Parents are introduced to the methods of parental mediation for the use of digital technology and the differences between them, mediation for enabling the use of digital technology and restrictive mediation (Livingstone et al., 2017). It promotes ways of sharing technologies with children and building a shared understanding of the role of technology in everyday life. Parents need to recognise the value of parent–child cooperation which can contribute during a childhood. It offers and insight and important information for the development of their own understanding of children’s practices and maintain their close contact and communication about technology with the child in future child developmental periods.

Parents in collaboration with teacher carry out the observation is over a defined period of time, for example within a week. The existing observation protocols can be
applied. For example, the observation protocol in a European survey in seven countries included a description of the environment (presence of devices, toys, access to nature, playgrounds, libraries, gyms, cinemas, etc.), observation of digital activities, observation of digital devices in children’s use, observation of children’s skills devices, observation of parent-child behavior with digital devices, and parental mediation (Chaudron, 2015).

Recommendations and risks

As early as 1996, the National Association for the Education of Young Children in the United States emphasized that technology played an important role in all areas of life and that its importance would increase in the future (NAEYC - National Association for the Education of Young Children, 1996 cited in Rosen and Jaruszewicz, 2009). The recommendations of NAEYC in U. S. (2012) for the age from birth to age of 8 years are based on the assumption that technology is potentially harmful to children and should be selected and protected from its harmful effects. The advantages of learning technology are also emphasized, which requires the support of planned interventions, and integration should be combined with traditional activities, which should not be replaced by digital activities (NAEYC, 1996). The recommendations of the American Academy of Pediatrics (2010; 2011) do not recommend viewing screens for children before the age of two, and controlled viewing in the presence of their parents for children after the age of two, up to a maximum of two hours a day. Experts point out that children develop attention to television immediately after birth, which they attribute to a child’s exposure to lit television in the background, even during a child’s play or interaction with an adult (Anderson and Pempek, 2005).

The recommendations of relevant pediatric associations, such as the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP, 2016) and the Canadian Pediatrics Society (2017), are slowly changing. Polarized treatments shift to a more balanced treatment of the negative and positive aspects and potentials of technology for learning and development (Straker et al., 2018). The updated 2016 recommendations encourage the inclusion of touch interfaces in toddlers’ learning, which should enable play with traditional toys-like interactive activities. The American Academy of Pediatrics recommends the
inclusion of activities with touch interfaces at the age of 18 months. For the age group between the ages of two and four, they recommend the use of quality didactic programs. When using, they recommend parent-child interaction (AAP, 2016). The Canadian Pediatric Association highlights the benefits of learning and development in the first place, followed by the risks (Canadian Pediatrics Society, 2017).

Australian health organization recommendations discourage viewing screens and using digital technology before the age of two. The recommendations also concern parent-child interaction and limiting the involvement of digital media (Australian government department of health, 2012). The Australian Children’s Education and Care Quality Authority integrates digital technology into the learning objective, 'A successful child in communication' in the pre-school curriculum (Council of Australian Governances, 2009). The child works with different texts and develops meaning (multimedia texts are mentioned in this connection), the child presents ideas and establishes meaning using different media and the child uses ICT in accessing information, researching ideas and presenting their thinking (Council of Australian governments, 2009). They make recommendations for digital play and the use of technology to develop children’s skills for learning and social interaction (Straker et al., 2018).

With premature and excessive use, the benefits of playing and learning can become risks. The child’s immediate environment is formed by interaction with adults and peers. In the earliest period, touch is required for a child’s development (Serra, Mi-guel, Moura, Sampaio, and Pereira, 2020). Parental interaction with the child is based on non-verbal communication, movement, eye contact, facial expressions and touch, which establishes a safe environment and promotes the socio-affective, cognitive and psychomotor development of the child. Research points to the role of touch in non-verbal communication with eye contact and facial expressions in the mother’s play with the child (ibid.).

Introducing a mediator in the form of a tablet or smartphone into interaction and play can impoverish and limit verbal and nonverbal communication if a child and an adult stare at the screen. Playing with a tablet should include all forms of non-verbal communication, as in playing with toys and other objects. Parents guide and
challenge the child in play or accompany her in her spontaneous play, with touch and nonverbal communication being key. Using a computer to replace a child’s play, movement activities, and interactions with parents, teachers, or peers is a risk to a child’s development and health. The study of digital technology in children’s and family routines in the preschool period is included in interventions to promote healthy eating and exercise habits that are embedded in the cultural context of families’ digital practices (Bellows et al., 2018).

The entry of intermediaries, such as tablets and smartphones, changes the way children and parents communicate and play. The child communicates with movement, with non-verbal communication, at the earliest period. Eye contact between an adult and a child is important, but there is less eye contact with the inclusion of screens, even when parents are communicating with the child at the screen, as the views are fixed on the screen. Digital toys can complement traditional toys and stimulate a child’s creativity or inhibit it (Johnson and Christie, 2009). Due to the increased proportion of activities with the screen, the child’s play is depleted, which is crucial in his learning and development (Singer, 1981; Johnson and Christie, 2009; Smirnova, 2011). The increase in audiovisual stimuli in the media results in a decrease in interest in fantasy play. Digital technology can reduce or curtail spontaneous children’s play.

Research shows an increase in screen viewing, which has the effect of reducing children’s interest in reading and declining reading (Singer, 1981; Rideout, 2011). Exposure to violence on screens and playing violent computer games influence social development and prosocial and antisocial behavior (Bandura, 1977; Singer, 1981). Increased exposure to violent content reduces negative emotional responses to violence and empathy (Anderson, Gentile, & Dill, 2012), impairs general well-being, and causes attention and sleep disorders (Howard-Jones, 2011).

Pediatricians list potential dangers in the physical, cognitive, emotional, and social fields. In the field of the child’s physical development, they state: poor-mashed and twisted posture, repetitive movements, accidents and an increased share of sedentary activities at the expense of motor skills, which leads to a slowdown in mu-
sculculoskeletal and motor development. In the cognitive area, they report decreased attention, reduced opportunities for verbal interaction, problem solving, and creativity. In the emotional realm, they mention probability for addiction, depression, access to inappropriate content, and advertising, and in the social realm, isolation, reduced face-to-face interaction, violence, and predatory pedophilia (Straker et al., 2018).

Increased use of technology in the family increases the risks of problematic Internet use in the early period and later in life. European research has summarized the methodology of the five criteria of Internet addiction (Grüsser and Thalemann, 2006, in Chaudron, 2015, p. 55):

- the reduced ability of regulation of negative emotional state (use of the device takes place as a form of stress management and regulation of negative emotions with positive arousal and relaxation),
- raising tolerance (the desired effect of regulating negative emotions can be achieved by increasing the frequency and time of use),
- symptoms of deficiency (reduction or discontinuation of use causes tension, irritability, aggression, decreased attention to all but the right applications),
- loss of control (user does not control use and self-regulation).

A quantitative study in Belgium mentioned the following aspects among the psychosocial problems that parents are aware of (Chaudron, 2015, pp. 20–62):

- technologies attract and are associated with uncontrolled overuse,
- the gratification offered by the use of digital devices and games leads to addiction,
- feelings of boredom in the child, which parents should manage with a variety of activities and the development of the child's interests,
- hyperstimulating adrenaline games and mood swings
- mental irritability and eye strain.
Educational technology in structuring the educational environment

In Slovenia, the kindergarten curriculum defines children’s play as a key child’s activity, which represents a way of children’s learning and development and naturally combines the basic principles of preschool education and goes beyond involvement in an academic or developmental approach (Bahovec et al., 1999, p. 10–11). Game-based curriculum is prevalent in early learning. Play is an autotelic activity, the child plays because of the play itself, and in it he experiences his socio-cultural environment, when in the process of interpretation he connects play and reality through imagination (Vigotski, 1978). In play, children draw from the social and cultural environment with the fundamental purpose of play as an autotelic activity activity that is self-serving and in which the child develops and improves his or her play skills. In the context of kindergarten curriculum, play is defined as a basic activity that connects curricular areas (motor skills, language, arts, social studies, science, mathematics) in achieving educational objectives according to the principles of quality education in kindergarten, which allows the child activities with objects and gaining social experience (Bahovec et al., 1999, pp. 10–11).

