Constructing biquandles

by

Eva Horvat (Ljubljana)

Abstract. We define biquandle structures on a given quandle, and show that any biquandle is given by some biquandle structure on its underlying quandle. By determining when two biquandle structures yield isomorphic biquandles, we obtain a relationship between the automorphism group of a biquandle and the automorphism group of its underlying quandle. As an application, we determine the automorphism groups of Alexander and dihedral biquandles. We also discuss product biquandles and describe their automorphism groups.

Introduction. Recently we have witnessed an outburst of research on racks, quandles and related structures in connection with knot theory [J82], [FR92], [FRS07], [K91]. Since then, the algebraic study of quandles and their automorphism groups is well under way. Biquandles, an algebraic generalization of quandles, are not so well known. The study of biquandles began with [FJK04], and biquandle invariants have been amply used in the theory of virtual and other knots [C⁺09], [IN17], [A14], [ELN18]. The structure of biquandles is more complicated than the quandle structure, and consequently they are harder to understand. We would like to find a way of constructing new biquandles with a chosen structure.

In this paper, we explore the relationship between quandles and biquandles. Our study is based on the functor $\mathcal{Q}$, defined in [A14]. We define biquandle structures on a given quandle. We show that every biquandle B is given by a biquandle structure on its underlying quandle $\mathcal{Q}(B)$. By determining when two biquandle structures are isomorphic, we are able to characterize all biquandles with a given underlying quandle. Using the knowledge of quandles and their automorphism groups together with our results, one may construct a fair number of new biquandles.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 20N99.

Key words and phrases: quandle, biquandle, biquandle structure, automorphism group.

Received 19 March 2019; revised 30 December 2019.

Published online 27 March 2020.

By [FR92], a knot K in any 3-manifold defines a fundamental quandle $Q(K)$ that is a knot invariant. By choosing a suitable biquandle structure (that would depend on the ambient 3-manifold rather than the knot), fundamental quandles may be turned into biquandles, which might be more suitable for some purposes (like studying quantum enhancements [NOR17] or parity of knots [M10]).

An advantage of our construction is that it yields biquandles with a chosen structure. It also lays ground for a theoretical (versus computer-based) knowledge about the number of biquandles of a given order. We obtain the following partial result in this direction:

COROLLARY 2.9. *The number of nonisomorphic constant biquandle structures on a quandle Q is equal to the number of conjugacy classes of $\text{Aut}(Q)$.*

Using the characterization of nonisomorphic biquandle structures, we obtain a relationship between the automorphism group of a given biquandle and the automorphism group of its underlying quandle:

THEOREM 3.1. *Let B be a biquandle with $\mathcal{Q}(B) = Q$ that is given by a biquandle structure $\{\beta_y \mid y \in Q\} \subset \text{Aut}(Q)$. Then*

$$\text{Aut}(B) \leq N_{\text{Aut}(Q)}\{\beta_y \mid y \in Q\}.$$

Moreover, in the case of a constant biquandle structure, we obtain

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let X_f be a biquandle with $\mathcal{Q}(X_f) = Q$ that is given by the constant biquandle structure $\{\beta_y = f \mid y \in Q\}$. Then $\text{Aut}(X_f) \cong C_{\text{Aut}(Q)}(f)$.*

In particular, this yields the automorphism groups of Alexander and dihedral biquandles; see Corollary 3.3 and Proposition 3.5.

We also study the automorphism group of product biquandles.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Let $(Q, *)$ and $(K, \circ)$ be connected quandles, and denote by B their product biquandle. Then*

$$\text{Aut}(B) \cong \text{Aut}(Q) \times \text{Aut}(K).$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, the basic definitions concerning quandles and biquandles are recalled. In Section 2, we define the functor $\mathcal{Q}$ from the category of biquandles to the quandle category. Starting from a given quandle Q , we impose on Q a biquandle structure to obtain a biquandle B with $\mathcal{Q}(B) = Q$. We show that every biquandle is obtained by such a construction, and give some examples. Further, we determine when two biquandle structures are isomorphic, thus giving a characterization of biquandle structures. In Section 3, we apply our results to describe automorphism groups of biquandles. We obtain a relationship between the automorphism group of a biquandle and the automorphism group of its under-

lying quandle. We determine the automorphism group of biquandles with a constant biquandle structure, which includes the Alexander and dihedral biquandles. In Section 4, we introduce product biquandles and describe their automorphism groups.