The development of preschool education in the function of preparation for school, which would enable uniform preparation and experience for all children in the period of emerging literacy, has been criticized for its excessive curricular orientation and establishing a learning-play dichotomy for not taking into account children’s natural and social conditions (Weissberg, Hirsh-Pasek, Golinkoff and Kittredge in Klahr, 2016; Ilgaz, Hassan-ger-Das, Hirsh-Pasek and Michnick Golinkoff, 2018). Preparations for school, intended to perform a compensatory function with didactic approaches that are narrowly focused on basic literacy and the development of school readiness skills, undermine the child’s spontaneous play, which is the child’s original activity of language development, cognitive and socio-cognitive abilities (Ilgaz et al., 2018). Marjanovič Umek and Kroflič (2009) drew attention to critical developmental periods in which the kindergarten establishes a stimulating environment of formal and non-formal learning in connection with the child’s family experiences and their various cultural practices. The organization of work in kindergarten requires interdisciplinary approaches, the promotion of speech development,
which significantly affects all areas, the development of methodologies in all curricular areas, understanding the hidden curriculum in routine activities and taking into account the diversity of children (Marjanović Umek and Kroflič, 2009).

There is free and guided play in the kindergarten. Guided play provides a balance between taking into account the child’s natural abilities and learning needs through play as an autonomous and autotelic activity and adult leadership (Weissberg et al., 2016). Through guided play, the educator co-establishes the play environment for the child’s participation, taking into account the child’s characteristics and in child-centered teaching, takes care of establishing a learning environment in all curricular areas by creating a child’s area of approximate development (Vigotski, 1978). Research has shown that a child’s spontaneous play alone does not contribute to a child’s development in language and mathematics, and that different approaches to traditional teaching are not as effective as guided play (Ilgaz et al., 2018). A special form is the didactic game. Didactic toys are designed for the development of specific learning areas, are highly structured for certain uses and target the child’s play and thus limit it (Fekonja, 2001).

A child’s core activity is play, in which a child develops play skills (Vygotsky, 1978). With it, he expresses himself independently because of the play itself, and not because of the products that are created in the play, or because of the skills that she develops in the play. In a child’s play-creature, she is expressive because of her inner need, and not because of her reaction to stimuli from the environment. In the play, the child acts divergently, the activity takes place in different directions, when she explores and manipulates artifacts in his environment (Ivić, 1981).

In organized preschool education, theorists have pointed out the importance of an appropriate relationship between free and guided play and the inadequacy of excessive substitution of children’s free play with didactic games (Weissberg et al., 2016; Ilgaz et al., 2018).

Educational technologies in the play-based curriculum are included in different ways:
• Digital technology and toys are an integral part of the cultural tool with which the child becomes acquainted in spontaneous play.

• In preparation for school, guided play has the function of enabling appropriate development for all children in all curricular areas. The child’s development is influenced by a combination of environmental factors, various means of play and social interaction. The integration of digital technology takes place in kindergarten in a guided play for the development of language and mathematical literacy, artistic expression, motor development, learning about nature and society.

• Integrating digital technology in such a way that the play takes place while connecting the cognitive, psychomotor and socio-affective areas of learning.

Digital technology is part of the socio-cultural environment, tools and practices that are an integral part of a child’s play. Although modern technology has changed even the simplest operations of an individual’s everyday life in all areas of social life, the use of technology is not integrated across curricular areas (Yelland, 2005). Critics point to the prevalence of approaches that treat digital technology limited to the development of digital skills, ignoring the complex role of digital technology and its role in society, the family, and children’s lives (Wohlwend, 2010; in Edwards, 2015). These approaches also include the inclusion of only didactic digital play without the inclusion of digital play in the free or spontaneous and guided play. An example of an Australian preschool curriculum incorporates digital technology into learning objectives, 'A child successful in communication': The child works with different texts and develops meaning (multimedia texts are mentioned in this connection), The child presents ideas and makes sense while use different media and the child uses ICT in accessing information, researching ideas and presenting their thinking (Council of Australian governments, 2009).

The Slovenian curriculum for kindergartens (Bahovec et al., 1999) opens up space for activities in all three areas identified by Plowman, McPake and Stephen (2012) as examples of audiovisual media activities for the age group from the age of three to six. A case of:
• Activities to develop an understanding of the functionality and interactivity of digital media as well as the child’s ability to manipulate them:
  ▪ recording with camera, camcorder, voice recorder, taking photos,
  ▪ watching movies in the cinema, on television or movies made by the child himself or by parents, educators,
  ▪ getting to know different television programs.
• Expanding knowledge and understanding of the world in various curricular areas through children’s use of programs, websites and digital books when:
  ▪ uses multimedia games and educational programs,
  ▪ reads and invents a story,
  ▪ cuts and composes new scenes, creates new contents and artistic compositions, complements them with drawings and printed pictures and details, creates a script in the form of a comic strip.
• Development of dispositions for learning in the affective, social and cognitive areas when:
  ▪ imagines and creates dance inserts for drama performances and video,
  ▪ listens, imitates and depicts sounds from nature and the environment,
  ▪ imagines, selects and designs puppets, costume elements and scenes for dance, drama and audiovisual activities.

**Didactic principles**

The discussion of the inclusion of educational technology in educational work in kindergarten is rounded off with didactic principles (Šilih, 1970; Blažič et al., 2003; Strmčnik, 2004; Ivanuš Grmek and Javornik Krečič, 2011; Kramar, 2009), which integrate the principles of the curriculum for kindergartens (Bahovec et al., 1999, pp. 10–11).

The first principle, the learning activity in the function of the child’s development, concerns the integration of educational technology in accordance with the child’s abilities. The inclusion of technology in itself does not make sense if it is not
planned and embedded in the structure of the educational process. Strmčnik discusses learning activity according to the type (motor, emotional, intellectual), form (reproductive, productive, creative), guidance (external, self-guided) and the connection of lower and higher forms of activity (Strmčnik, 2001). In educational activities, it is crucial to take into account the child's activities, her initiatives and to provide her with the possibility of active participation.

In the preschool period, the learning activity is a free or a guided play. Managing and monitoring activities enables the educator to adapt on an ongoing basis, to integrate new activities at the appropriate time and to identify critical periods for learning. The digital play covers all types of play and is not just for learning digital skills (Edwards, 2013). The play enables the child’s authentic / original creative activity, which is a condition of the child’s development and is adapted to her inclinations (discovery, interaction, problem solving, construction, creativity, expression). Digital technology makes up the cultural environment with which the child interacts and includes it in play. Digital play can be integrated into traditional activities or act as a stand-alone activity, giving meaning to children’s functional, sensory and imaginary worlds. Within the curricular areas, we enable the child to use digital technologies for functional, lucid, epistemic and other forms of play. It is necessary to ensure the integration of learning areas (cognitive, socio-affective, psychomotor), because the child learns using all sensory systems, perception, physical manipulation, movement, vocalization and verbal expression). The Curriculum for Kindergartens (1999) dictates that organized activities respect the child’s intimacy and ensure safe, harmless activities.

The second principle, the unity of the concrete and the abstract, is interesting in the preschool period from the point of view of dealing with the digital game and its potentials in the transition between different representations. Some researchers critically evaluate digital play, saying that it reduces the level of complexity characteristic of imitative and imaginative play (Smirnova, 2011; Edwards, 2015) and that it makes it difficult to switch between concrete and abstract perception necessary for the development of abstract thinking in primary school (Leong and Bodrova, 2012; Bird and Edwards, 2015; Edwards, 2013).
The third principle, the structure and systematicity, concerns curriculum-coordinated planning and integration of digital technologies, the integration of curricular areas and the type of play. In this context, it is important to take into account the gradualness and promotion of the child’s discovery and inquiry, as well as the development of cognitive and experiential skills. During the implementation, it is necessary to check the child’s understanding and skills. The educator connects the activities in the kindergarten with the experience that the child has with digital activities at home.

The fourth principle, the dealing with problems, in the preschool period are the basis of the child’s epistemic game from the earliest period. The child’s tendency to solve problem situations independently is crucial, and therefore the educator should strive to constantly set challenges with problem situations that allow the child to experiment and experience experientially. These encourage the child’s activity, which must be the core of pedagogical activity. The child must be able to work together to solve real problems in the family, with peers and with the teacher.

The fifth principle, the individualization, concerns the integration of digital technology while knowing the concrete characteristics of the group and individuals. Individualization makes it possible to bridge the differences between children and to organize activities in such a way that we enable each child to express and create his or her own. It concerns both skills in handling technology as well as skills in various curricular areas.

The sixth principle, the connection to the real-life, is especially important in the age of globalization and the dominance of English speaking cultures. Digital media play a key role in spreading consumerism and the global effects of dominant cultures. In integrating digital technologies, the educator has an important role to play in incorporating incentives from the child’s immediate environment, which enables the child authentic cultural activities. It is important to address the real-life issues that arise in a child close to her and for her an authentic environment of imagination and reality. The learning environment must allow for the diversity of experience offered by such a complex and heterogeneous environment that is as close as possible to the
child’s authentic environment. The children’s world consists of a real-life and imaginary environment, which must be involved in the joint establishment of a learning environment in which the child has an active participatory role. Internet resources of foreign providers, decontextualized from the child’s authentic environment, abstract the child’s immediate environment. The child’s original activities and content, placed in the child’s authentic environment, are of key importance for the child’s development.

Teacher, according to Bronfenbrenner’s (1979) ecological systems theory, reflect on the presence and role of technology in a child’s life and on the competencies and skills she will need in her life (Rosen and Jaruszewicz, 2009). It is important to know the child’s practices in the family environment, which are studied in interaction with socio-cultural practices. Research and monitoring must take place in an authentic family environment by examining the technological contexts established by digital technology (Bronfenbrenner, 1979; Plowman, 2016; Tudge, Brown, & Freitas, 2011). When planning is important to address a specific group and environment, which requires knowledge of the actual situation in families and takes place in partnership with parents, when educators together with parents analyze the situation and plan approaches to inclusion in the implementation curriculum.

Seventh, we consider the principle of inclusion. The principle of inclusion in education ensures active inclusion in the learning environment for children with different needs and preferences. The educator is faced with the need to plan the learning environment by including various sensory systems that will enable quality learning for all students. Inclusion is a principle of adapting the environment to individuals and does not set requirements for adapting to the learning environment only for the child (Opara, 2005). The integration of information and communication technology solves the dilemmas of inclusion at the level of identifying special needs, curriculum planning and establishing a learning environment (Norwich, 2008, in Istenič Starčič and Bagon, 2014). From the assistive and compensatory function of information and communication technologies, a shift was made to the planning of teaching according to the principle of differentiation and individualization (Istenič Starčič and Bagon, 2014). In the period of artificial intelligence, modern robotic technology for children with special needs is included at the preschool level (Rosanda and Istenič Starčič,
The W3C consortium, a leading community in the field of Internet accessibility, develops standards of universal design by implementing the fundamental principle of the Internet, which is precisely in its accessibility for all (Bernes-Lee, 1997). Standards include accessibility, usability, and inclusion (W3C, 1997). Research on the accessibility of content on websites shows a relatively low level of accessibility, which will need to be further improved in the future (Debevc, Kožuh, Hauptman, Klembas, Lapuh and Holzinger, 2015).

Although in the past the digital divide was associated with access to technology, and in modern times mainly with skills for the use of technology, technical access remains a barrier for people with disabilities (Lebeničnik and Istenič Starčič, 2020; Istenič Starčič and Lebeničnik, 2020). Technical barriers are socially constructed (design of the learning environment) (Kumar and Owston, 2016, in Lebeničnik and Istenič Starčič, 2020), cognitive (beliefs), affective (attitudes) and contextual (importance for the individual) (Straub, 2009, in Lebeničnik and Istenič Starčič, 2020). In his work, the educator should show sensitivity to the establishment of an environment in which all children will be able to participate and express themselves, regardless of their specifics and needs (Opara, 2005).

Types of play and educational technology

Children’s technological play takes place in several ways. The child uses tools and objects in his or her environment for epistemic, functional, and ludic play (Hutt, 1966; Bird and Edwards, 2015). With the game, he progresses in all areas of learning to use technology. Plowman, McPack, and Stephen (2012) see the threefold contribution of digital technology to learning: (1) Developing skills to understand the functionality and interactivity of digital media as well as developing the ability to manipulate them. (2) Expanding knowledge and understanding of the world in various curricular areas through the use of programs, websites and digital books. (3) Development of affective, social, and cognitive dispositions for the learner (Plowman, McPake, & Stephen, 2012). Bird and Edwards (2015) analyze the technological epistemic and ludic game as defined by Hutt (1966, in Bird and Edwards, 2015) in the context of Vygotsky’s socio-cultural theory (1987). In the case of the use of a camera, tablet computer and personal computer, they analyze the alternating implementation
of epistemic and ludic game. We extended their approach with a functional game (Piaget, 1962) and present it in Figure 7 in the context of learning digital skills and learning dispositions (Plowman, McPack, & Stephen, 2012). Epistemic play involves exploring and solving problems in finding the answer to the question, 'How does an object work?' (Hutt, 1966). Functional play concerns children’s sensorimotor schemes (Piaget, 1962). Crazy play involves symbolic, imaginative, and innovative activities in finding the answer to the question, ‘What can I do with an object?’ (Hutt, 1966).

Figure 7: Digital play supporting digital skills in curriculum areas and development of learning disposition in all learning domains

Epistemic exploration play involves using and testing different computer interfaces, testing computer settings, and exploring in a variety of applications. We talk
about epistemic problem-solving play when a child solves various problems by manipulating a device or program. She can work with peers or adults to solve problems. Epistemic game with the development of skills includes both the handling of devices as well as the imaginative game with the substitutes of devices or pieces of non-functioning devices (for example, keyboard, screen). The child tests and deliberately performs the wrong operations while training his skills.

Functional play takes place using different programs with the inclusion of different sensorimotor schemes, vision, hearing, graphomotor skills, motor skills and hand-eye coordination. The child uses a variety of digital toys and applications with a touch interface for perceptual movement and exploratory play. She researches his own abilities and abilities of objects, developing his perceptual-motor skills (Marjanovič Umek and Kavčič, 2001).

Symbolic play involves playing computer games or using devices, replacements, and non-functioning pieces of devices in a variety of imaginary situations. By involving digital means, the child performs various forms of symbolic play, using different ways of presenting (symbolizing) objects, which enable her to transform object or representation and transition from object activities to more general play activities (Marjanovič Umek and Lešnik Musek, 1999, p. 28). The child relives actual or imaginary experiences in symbolic play (Lindon, 2001). When playing with digital media, children use virtual toy manipulations, manipulating the screen, or combining tangible toys with screen manipulation.

A child can play the role of an adult, for example in online banking, online shopping or by appearing in front of the camera. It pretends computer or internet activities in a variety of ways. Group symbolic play can take place next to a computer in several ways. While playing with peers and using various toys, the child performs various types of fictional internet activities (video conferencing meeting, virtual gathering of friends). She can perform everyday activities typical of digital media without objects, replacing an object with another, expressing emotional and instrumental behavior in imaginary activities. The child uses various computer programs for symbolic play, such as storytelling.
Educational technology in structuring learning objectives and ergonomic characteristics of its use in learning domains

Learning objectives are a fundamental component of the educational process, which define the value, material and performance dimension of educational processes (Kramar, 2009). Learning is most successful when it takes place holistically by activating all domains of the student’s learning activity. Strmčnik (2001) defined three basic types of learning activity: motor (kinesthetic), emotional-experiential (affective) and mental (intellectual). Bruner (1966) defines the fields of learning as cognitive, socio-affective and psychomotor. He developed a model of learning at three levels, ranging from concrete experience through visual representations to abstract understanding (ibid.). At the preschool level, the child transitions between the concrete and the visual level.

Ergonomic design of a child’s computer use expresses the areas of the child’s learning and takes place on a physical, cognitive and organizational level. In the psychomotor field, ergonomic treatment includes the anatomical, anthropological, and psychological characteristics of the child. In the cognitive field, ergonomic treatment concerns the influence of computer tools on the child’s thought processes and interaction with the computer and other people. At the organizational level, which concerns the socio-affective domain of learning, ergonomic treatment includes the influence of socio-technical systems and processes (Karwowski, 2005; Volk et al., 2017). For ergonomic study, it is interesting for a child to use different computer interfaces and compare a desktop computer and a laptop. The use of mouse and type-mint takes place on an abstract level. Touch interfaces and tangible interfaces approach the child’s manipulation of objects and connect the physically concrete level with the visual display on the screen. Modern technology has passed the first phase of mouse and keyboard, the second phase of touch interfaces and at the current stage of development of object interfaces and the Internet of Things (Wiberg, 2017) allows ergonomic adaptation to the needs of the child.

Ivanuš Grmek and Javornik Krečič (2011) define learning activity as a conscious and goal-oriented activity consisting of various psychophysical components, which is a condition for successful child development and learning success. The authors agree that digital technology should be integrated into early learning. They examine
which technology to include, ways to integrate, and also when the integration of digital technology may be inappropriate. Kindergarten educational technology encompasses both on-screen digital technology and digital technology without screens. Involved in the child’s free and in guided play takes place in several ways. Guided play enables adult mediation in the use of digital technology, as described by Livingstone et al. (2017) and helps to create a child’s area of approximate development (Vigotski, 1978). The use of digital technology through adult mediation also enables guided discovery learning. The use of digital technology in guided play or guided discovery learning takes into account the high complexity of digital media, supports children’s activity and encourages the development of children’s skills through various ways of intervening in the use of technology. The central goal of the growing number of didactic digital games is the development of curricular areas designed for different types of play and for different developmental periods of the child.