1. Preliminaries

DEFINITION 1.1. A *quandle* is a set Q with a binary operation $*$: $Q \times Q \rightarrow Q$ that satisfies the following axioms:

- (1) $x * x = x$ for every $x \in Q$;
- (2) the map $S_y: Q \rightarrow Q$ given by $S_y(x) = x * y$ is a bijection for every $y \in Q$;
- (3) $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * (y * z)$ for all $x, y, z \in Q$.

In a quandle $(Q, *)$, we will denote by $x *^{-1} y = S_y^{-1}(x)$ the unique element $w \in Q$ for which $w * y = x$.

A map $f: Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$ between quandles is called a *quandle homomorphism* if $f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y)$ for all $x, y \in Q_1$. It follows from Definition 1.1 that the map S_y is in fact an automorphism of the quandle Q . We call these automorphisms the *symmetries* of Q . The subgroup $\langle S_y \mid y \in Q \rangle \leq \text{Aut}(Q)$ is called the *inner automorphism group* $\text{Inn}(Q)$.

We recall some examples of quandles:

- If G is a group, then $a * b = b^{-1}ab$ defines a quandle operation on G ; the resulting quandle $(G, *)$ is called the *conjugation quandle*.
- In any group G , the operation given by $a \circ b = ba^{-1}b$ also defines a quandle.
- Define a binary operation on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ by $i * j = 2j - i \pmod n$. Then $R_n = (\mathbb{Z}_n, *)$ is a quandle, called the *dihedral quandle*.
- Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ and let M be a Λ -module. Then $x * y = tx + (1 - t)y$ defines a quandle, called an *Alexander quandle*.

DEFINITION 1.2. A *biquandle* is a set B with two binary operations $\underline{*}, \bar{*}: B \times B \rightarrow B$ that satisfy the following axioms:

- (1) $x \underline{*} x = x \bar{*} x$ for every $x \in B$;
- (2) the maps $\alpha_y, \beta_y: B \rightarrow B$ and $S: B \times B \rightarrow B \times B$ given by $\alpha_y(x) = x \underline{*} y$, $\beta_y(x) = x \bar{*} y$ and $S(x, y) = (y \bar{*} x, x \underline{*} y)$ are bijections for every $y \in B$;
- (3) the exchange laws

$$\begin{aligned} (x \underline{*} y) \underline{*} (z \underline{*} y) &= (x \underline{*} z) \underline{*} (y \bar{*} z), \\ (x \underline{*} y) \bar{*} (z \underline{*} y) &= (x \bar{*} z) \underline{*} (y \bar{*} z), \\ (x \bar{*} y) \bar{*} (z \bar{*} y) &= (x \bar{*} z) \bar{*} (y \underline{*} z) \end{aligned}$$

are valid for all $x, y, z \in B$.

In a biquandle $(B, *, \bar{*})$, we denote $x \underline{*}^{-1} y = \alpha_y^{-1}(x)$ and $x \bar{*}^{-1} y = \beta_y^{-1}(x)$.

Observe that if $\beta_y = \text{id}$ for every $y \in B$, then $(B, *)$ is a quandle—thus biquandles are a generalization of quandles. We would like to describe the precise relationship between the two algebraic structures.

Some examples of biquandles are listed below:

- Let G be a group. Define two binary operations on G by $a \underline{*} b = b^{-1}a^{-1}b$ and $a \bar{*} b = b^{-2}a$. Then $(G, \underline{*}, \bar{*})$ is a biquandle, called the *Wada biquandle*.
- Define two operations $\underline{*}$ and $\bar{*}$ on the set $\mathbb{Z}_n$ by $i \underline{*} j = (s+1)j - i$ and $i \bar{*} j = si$ for some chosen element $s \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$. Then $B_n = (\mathbb{Z}_n, \underline{*}, \bar{*})$ is a biquandle, called the *dihedral biquandle*.
- Denote $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}, s^{\pm 1}]$ and let M be a Λ -module. Then $x \underline{*} y = tx + (s-t)y$ and $x \bar{*} y = sx$ define a biquandle $(M, \underline{*}, \bar{*})$, called an *Alexander biquandle*.