Children’s development is intertwined in the physical, motor, cognitive, emotional and social fields, and change in one area also affects other areas (Videmšek and Pišot, 2007). Movement has a major impact on children’s cognitive and psychophysical development (Tomporowski, McCullick, Pendleton, & Pesce, 2015). Motor development is embedded in the cultural environment, cultural and social experiences shape motor behavior and motor learning, which is related to learning in other areas (Adolph and Hoch, 2019). The child processes external stimuli and perception through his own imagination, vocalization of words or sounds, and motor movement (Singer, 1981). When using a computer, it needs space to move, processes information with movement, and interacts with peers and adults. When using a personal computer static on a desk, the child moves around the computer. Using a tablet allows the child to move around the room with the computer. Didactic programs should promote the integration of all areas of learning and eliminate risks to the child’s motor development.

In the cognitive field of learning, the introduction of educational technology is intended for literacy, speech and language development, mathematical literacy and the development of metacognitive skills (Yelland, 2005). In the United States, the National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC) supported the introduction of educational technology in pre-school educational institutions as
early as 1996 (Rosen and Jaruszewicz, 2009). The treatment of technology integration in early learning has been polarized (Bowman, 1999). Proponents of early science and mathematics introduced educational technology based on constructivism prevalent in the 1990s (Bowman, 1999; Clements, 1999). The principles of implementation include an emphasized role of the educator in choosing the appropriate software, mutual promotion of cognitive and social skills of the child, inclusion of computers in conjunction with traditional early learning materials, inclusion by providing equal opportunities for children, use by overcoming stereotyping of individuals and groups, support for the training and education of teaching staff (Yelland, 2005).

In introducing activities supported by digital technology, the authors point to the need to focus on activities to develop thinking, problem solving, learning to learn, rather than training. As early as the late 1990s, meaningful learning was introduced in the United States to replace basic skills training without understanding concepts (Bowman, 1999), the use of computers to develop learning and metacognitive skills (Papert, 1980; 1980a), and computer-assisted collaborative learning and training in conjunction with collaborative and competitive tasks, computer visualization, and virtual representations for learning concepts (Clements, 1999).

In the field of speech and language development, digital technology supports storytelling and interactive picture books. The child tells a story while creating, where he combines traditional and digital activities (Istenič Starčič, Cotič, So-lomonides and Volk, 2016).

In the field of art, digital technology supports art, music and dance expression. The child uses different materials in artistic expression, experiencing their shape, weight and composition. She draws with her fingers or with tools, which is also made possible by a tablet computer. The touch interface is more intuitive than a computer with a mouse and keyboard, a child can create by exploring natural sensorimotor forms of interaction (Volk et al., 2016). Tangible interfaces also enable the use of objects in interaction with digital content (Istenič Starčič, Cotič and Zajc, 2013).

Alternative interfaces support learning and development in the field of motor development and enable the integration of activities in all areas. In Slovenia, at the beginning of the development of didactic programs, common were programs that
connected areas of learning, such as cognitive and motor for learning mathematics in motion. Movement is an integral part of every child’s activity and should also be supported by technology. The computer corner has its own requirements for sitting in front of a screen that does not suit a small child. Children prefer to stand and move around the computer. Mobile technology, on the other hand, enables the child to be used ergonomically in motion and in various positions.

The child learns in a social environment, in a group (Vigotski, 1987), so it is necessary to enable him to cooperate with peers. The social-affective field of learning is considered as:

- The impact of social interaction and emotions in a child may encourage or inhibit learning. The educator encourages social interaction and provides support for self-awareness, social awareness, directs the attention of the child and the group. An important feature of a child’s play and learning is the child’s attention, which is reflected in independence, creation and motivation in the expressiveness and divergence of his play activity (Ivić, 1981).

- Development of socio-affective skills that include self-awareness, responsible decision-making, social awareness, and relational skills (Dusenbury, Yoder, Dermody, & Weissberg, 2020).

The use of digital technology and the Internet in learning in the social-affective field of learning includes the connection of emotions with the use of technology, the expression of emotions in technologically supported social interaction and the development of social-affective skills. The development of social-affective skills in technologically supported environments can be hampered. Playing with digital toys reduces the child’s play, as it does not stimulate his imagination and expression of emotions, the child’s imagination is replaced by built-in interactive mechanisms, which means that the child does not animate his emotions when playing with a digital toy.

The contribution of the educator in directing social interaction and the individual child in play and learning depends primarily on the educator’s knowledge of the child’s reality, which is increasingly composed of interactions in Internet environments using digital equipment. Children’s play and interpersonal interaction with
adults at an early age change with touch interfaces and digital toys. Educators and parents need strategies for using digital media and an understanding of the environment that digital technologies create in promoting play-based learning. Studies show risks in the field of social-affective learning and development and in recent decades have identified forms of problematic Internet use and risk factors.

The educator plays an important role in the preschool period. In order to be able to adequately support learning and development activities in the social-affective field, it also needs competencies in the field of the impact of digital technologies, related practices and problematic use of the Internet on children's development and learning. Teachers need to be trained in problem areas of Internet use and offered strategies to promote an appropriate socio-affective environment, develop skills and reduce risk factors for problematic practices. The educator can make an important contribution to raising parental awareness. Addressing the socio-affective field of technology-supported development and learning and the problematic use of the Internet also concerns the integration of digital technology into early learning.

Planning and monitoring

Children’s play influences the development of social skills, emerging mathematical abilities, the acquisition of early concepts of language literacy and self-regulation (Leong and Bodrova, 2012). Adults follow several criteria when encouraging and guiding (Leong and Bodrova, 2012). The criteria listed by Leong and Bodrova (ibid.) are considered in the context of the inclusion of digital resources:

- The game plan expresses the level of the child’s ability to plan the game in advance. The teacher works with the children to create a plan, encouraging them with questions to design the theme of the game and the rules. Leading the planning phase can be done by drawing and showing different options and examples of resolving potential conflicts. The educator can use various programs of graphic display, animation and simulation.
- Role-playing and rules that children express linguistically and emotionally. The teacher shows the children different roles and associated activities in the cause-and-effect relationship.
• The objects the child uses in the game have different levels of realism. Uses real, symbolic and imaginary objects. The teacher encourages children to expand the repertoire of the use of an individual object by actually or imaginatively transforming and adding functions. Digital characters can be applied and the child can design and re-design or transform them.

• Language involves the child’s communication in the game, in the execution of different scenarios and the coordination of mutual activities in the role play. The educator introduces children to the use of language specific to different professions and social roles by showing examples and different stories using digital resources.

• The script covers children’s play themes, including sequences in a play event or story and the interactions between their roles. The extended game monitoring timeframe covers a longer period of time. The course of activities and events that children play covers different stages. Children in the game discuss different topics that they do not always know, and the teacher can introduce them to them by researching on the Internet, using various didactic programs, video or audio podcasts.

The educator introduces digital technology, taking into account:
• the method of use in support of child’s learning and development, taking into account the child’s developmental characteristics,
• linking the use of digital technology to traditional activities,
• the diversity of experiences and networking and coordination with children’s activities in the family environment,
• opportunities to play in cooperation and interaction,
• communication between the teacher / parents and the child and between peers is important to establish partnership,
• enabling healthy use with awareness of health and ethical factors,
• recognizing boredom and properly managing feelings of boredom by expanding the range of children’s interests,
• strengthening self-regulation.
The educator observes the response, verbal, physical, nonverbal, fine motor, motor, the level of engagement with which the children devote themselves to the task, and the mood of the individual and the group. The teacher monitors and processes activities. The observation of a group and an individual is dictated by several reasons, namely:

- observing the development of skills,
- intervention that enables the appropriateness of the manner and time of implementation of activities,
- individualization and differentiation to bridge differences between children,
- identification of interests and potential incentives for the development of children's interests,
- analysis of activities and their convenience, according to the needs, interests and motivation of the child, curricular goals, coherence between boys and girls, age suitability in heterogeneous groups,
- selecting the type and level of intervention, monitoring, support, problem solving, switching between activities.

For the technology integration, the teacher be familiar with:

- children's practices in the use of technology in play in a family environment,
- digital screen toys and digital toys without a screen,
- principles of integration of educational technology,
- recommendations of competent organizations,
- research findings at national and international level,
- learning objectives with digital technology by curricular areas and recommended activities,
- skills and habits of using digital technology of children in a group in cooperation and partnership with parents.