For the convenience of more topologically oriented readers, we briefly recall the knot-theoretical background of (bi)quandles. Let D_L be an oriented link diagram of a link L . Figure 1 depicts the *quandle crossing relation* at a crossing of the diagram D_L .

Fig. 1. The quandle crossing relation

The *fundamental quandle* of the link L is a quandle whose generators are the arcs of the diagram and whose relations are the quandle crossing relations. Since the quandle axioms correspond to the Reidemeister moves of a link diagram, the fundamental quandle is a well-defined link invariant. For more details, see [FR92].

Fig. 2. Biquandle crossing relations

If we consider a link diagram D_L as a 4-valent graph, every arc becomes divided into two semiarcs. Figure 2 depicts the *biquandle crossing relations* at a (positive or negative) crossing of the diagram D_L . The *fundamental biquandle* of the link L is the biquandle whose generators are the semiarcs

of D_L , and whose relations are the biquandle crossing relations. Again, the fact that the biquandle axioms correspond to the Reidemeister moves ensures that the fundamental biquandle defines a link invariant.

2. Constructing biquandles from a quandle. It is known that to any biquandle $B = (X, *, \bar{*})$ we may associate a quandle $\mathcal{Q}(B) = (X, *)$ whose operation is given by

$$x * y = (x \bar{*} y) \bar{*}^{-1} y$$

for all $x, y \in X$. In fact, $\mathcal{Q}$ defines a functor from the category of biquandles to the quandle category [A14]. We reprove this fact in the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *$\mathcal{Q}$ is a functor from the category of biquandles to the quandle category.*

Proof. Let $B = (X, *, \bar{*})$ be a biquandle. The biquandle axiom (1) gives the equality $x \bar{*} x = x \bar{*} x$, which implies $x * x = x \bar{*} x \bar{*}^{-1} x = x$ for any $x \in X$. Secondly, the maps $\alpha_y(x) = x \bar{*} y$ and $\beta_y(x) = x \bar{*} y$ are bijections, therefore so is the map $S_y: \mathcal{Q}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(X)$ given by $S_y(x) = x * y = (\beta_y^{-1} \circ \alpha_y)(x)$.

To show the validity of the third quandle axiom, we choose $x, y, z \in X$. Denote $b = x * z$, $c = y * z$ and $w = x * y$, which implies $b \bar{*} z = x \bar{*} z$, $c \bar{*} z = y \bar{*} z$, $w \bar{*} y = x \bar{*} y$ and $((x * y) * z) \bar{*} z = w \bar{*} z$. We use the biquandle axiom (3) for B to compute

$$\begin{aligned} (((x * z) * (y * z)) \bar{*} z) \bar{*} (y \bar{*} z) &= (((x * z) * (y * z)) \bar{*} z) \bar{*} (c \bar{*} z) \\ &= (((x * z) * (y * z)) \bar{*} c) \bar{*} (z \bar{*} c) \\ &= (b \bar{*} c) \bar{*} (z \bar{*} c) = (b \bar{*} z) \bar{*} (c \bar{*} z) \\ &= (x \bar{*} z) \bar{*} (y \bar{*} z), \\ (((x * y) * z) \bar{*} z) \bar{*} (y \bar{*} z) &= (w \bar{*} z) \bar{*} (y \bar{*} z) = (w \bar{*} y) \bar{*} (z \bar{*} y) \\ &= (x \bar{*} y) \bar{*} (z \bar{*} y) = (x \bar{*} z) \bar{*} (y \bar{*} z), \end{aligned}$$

and it follows by the biquandle axiom (2) that $(x * z) * (y * z) = (x * y) * z$. Therefore $\mathcal{Q}(B) = (X, *)$ is a quandle.

Let $F: B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ be a biquandle homomorphism from a biquandle $B_1 = (X, *, \bar{*})$ to another biquandle $B_2 = (Y, \bar{*}, \bar{\bar{*}})$. Denote by $\mathcal{Q}(F): \mathcal{Q}(B_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(B_2)$ the induced map between the underlying quandles. Then the equalities

$$\mathcal{Q}(F)(x * y) = F(x) \bar{*} F(y) \bar{*}^{-1} F(y) = \mathcal{Q}(F)(x) * \mathcal{Q}(F)(y)$$

imply that $\mathcal{Q}(F)$ is a quandle homomorphism. Similarly, for $B_1 = B_2$ and $F = \text{id}_{B_1}$ we obtain $\mathcal{Q}(\text{id}_{B_1}) = \text{id}_{\mathcal{Q}(B_1)}$. Also, if $F: B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ and $G: B_2 \rightarrow B_3$ are biquandle homomorphisms, then $\mathcal{Q}(G \circ F) = \mathcal{Q}(G) \circ \mathcal{Q}(F)$. ■

Thus, every biquandle determines a quandle. This fact rises some questions, like:

- Starting from a quandle Q , how do we construct a (nontrivial) biquandle B with $\mathcal{Q}(B) = Q$?
- Is it possible to characterize all biquandles B with $\mathcal{Q}(B) = Q$?
- What is the relationship between all biquandles B with $\mathcal{Q}(B) = Q$? When are two of those biquandles isomorphic?

Our results in the remainder of this section will answer all these questions. We begin by defining a biquandle structure on a given quandle.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let $Q = (X, *)$ be a quandle. A *biquandle structure* on Q is a family $\{\beta_y: X \rightarrow X \mid y \in X\} \subset \text{Aut}(Q)$ that satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $\beta_{\beta_y(x*y)}\beta_y = \beta_{\beta_x(y)}\beta_x$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (2) the map $(y \mapsto \beta_y(y))$ is a bijection of X .

Observe that any automorphism f of a quandle Q defines a biquandle structure on Q by $\beta_y = f$ for every $y \in Q$. We call this a *constant* biquandle structure on Q .

THEOREM 2.3. Let $\{\beta_y: X \rightarrow X \mid y \in X\}$ be a biquandle structure on a quandle $Q = (X, *)$. Define two binary operations on X by $x \underline{*} y = \beta_y(x * y)$ and $x \bar{*} y = \beta_y(x)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then $B = (X, \underline{*}, \bar{*})$ is a biquandle and $\mathcal{Q}(B) = Q$.

Proof. Since $x \underline{*} x = \beta_x(x) = x \bar{*} x$ for every $x \in X$, the first biquandle axiom is valid.

To verify the second biquandle axiom, observe that since Q is a quandle and β_y is a bijection, the maps $\alpha_y(x) = \beta_y(x * y)$ and $\beta_y(x) = x \bar{*} y$ are bijections for every $y \in X$. It remains to show that the map $S: X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ given by $S(x, y) = (y \bar{*} x, x \underline{*} y) = (\beta_x(y), \beta_y(x * y))$ is a bijection. Choose $(z, w) \in X \times X$. By property (2) from Definition 2.2, there exists a unique $y \in X$ such that $\beta_w^{-1}\beta_z(z) = \beta_y(y)$. There exists a unique $x \in X$ such that $\beta_y(x) * \beta_y(y) = w$. We have $S(x, y) = (\beta_x(y), \beta_y(x * y)) = (\beta_x(y), w)$ and use property (1) in Definition 2.2 to calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{\beta_y(x*y)}^{-1}\beta_z(z) &= \beta_w^{-1}\beta_z(z) = \beta_y(y) = \beta_y\beta_x^{-1}\beta_x(y), \\ \beta_z(z) &= \beta_{\beta_y(x*y)}\beta_y\beta_x^{-1}\beta_x(y) = \beta_{\beta_x(y)}(\beta_x(y)),\end{aligned}$$

and property (2) from Definition 2.2 implies that $\beta_x(y) = z$.

To check the third biquandle axiom, we choose any $x, y, z \in X$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned}
(x \underline{*} y) \underline{*} (z \underline{*} y) &= \beta_y(x * y) \underline{*} \beta_y(z * y) = \beta_{\beta_y(z*y)}(\beta_y((x * z) * y)), \\
(x \underline{*} z) \underline{*} (y \overline{*} z) &= \beta_z(x * z) \underline{*} \beta_z(y) = \beta_{\beta_z(y)}(\beta_z((x * z) * y)), \\
(x \overline{*} y) \overline{*} (z \overline{*} y) &= \beta_y(x) \overline{*} \beta_y(z) = \beta_{\beta_y(z)}(\beta_y(x)), \\
(x \overline{*} z) \overline{*} (y \underline{*} z) &= \beta_z(x) \overline{*} \beta_z(y * z) = \beta_{\beta_z(y*z)}(\beta_z(x)), \\
(x * y) \overline{*} (z * y) &= \beta_y(x * y) \overline{*} \beta_y(z * y) = \beta_{\beta_y(z*y)}(\beta_y(x * y)), \\
(x \overline{*} z) \underline{*} (y \overline{*} z) &= \beta_z(x) \underline{*} \beta_z(y) = \beta_{\beta_z(y)}(\beta_z(x * y)).
\end{aligned}$$