The educator plans to integrate digital technology step by step:

- Review what is available in the kindergarten and make a list that includes the categories of tools, the stage of development and the areas of learning. She also looks at what he can get for free on the internet.
- Selects educational technology appropriate to the developmental stage.
- Reviews selected technologies, plans their use and introduces possible adjustments.
- Takes into account the skills of children and the differences in skills between them.
- It plans to include educational technology in relation to the objectives in the curriculum, taking into account didactic principles (for example, according to the principle of individualization, it poses challenges to children).

Figure 8: The planning factors for digital technology integration

Risk-focusing and delaying closer contact with technology neglects the opportunities of digital practices for a child’s emerging literacy and for developing learning dispositions. A fundamental challenge to integration is bridging the gap between digital practices as fundamental socio-cultural practices that the child learns through
play. Children’s digital practices as play enable the child to understand cultural practices (Edwards, 2013). Digital toys and programs can also perform the functions of a hidden curriculum, so teachers and parents need to critically rethink their content and forms (Edwards, 2015). Research findings show that the digital play present in several ways in all types of child’s play. In different types of play, children include characters from popular media productions, they include a computer or parts of a computer (screen, keyboard, mouse) in a role-playing game (a computer represents a job). The boundaries between traditional and digital play are blurred (Marsh, 2010), and parents and educators play an important role in promoting a child’s digital play (Figure 8).
Digital storytelling

Storytelling as a teaching method and definition of the concept

Narration is one of the forms of the method of interpretation (Poljak, 1988; Tomić, 1999), which differs from other forms such as description, explanation, explanation and judgment (Poljak, 1988; Blažič et al., 2003) in that it concerns the presentation of a fictional or reproductive story with emphasized emotional elements (Tomić, 1999), with a motivational role, tension and attraction to promote emotional engagement (Blažič et al., 2003). Blažič and co-authors define the content characteristics: appropriate detail, localization, topicality, systematicity, logic and comprehensibility. Storytelling concerns phenomena, events, rules of conduct and ideas. It is used in various fields of science, literature and art (Blažič et al., 2003). The authors distinguish three approaches to storytelling; epic with extensive and detailed narration, lyrical with narration focused on emotional experience, and dramatic narration with complications (Tomić, 1999; Žagar, 2011). Traditionally didactic, narration was conceived as an achromatic method in which a teacher or student speaks (Tomić, 1999). In addition to the oral narration of one person, digital storytelling includes multimodal, interactive communication with the possibility of involving several people.

Narration is defined by (1) narrative genres (characteristics and laws of storytelling within genre genres) and (2) the process of communication between the narrator and the narrator (Zupan Sosič, 2013). Storytelling is also understood as a fundamental way of verbal communication, characteristic of everyday communication. Zupan Sosičeva (ibid., P. 76) defines storytelling on three levels: as (1) product (story), (2) basic characteristic, form (narrative), (3) procedure and action (narration). It involves the process and relationship (1) between the narrator and the narrator, (2) between the mode and object of the narration, and (3) between the narrator and the narrative structure.

Based on what has been written, we can define storytelling as a way of communication that is characteristic of everyday communication, various genre messages, as
well as educational communication, in the form of a story, by arousing emotional commitment.

**Studying the characteristics of storytelling and inclusion in the curriculum**

Storytelling enables the establishment of meaning and is expressed in "narrative thinking", which Bruner defined as one of two forms of thinking after the rational (Bruner, 2004). Narrative is an integral part of perception and interpretation of reality (Zupan Sosič, 2013). Narrative thinking places the individual's thinking, getting to know and learning in the context of motives, intentions, activities and achievements (Marjanovič Umek and Fekonja, 2019, p. 12). Narrative thinking develops at a very early stage of a child's development and is one of the child's means / tools of learning and establishing a relationship with the environment (Bruner, 1991).

The basic feature of the method of storytelling is fiction (Tomić, 1999). Storytelling harnesses the creative power of the imagination and is the child's basic tool — which the child develops in the imagination game (Vygotsky, 1978). Through storytelling, the child makes sense of and presents his or her experiences and views (Ahn and Filipenko, 2007). Developed forms of symbolic play lead to the child's creation in the field of art and language. Marjanovič Umek and Fekonja (2019, pp. 72–77) define children's play, drawing and storytelling as related activities in which the child expresses his understanding of the world. A child's symbolic play with drawing and storytelling is often based on a literary story, and also the group form of symbolic play or sociodramatic play is performed by children on the basis of a story (ibid.).

The study of storytelling is considered by Zupan Sosičeva (2013, pp. 62–66) in two contexts. Within the descriptive (descriptive), he treats storytelling as a way of organizing human experience and thinking. It deals with the structural properties of storytelling in the context of a definitive context.

Among the characteristics of the story within the descriptive approach are:
• translatability of the story into different media (oral, written, illustrated, film; written or spoken channel, language, images or gestures; different genres),
• story as a form of behavior; tells about what happened, discovers and invents and interprets,
• constitutes an interpretation into a meaningful whole,
• illuminates timeliness,
• narrative as an integral part of human perception and interpretation of reality,
• the narrative has a cognitive, identity, performative and inventive category. (ibid.)

Within the defining approach, the story is structured by:
• timing of the story (chronological or necronological),
• the principle of probability or persuasiveness,
• causal relationships,
• general principles of the event space, literary person and event (ibid.).

Similarly, Bruner establishes a distinction between the study of storytelling as a means / tool of cognition, presentation, and knowledge creation, and the re-learning of text structure within literary theory (Bruner, 2004). We do not mention in the text the theory of dramatic or artistic storytelling, which deserves attention in the future. The transmedia nature of narration, which is embodied in various art media, literary text, painting, film or dance as a form, deals with the peculiarities of storytelling in various art media forms. In the last two decades, under the influence of G. Kress and co-workers (Kress and van Leeuwen, 2001; Kress and Jewitt, 2003), the concept of multicode has become established, dealing with different combinations of expression codes, such as images, text, gestures and sound.

Storytelling in the early development period is associated with the development of speech and cognitive abilities and emerging literacy, so it plays an important role in the curriculum for kindergartens (Marjanovič Umek, Fekonja and Pečjak, 2012;
Storytelling is an integral part of speech development related to academic literacy (ibid.). Storytelling can be oral, written, illustrative, puppet, dramatic.

Storytelling encompasses general and specific educational goals. Using various means of expression, the goals are defined in the field of experience, expression and creativity, development of language skills, spatial representations, visualization, motor skills, development of emotional intelligence, critical thinking and learning about cultural heritage (Curriculum for Art Education (Kocjančič, 2011), Curriculum for music education (Holcar, 2011), Curriculum for Slovene (Pozna-novič Jezeršek, 2018).

Curricula mention creativity and critical thinking among general and specific learning objectives of storytelling, which are in addition to communication and collaboration between learning and innovation competencies (P21, 2010; 2012). Creativity, as a skill and attitude in creating ideas, products or new insights, enables original, non-expected new solutions in various areas of human activity (Sternberg, 2005). Learning in different storytelling contexts enables creativity as an ability to think (Torrance, 1972), express one’s own interest (Yang, 2012), self-actualize (Maslow, 1976), and engage (Joas, 1996).

In the field of education, we have several purposes of storytelling, such as personal stories in the development and reflection of identity, engaged stories for activating different groups, developing different types of literacy (Istenič Starčič et al., 2016), resolving dilemmas, conflicts, antagonisms, confronting views and breaking mistakes. concepts. We use different types of story; stories focused on personal experience, fictional stories, historical stories, stories with which we recreate literary and other works of art. We can use different genres when telling stories. Short stories that Gutierrez (2015) classifies by story design are often in use: a story based on a case; a story based on a problem; a story based on a script; a story based on narration. The narrative-based story does not assume the student’s active involvement, while the script simulates a reality in which students come to insights based on testing (Gutierrez, 2015). Storytelling takes place in various social forms, in the performance of the
teacher, students, in the cooperation of teacher and students and using different communication codes.

Storytelling is established in all disciplinary areas. We have given examples from the curricula of language and literature and the arts, but it is also used in the teaching of social sciences, mathematics and science, to break down misconceptions and to develop concepts. In an age of rapid progress and scientific discovery, leading scientists are also being trained to use storytelling techniques to spread new insights in society (Green, Grorud-Colvert, & Mannix, 2018).

In the socio-affective field of learning, through the experience of the story (on an imaginary level or through the experience of one’s own or the hero’s contextual situation) and through the commitment triggered by the narrator, it stimulates emotional responses, empathy, identification and social interaction. Emotional commitment and the ability of the story to transfer the listener into the world of the story encourage learning (ibid.).

In the cognitive field, storytelling with the introduction of context, causal connections, presentation of different perspectives and insights enables the development of knowledge (Istenič Starčič et al., 2016; Istenič Starčič, Huang, Valeeva, Latypova, and Huang, 2017). Making sense with a story provides a narrative context that evokes emotional preoccupation. The narrator learns by telling (a personal story, reflection and narration that pursues pre-defined goals). Listeners learn alternately through the experience of the storyteller (Green, Grorud-Colvert, & Mannix, 2018).