By condition (1) of Definition 2.2, it follows that the third biquandle axiom is valid. We have shown that B is a biquandle, and the equality $x \underline{*} y \overline{*}^{-1} y = \beta_y^{-1}(x \underline{*} y) = x * y$ implies that $\mathcal{Q}(B) = Q$. ■

EXAMPLE 2.4 (Wada biquandle). Let G be a group. It is easy to check that $a * b = ba^{-1}b$ defines a quandle operation on G . For every $y \in G$, define a map $\beta_y: G \rightarrow G$ by $\beta_y(a) = y^{-2}a$. Since G is a group, β_y is bijective, and so

$$\beta_y(a) * \beta_y(b) = (y^{-2}a) * (y^{-2}b) = y^{-2}ba^{-1}b = \beta_y(a * b)$$

implies that β_y is a quandle automorphism of $(G, *)$. The map $y \mapsto \beta_y(y)$ is a bijection since $\beta_y(y) = y^{-1}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_{\beta_y(x*y)}\beta_y(a) &= (y^{-1}x^{-1}y)^{-2}y^{-2}a = y^{-1}x^2y^{-1}a, \\
\beta_{\beta_x(y)}\beta_x(a) &= (x^{-2}y)^{-2}x^{-2}a = y^{-1}x^2y^{-1}a
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, a \in G$, so the family of automorphisms $\{\beta_y \mid y \in G\}$ defines a biquandle structure on $(G, *)$. By Theorem 2.3, this structure defines a biquandle $(G, *, \overline{*})$ with operations $x \underline{*} y = y^{-1}x^{-1}y$ and $x \overline{*} y = y^{-2}x$, which is exactly the Wada biquandle.

Theorem 2.3 describes a construction of a biquandle from a given quandle Q . In the following theorem, we show that every biquandle B with $\mathcal{Q}(B) = Q$ is obtained by this construction, thus giving a complete classification of such biquandles.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $B = (X, \underline{*}, \overline{*})$ be a biquandle and let $\mathcal{Q}(B) = (X, *)$ be its associated quandle. Then the family of mappings $\{\beta_y \mid y \in X\}$ is a biquandle structure on $\mathcal{Q}(B)$.*

Proof. Since B is a biquandle, the mapping $\beta_y: X \rightarrow X$ given by $\beta_y(x) = x \overline{*} y$ is a bijection for every $y \in X$. Moreover, we have $x * y = (x \underline{*} y) \overline{*}^{-1} y = \beta_y^{-1}(x \underline{*} y)$, which implies $x \underline{*} y = \beta_y(x * y)$.

The third biquandle axiom implies that $\beta_{\beta_y(z)}(\beta_y(x)) = \beta_y(x) \overline{*} \beta_y(z) = (x \overline{*} y) \overline{*} (z \overline{*} y) = (x \overline{*} z) \overline{*} (y \underline{*} z) = \beta_z(x) \overline{*} \beta_z(y * z) = \beta_{\beta_z(y*z)}(\beta_z(x))$, hence the equality $\beta_{\beta_y(z)}\beta_y = \beta_{\beta_z(y*z)}\beta_z$ holds for all $y, z \in X$. The maps β_y thus satisfy condition (1) from Definition 2.2 and we may compare

$$(x \underline{*} y) \overline{*} (z \underline{*} y) = \beta_y(x * y) \overline{*} \beta_y(z * y) = \beta_{\beta_y(z*y)}(\beta_y(x * y)) = \beta_{\beta_z(y)}(\beta_z(x * y))$$

and

$$(x \bar{*} z) \bar{*} (y \bar{*} z) = \beta_z(x) \bar{*} \beta_z(y) = \beta_{\beta_z(y)}(\beta_z(x) \bar{*} \beta_z(y)).$$

By the third biquandle axiom, we have $(x \bar{*} y) \bar{*} (z \bar{*} y) = (x \bar{*} z) \bar{*} (y \bar{*} z)$ and therefore $\beta_z(x \bar{*} y) = \beta_z(x) \bar{*} \beta_z(y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. We have shown that $\beta_y \in \text{Aut}(X, \bar{*})$ for every $y \in X$.