Storytelling involves a variety of activities involving a variety of means of expression. The psychomotor area is perhaps more pronounced in early learning, as the child learns and learns through movement. The theory of embodied cognition emphasized the role of motor skills in cognition and learning relevant to all periods of learning. Involving movement through dance, play, puppetry, performance or presentation for different occasions is a way or an integral part of storytelling in different historical periods.
Approaches and storytelling designs

Storytelling is a personal interpretation focused on personal experience, whether of the narrator or the communion. Both the narration, the origin of the story and the reception with the communion and the interpretation of the story are personal experiences. The interaction between the narrator and the communion is an integral part of storytelling.

In the educational process, storytelling enables the realization of one of the key goals of encouraging the motivation and commitment of the student in the personal interpretation of knowledge. Learning takes place through personal thinking in familiar contexts. Narrative thinking allows the context to be established in several ways: the context is established by the narrator-teacher with a story appropriate to the developmental stage of the students. The narrator is the student himself, but he can also be a teacher and a student in collaboration.

The characteristics and process of storytelling follow the developmental stage of the child. Storytelling in early childhood is associated with the development of a theory of mind in which a child understands his or her own mental states, such as the desires, intentions, beliefs, and mental states of others. The theory of the mind enables the child to structure the story (Marjanovič Umek and Fekonja, 2019, p. 14).

Characteristics of storytelling focused on personal experience (Ochs, 2004):

- Represents the event in a temporal and causal context.
- To achieve narrative attention, we use an unusual or unforeseen event as the core of the story.
- When presenting a past event or predicting an event in the future, we organize the personal experience in a time perspective.
- The transformation of personal experience into different narrative structures is a fundamental human characteristic.
- Personal experience can be achieved in two ways (by presenting the unexpected or by exposing the dilemma).
- Use of authentic context.
- Demonstrate the coherent logic of the event or use an alternative organization.
• Use of different genres.
• Narration of personal experience in five dimensions (diversity of characters, narrators, core in an unforeseen event, placement in a context relevant to communion, linearity, moral doctrine.
• Narrative experiences promote self-awareness through the actions, feelings, thinking of the characters.

Features of digital storytelling

Digital storytelling is gaining ground. It takes place using various devices and software tools. In social networks, storytelling is integrated into the establishment of online self-presentation, thus developing identity and digital competencies and becoming a way of interaction and expression of young generations. Communication involves a high degree of composition of verbal, visual and other data used and specific to different cultural contexts. The integration of various expression codes: image, sound, video with static and dynamic, linear and spatial presentation is supported by modern technologies. Kress and Jewitt study how multi-expression or multi-code texts are included in the curriculum in different subjects and how the nature of learning resources changes as they move away from the printed book in the transition to screen media (Kress and Jewitt, 2003). Text processing captures multi-code expression and the transition from static text to dynamic visualization and interaction.

The pedagogy movement of multidimensional or compound literacy examines multi-code literacy in the context of young people’s cultural practices, which are becoming increasingly digitized and forming their identity (Cope and Kalanski, 2009). Lankshear and Knobel (2006) address changes in print books and textbooks, reading habits, and approaches to new generation learning in the context of digital practices.

Digital storytelling exploits the potential of technology for multi-code expression, storytelling by combining text, image and other codes. Mayer’s theory of multimedia learning encouraged a combination of text and image (Mayer, 2001). Digital technology enables the integration of dynamic visualization.
Digital storytelling enables the situated development of literacy, which is contextualized into reflection and identity, which are related to digital practices (Istenič Starčič et al., 2016).

The method of digital storytelling is used in the professional development and pedagogical work of the teacher. In professional development, digital storytelling enables reflection and supports the development of professional identity. In pedagogical work, teachers prepare teaching materials, organize student activities with which they acquire and develop their knowledge in various fields. In the education and training of teachers, the method of digital storytelling enables the connected development of competencies in the technological, pedagogical and content-specific subject area. In the initial education of educators and teachers, I introduced digital storytelling in the 2007/08 academic year in the subject of educational technology in the study programs of pre-school education and classroom teaching (ibid.). In developing the competencies of educators and teachers for the use of educational technology in teaching and learning, I set myself two principles that are the basic guideline even after more than a decade of preparing future teachers and educators using the method of digital storytelling: (1) co-creation of educators / teacher and child and (2) learning through research, construction and creation, combining traditional and digital resources.

In teaching, digital storytelling requires:

- didactic, content and technological planning of preparation and implementation,
- connecting different areas of learning (cognitive, socio-affective and psychomotor),
- the inclusion of the story as a coherent framework for the presentation of facts and their scientific interpretation,
- linking the verbal and the pictorial, as the image reduces the cognitive load (Mayer, 2001),
- development of digital competencies and multicode design,
- developing skills in the combination of traditional and digital means and resources,
- development of interpersonal skills and empathy: in any storytelling, the contact between the narrator and the community is crucial,
- developing cooperation and interaction between teacher and students and between students.

Digital storytelling contributes the learning process in several ways:
- encourages learning by connecting different areas (cognitive, socio-affective and psychomotor),
- enables the establishment of context and relationships in the structure of concepts and phenomena,
- encourages the development of active listening attention and skills,
- encourages the development of language literacy,
- enhances the ability to visualize,
- establishes a context for the development of meaning and self-understanding,
- encourages the development of creativity,
- develops critical thinking,
- encourages the development of problem-solving skills.

The digital storytelling in teaching evolution

The period of early learning is critical for teaching in the field of evolution. From an early age, a child forms naive notions about nature and living beings that are essentialist and teleological (Kelemen, Emmons, Schillaci, & Ganea, 2014). Learning goals in the field of evolution are placed in the curriculum during adolescence, when performances are already consolidated and therefore represent a major barrier to learning. They are difficult to transform and some are preserved even in adulthood.

It has been found that when reading stories, children are less burdened with performances (Browning and Hohenstein, 2013). Evolution researchers have written imaginative stories for teaching that break down misconceptions and prepare the child to think about phenomena and relationships. Storytelling encourages the child to form his or her own interpretation of the narrative and draws him or her into an imaginary world in which he or she is able to move away from the known and ready
for new insights. Therefore, stories can make an important contribution to breaking down misconceptions (Browning and Hohenstein, 2013). The imaginary world and imaginary heroes are not merely part of the imagination, the child engages them more flexibly, for him they can represent reality (Sharon and Wool-ley, 2004). Namely, children combine imaginary and real world through stories and build reality (Ahn and Filipenko, 2007). The story enables the treatment of concepts adapted to the child’s age: it provides a cohesive framework, ie a combined treatment of facts and scientific explanation (Kelemen et al., 2014), reduces cognitive load with a picture book and thus takes into account information processing capabilities (Mayer, 2001).

To summarize:

- Storytelling is a child’s original way of interacting with the environment and interpreting in developing their own understanding and ideas.
- Storytelling encourages the child to form their own interpretation of the narrative.
- Storytelling draws the child into a fantasy world and allows him to move away from the known and face the unknown. The ability to self-interpret and deviate from one’s own notions helps to break down misconceptions (Browning and Hohenstein, 2013).
- The story allows the treatment of concepts adapted to the age of the child.
- The story provides a cohesive framework, ie a connected treatment of facts and scientific interpretation.
- The picture book reduces the cognitive load and thus takes into account the child’s ability to process information (Mayer, 2001).

Deborah Kelemen and her colleagues wrote a story to address the adaptation of organisms by natural selection. She tested it in an experiment in which children between the ages of five and six and between the ages of seven and eight participated. Children’s naive notions of adaptation through natural selection are formed from misconceptions consistent with the transformist notion that transformation occurs through adaptation over a lifetime of one generation (Kelemen et al., 2014). Through her experiment, she proved that storytelling with a picture book encouraged an understanding of natural selection and adaptation in accordance with evolutionary theory and prepared children for later learning in the field of evolution. The story
establishes a cohesive framework for dealing with scientific findings in presenting and substantiating facts and scientific explanations (ibid.). With a story supported by a picture book, we present the facts in an imaginary context. By telling a story, we encourage the child to think about processes and phenomena, and we also prepare him for the development of complex concepts in his imaginary experience of the world. Among the most widespread examples of stories on the topic of evolution is the story of the Great-grandmother of the fish by Jonathan Tweet and Karen Lewis (2015), which also includes didactic instructions for use.

Lugmayr and co-workers defined four dimensions of digital storytelling, which are: narration, perspective, interactivity, medium. Narration is an artifact of storytelling that encourages emotional engagement. It is characterized by causality, temporality (chronological, necronological), heroes, narrative expression and structure (introduction, core, conclusion), organization (linear, spatial) and interpretation. Perspective expresses the pedagogical function through levels and their combinations: cognitive and emotional, depiction and presentation, and the process of coding and decoding. With technological development, interactivity has become a fundamental component of storytelling. Interactivity involves a commitment to storytelling, computer interaction, and making and making decisions about the flow of the story. The medium focuses on technology and technological features, channels, digital content and form (Lugmayr, Sutinen, Suhonen, Islas Sedano, Hlavacs and Suero Montero, 2017).

Robin (2008) described seven key elements of digital storytelling:

- Viewpoint: What is the meaning of the story and what is the perspective of the author?
- Dramatic question: A key question that arouses and maintains attention until the end of the story.
- Emotional dimension: Key themes that come to life promote commitment.
- Personal experience: Bring the story closer to the personal context.
- Sound effects: The effect of music and sound accompaniment.
- Cost-effectiveness: A relationship between scale and structure that maintains attention and does not overload.
- Dynamics: Story dynamics and speed or deceleration to achieve drama.
Istenič Starčič and co-authors (2016) include the type of story, representational forms, numerical data and context among the components of digital storytelling in learning mathematical arithmetic problems. The evaluation of digital storytelling is based on verbal (narrative cohesion, articulation of a mathematical problem story), visual, spatial, auditory criteria and dynamics (Iste-nič Starčič et al., 2016).

Chung (2007) described the following steps in digital storytelling: (1) storytelling, (2) storytelling, (3) production, (3-a) character making, (4) evaluation. Among the criteria for evaluation, Chung (2007) combines narrative abilities and their realization with multi-code expression and establishing a relationship between audio, video, text, image, effects and their connection into a whole. It is important to harmonize the visual and the auditory according to the purpose; images stimulate thinking, emotions, and expression; in telling the story, the personal voice is crucial (ibid.).
Abstract

The book *Educational Technology and the Construction of an Authentic Learning Environment* at the core of educational technology sets out to improve the performance of the educational process. Educational technology is addressed in the global system of factors of the educational process, as it plays an important role in linking teaching in educational institutions and learning in natural environments. Educational technology enables the establishment of an authentic learning environment in three important ways: (1) the use of digital media is an authentic activity for today’s generations, an authentic environment of social life, (2) due to the capabilities of modern technology, authentic learning environments can be established by connecting »classroom« and various original environments (natural and other) and (3) a »classroom« with all functions can be established in a variety of original environments. This paper discusses early learning, which is becoming increasingly permeated by digital technology in the primary family environment, affecting the child’s relationships, interaction content, and environment, and changing family interaction. According to research reports concern for children’s development and learning, triggers polarization, producing both advocates and opponents. Emphasizing the risks and delaying closer contact with technology neglects the opportunities of digital practices for a child’s emerging literacy and the development of learning dispositions. A fundamental challenge to inclusion is bridging the gap between digital practices as fundamental socio-cultural practices that the child learns through play. As the boundaries between traditional and digital play are blurred, digital play should also be included in the play-based kindergarten curriculum and a link between home and kindergarten should be established and maintained in partnership with parents. Among the key factors for the integration of educational technology in kindergartens are the attitudes and competencies of pedagogical staff that need to be developed in primary and lifelong learning. This book discuss possibilities for the integration of educational technology the structure of the educational process in terms of learning factors, structural and process factors of learning and subjects of the learning process, teacher and student. In the early 20th century, educational technology took on the role of aids, teaching aids, or resources. Later, under the influence of communication
theories, it was conceived as a carrier or mediator of information. In both periods, it was included in the didactics among the structural components of the educational process. With the development of computer technology and under the influence of cognitive theories of learning and teaching, the consideration of gadgets has been joined by the consideration of process design and implementation. Educational technology interweaves two aspects: the aspect of using technology as a structural component and the aspect of designing the learning process (process components). This paper discusses the competencies of the 21st century, among which these two aspects form a special set of information, media and technological skills. In modern times, the prevailing discourse in the field of educational technology is the development of digital competencies of the teacher, who succeeded information literacy and a little later media literacy. The beginnings more clearly expressed the notion of autonomous literacy as a set of skills, techniques, and procedures for use in a variety of contexts and situations. Media literacy has already been addressed in social contexts in its beginnings, consistent with the social concept of literacy. The discussion of digital literacy includes two submenus: (1) conceptualization of digital literacy in the process of moving from technological and information practices to social practices and (2) transformation of original literacy with a written medium that transforms into multimodal literacy, and multiliteracy - compound literacy in social practices. The book presents the method of digital storytelling, which the author has studied in detail over the years, as an example/case study of good practice. The method of storytelling is an extended form of the method of interpretation included in various areas of the curriculum. Storytelling enables the establishment of meaning, perception and interpretation and is expressed in narrative thinking. Through storytelling, the child makes sense of and presents his or her experiences and views, and develops narrative thinking. In its digital form, storytelling exploits the potential of technology for multimodal expression and enables the situated development of literacy, contextualized in reflection and an identity that is increasingly associated with digital practices.
Povzetek

Delo Izobraževalna tehnologija in izgradnja avtentičnega učnega okolja v jedro izobraževalne tehnologije postavlja izboljšanje uspešnosti vzgojno-izobraževalnega procesa. Izobraževalna tehnologija je obravnavana v globalnem sistemu dejavnikov vzgojno-izobraževalnega procesa, saj ima pomembno vlogo pri povezovanju poučevanja v vzgojno-izobraževalnih zavodih in učenja v naravnih okoljih. Izobraževalna tehnologija omogoča vzpostavljanje avtentičnega učnega okolja na tri pomembne načine: (1) uporaba digitalnih medijev je za današnje generacije avtentična aktivnost, avtentično okolje socialnega življenja, (2) zaradi zmožnosti sodobne tehnologije se lahko avtentična učna okolja vzpostavijo s povezovanjem »učilnice« in različnih izvirnih okolij (naravnih in drugih) in (3) »učilnica« z vsemi funkcijami se lahko vzpostavi v različnih izvirnih okoljih. V pričujočem delu je obravnavano zgodnje učenje, ki postaja vse bolj prežeto z digitalno tehnologijo v primarnem okolju družine, ki posega v razmerje med otrokom, interakcijsko vsebino in okoljem, in spreminja interakcijo v družini. Skrb za otrokov razvoj in učenje, po poročilih raziskav, sproža polaražijo, zagovornikov in nasprotnikov. Poudarjanje tveganj in odtegovanje tesnejšega stika s tehnologijo zanemarja priložnosti digitalnih praks za otrokovo porajajočo se pismenost in razvoj dispozicij za učenje. Temeljni izziv vključevanju predstavlja premoščanje razkoraka med digitalnimi praksami kot temeljnimi družbeno-kulturnimi praksami, ki jih otrok usvaja z igro. Ker se meje med tradicionalno in digitalno igro brišejo, je treba tudi v kurikulu za vrtce, ki temelji na igri, vključevati digitalno igro in v partnerstvu s starši vzpostavljati in ohranjati vez med domom in vrtcem. Med ključnimi dejavniki za vključevanje izobraževalne tehnologije v vrtce so stališča in kompetence pedagoških delavcev, ki jih je treba razvijati v začetnem in vseživljenjskem izobraževanju. Možnosti za vključevanje izobraževalne tehnologije so preučevane tudi v strukturi vzgojno-izobraževalnega procesa z vidika dejavnikov učenja, strukturnih in procesnih dejavnikov učenja ter subjektov učnega procesa, učitelja in učenca. Na začetku 20. stoletja je izobraževalna tehnologija zajemala vlogo pripomočkov, učil ali sredstev. Pozneje, pod vplivom komunikacijskih teorij, je bila pojmovana kot nosilec ali posredovalec informacij. V obeh obdobjih je bila v didaktiki vključena med struk-
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Recenzija – Martin Kramar

Dr. Andreja Istenič Starčič in her work Educational Technology and the Construction of an Authentic Learning Environment discusses the position and role of today’s, modern educational technology in the educational process.

The work covers addressing the following web of issues:

- educational technology for the development of 21st century competencies,
- educational technology in the educational process: in the global system and the direct educational process,
- the child’s primary environment and digital technology,
- educational technology in structuring the educational environment,
- digital storytelling.

The discussion of individual issues and findings is based on knowledge of didactic theory and the use of educational technology in educational practice in developed countries and on the author's own experience gained through work in the education of educators and teachers.

In the introductory part, she briefly presented the emergence and integration of resources from educational technology into educational practice. He points out an important developmental shift. The means of educational technology in the educational process were originally only didactic means (aids) in support of the implementation of lessons. They were also treated in this way by didactic theory. With the development of both IT resources and teaching and didactic theory, IT has become one of the constitutive factors of teaching, which in today’s (modern) educational practices has an increasingly important role at the level of conceptualization and articulation of educational processes. With the development of new functions and capacities of modern means of information and communication technology, their function and role in the development of educational processes is also increasing. These are evolving and changing more and more consistently with the development of educational technology. This is also influenced by the increasing use of ICT tools (information and communication technologies) in people's lives and work. ICT resources
are also an important constant factor in the life and work of children of all ages, developmental stages or levels of upbringing and education. However, its use is not limited to activities related to the educational process in kindergartens and schools, but is much wider, more diverse and permanent. Therefore, competences for living and working in current and especially in future developed societies, which are triggered by the increasing and regular use of ICT resources and their development, are increasingly coming to the fore.

The author of the paper emphasizes that IT resources are able to perform more and more learning activities (and other related activities), which in the past were performed only by teachers or pedagogical workers. They trigger and open different views on the organization and course of learning, on the didactic systems of the educational process and also on the educational process in kindergarten. Views (and notions) about the literacy of today’s man, the issues of interpersonal relationships, leadership and self-leadership of students are opening up and expanding.

In connection with this or as a result, new phenomena, problems and issues arise, which these funds alone, without pedagogical workers, cannot carry out or solve.

The author emphasizes that educational technology encourages and participates in the development of competencies for the 21st century, especially the third set of competencies. These are information, media and technological competences. Among these, communication, information and media computer and ICT literacy are important from the point of view of educational technology. ICT contributes a great deal to the development of these and its role is indispensable.

The author presented the role and importance of digital and media literacy. She drew attention to the widespread notion and content of literacy in today’s developed societies, which is no longer just alphabetical, but increasingly multicode. Children and students learn about and master digital text creation. This is also necessary, as digital literacy is becoming more widespread and an increasingly important factor - a condition and achievement - already in early learning, in school and further, not only school, education.
The author states that ICT resources (broader than IT) affect all areas and the entire child’s life and work at home. Thus, they also directly and indirectly influence personal development, and there can also be many controversial, problematic and harmful influences. The author points out that ICT resources bring and trigger various phenomena in the home living environment that have a detrimental effect on the health, physical and mental development of children. It is necessary to know this, to be aware of various risks and to take into account the recommendations made by experts on the basis of empirical experience, by observing and researching practice. The work also contains recommendations to be considered in the relationships and use of ICT resources.

In the section educational technology in the educational process, the author IT illuminates with didactic theoretical definitions and structuring of the educational process. He adds that in today’s modern educational processes, IT is one of the main factors, an important link between the subjects and the structural and process components of this process. To a large extent, it enables the individualization of the process or the individual work of students.

She presented the didactic principles that must be considered when integrating IT into the educational process. She also explained the relationship between IT and toys and how IT should be considered in the planning and preparation of the direct educational process.

He concludes his work with a chapter on digital storytelling. In it, she highlighted digital storytelling and presented digital storytelling on a concrete case.

The work also contains an extensive rich list of the latest relevant literature, which contains findings and findings of various theoretical scientific studies and (latest) empirical research in this field or issue.

**Summary**

Prof. dr. Andreje Istenič Starčič in his work Educational Technology and the Construction of an Authentic Learning Environment discusses the position, role and important aspects of the use of didactic technology in learning and more broadly in the educational process. The author emphasizes that the development of human
competencies for the 21st century is closely related to this. He draws particular attention to the modern notion of literacy and the dissemination of its content. This is increasingly multi-code and covers communication, information and media computer literacy.

She presented new, different, notions of the position, role and importance of educational technology in the educational process. Educational technology is no longer just a set of learning aids (tools), but a web of important actors who have an increasing and increasingly important constitutive, conceptual, operational-executive and developmental function in the educational process.

She emphasized that educational technology is an important set of factors in the entire authentic life, not only learning, environment and with a constant presence in all areas of life and work of children, it also constantly influences the learning, development and socialization processes of children and people. The author also draws attention to the appearance of various disturbing and harmful influences on the entire child’s development. She also added recommendations for actions that can be taken to reduce, prevent or even eliminate harmful phenomena and their impact.

She concluded her work by showing the implementation of new insights on the use of IT in early learning and digital storytelling.

The work is an important contribution to the theory that sheds light on the position, functions and roles of educational technology in the improvement, modernization and development of new, different didactic approaches and processes in educational practice. It also draws attention to the broader frameworks and aspects of the impact of educational technology in the wider human authentic living environment.

The work is largely based on the international context and comparable relevant reference foreign works. It is an important contribution to this and suitable for international dissemination and for translation into foreign languages.

By showing an example of digital storytelling, it is also a good incentive for modernization and the development of direct practice.
Recenzija – Matjaž Debevc

Based on a thorough review, analysis and assessment of the final material for the scientific monograph entitled "Educational technology and the construction of an authentic learning environment", I give a global review assessment.

Given the global application of technologies in everyday life and especially in education, where teachers have to adapt to changes in practice, the scientific monograph by dr. Andreje Istenič Starčič.

The main goal of the present scientific monograph is the importance and role of educational technology in the function of the educational process, while researching the trends and influences of parents in the child’s personal development during the educational process. In doing so, he researches and highlights didactic-methodological principles in the use of educational technologies and, at the implementation level, highlights the method of digital storytelling with the help of educational technologies.

With such a scientific approach, structure, effective illustrations, graphs, presentations and the use of appropriate concepts and laws, the author, dr. Andreja Istenič Starčič, created scientifically in-depth theoretical material on educational technology, which will certainly help students, teachers, counselors and decision-makers in educational institutions.

When studying and reading the content chapters, it is evident that the author, on the basis of external scientific findings, derived her own findings in the field of educational technologies and teaching methods. The text also shows a rich knowledge of this field, especially from a scientific point of view, as it shows a very good knowledge of current theories and scientific findings in the field of didactics and educational technology, which can only be gained through many years of international experience.

In a professionally consistent and recognizable way, she presents his own findings with a properly structured argument, which she builds in the next, beautifully
recognizable structure "meaning - use - placement in space and environment - implementation".

She substantiates the importance of educational technology with the fundamental function of educational technology in promoting the success of the educational process, which is realized in connecting the school and family environment. She scientifically substantiates the capabilities of modern technology in the establishment of authentic learning environments, where she presents and substantiates authentic activities, the characteristics of the learning and family environment and takes into account the characteristics of the participants in the learning process.

The author further presents the key historical development aspects of the field of educational technology and how the changes have changed the school-family relationship. The author then scientifically substantiates how educational technology has transcended the role of a structural component of the educational process. She highlighted the discussion of the planning and implementation of pedagogical processes with regard to the development of computer technology together with the influence of cognitive theories of learning and teaching.

The author justified the use of educational technology in the context of the competencies of the 21st century and in the context of the basic competencies of educators and teachers. It highlighted the development of definitions of digital competencies of a teacher who inherited information and media literacy.

In her work, the author defends the transcendence of concepts in the context of autonomous literacy and the necessity of treatment in social contexts. The discussion of digital literacy builds on two sub-assumptions: on the conceptualization of digital literacy in the process of moving from technological and information to social practices and on the transformation of original literacy, which is transformed into multidimensional literacy in social practices.

Furthermore, in her work, the author places educational technology in the broader context of child development. It shows how the educator monitors and plans the child’s digital practices in partnership with the parents and finds out how the
boundaries between traditional and digital play are blurred. It also presents how digital play in the preschool period as a culturally located activity is the basis for planning didactic-methodological approaches in kindergarten.

At the last, performing, level, the author completes her work by presenting the method of digital storytelling. The presented content on digital storytelling is an interesting example of the use of educational technology, which captures the technological aspect with its production and presentation of the story, as well as all the didactic features of analog, conventional, traditional storytelling.

Throughout the text, it is evident that the author in her scientific approach analyzed and discussed a rich selection of reference literature from various theoretical scientific studies of the issue.

The monograph follows the principle of preparing a scientific monograph, its quality is also reflected in the already mentioned analysis and use of a large number of recent and foreign scientific literature and in the appropriate use of professional-scientific terminology. Everything is meaningfully integrated and presented with findings and provisions for the Slovenian educational space.

I believe that the material will be very useful to all those involved in the use of educational technology, especially scientists, students, teachers in their analysis, planning and evaluation of the possibilities of using information and communication technologies in education. The material will also be a tool for counselors and decision-makers in educational processes. Given the fact that the book is written in an international context using the English language, this will contribute to the additional significant wider dissemination and dissemination of the scientific monograph.
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