It remains to prove condition (2) from Definition 2.2. Since B is a biquandle, the map $S: X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ given by $S(x, y) = (y \bar{*} x, x \bar{*} y)$ is a bijection. It follows that the restriction $S|_{\Delta}: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$, given by $S(x, x) = (\beta_x(x), \beta_x(x))$, is injective, thus $x \mapsto \beta_x(x)$ is injective. To show it is also surjective, choose any $z \in X$. Since S is a bijection, there exist $x, y \in X$ such that $S(x, y) = (z, z)$. It follows that $z = \beta_x(y) = \beta_y(x \bar{*} y)$, and by condition (1) we have

$$\beta_{\beta_y(x \bar{*} y)} \beta_y = \beta_{\beta_x(y)} \beta_x = \beta_{\beta_y(x \bar{*} y)} \beta_x,$$

which implies $\beta_x = \beta_y$ and thus $\beta_y(y) = \beta_x(y) = z$. ■

EXAMPLE 2.6 (Alexander quandles and biquandles). Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}, s^{\pm 1}]$. Consider an Alexander quandle as a Λ -module M whose operation is given by

$$x \bar{*} y = (s^{-1}t)x + (1 - s^{-1}t)y.$$

Taking a constant biquandle structure $\{\beta_y \mid \beta_y(x) = sx \text{ for all } x, y \in M\}$, we obtain the Alexander biquandle $(M, \bar{*}, \bar{*})$ with operations $x \bar{*} y = tx + (s - t)y$ and $x \bar{*} y = sx$ for all $x, y \in M$.

In order to classify biquandles, we need to determine when two biquandle structures yield isomorphic biquandles.

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let $Q_1 = (X_1, \bar{*}_1)$ and $Q_2 = (X_2, \bar{*}_2)$ be two quandles. Then a biquandle defined by a biquandle structure $\{\beta_y^1 \mid y \in X_1\}$ on Q_1 is isomorphic to a biquandle defined by a biquandle structure $\{\beta_y^2 \mid y \in X_2\}$ on Q_2 if and only if there is a quandle isomorphism $F: Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$ such that for every $y \in X_1$,*

$$F\beta_y^1 = \beta_{F(y)}^2 F.$$

Proof. Denote by $B_i = (X_i, \bar{*}_i, \bar{*}_i)$ the biquandle defined by the biquandle structure $\{\beta_y^i \mid y \in X_i\}$ on Q_i for $i = 1, 2$.

($\Rightarrow$) Suppose there exists a biquandle isomorphism $f: B_1 \rightarrow B_2$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that f induces an isomorphism between the underlying quandles $(Q_1, \bar{*}_1)$ and $(Q_2, \bar{*}_2)$. Moreover, we have $f(\beta_y^1(x)) = f(x \bar{*}_1 y) = f(x) \bar{*}_2 f(y) = \beta_{f(y)}^2(f(x))$, which implies the equality $f\beta_y^1 = \beta_{f(y)}^2 f$ for every $y \in Q_1$.

($\Leftarrow$) Suppose that there is a quandle isomorphism $F: Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$ such that $F\beta_y^1 = \beta_{F(y)}^2 F$ for every $y \in X_1$. It follows that, for all $x, y \in X_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} F(x *_1 y) &= F(\beta_y^1(x *_1 y)) = \beta_{F(y)}^2 F(x *_1 y) = \beta_{F(y)}^2 (F(x) *_2 F(y)) \\ &= F(x) *_2 F(y), \\ F(x \bar{*}_1 y) &= F(\beta_y^1(x)) = \beta_{F(y)}^2 (F(x)) = F(x) \bar{*}_2 F(y), \end{aligned}$$

and thus F defines a biquandle isomorphism from B_1 to B_2 . ■

As we have observed, every automorphism f of a quandle $Q = (X, *)$ defines a constant biquandle structure $\{\beta_y = f \mid y \in X\}$ and thus defines a biquandle X_f with $\mathcal{Q}(X_f) = Q$. One would then like to know when two such biquandles are isomorphic.

COROLLARY 2.8. *Let $Q_1 = (X, *_1)$ and $Q_2 = (Y, *_2)$ be quandles and let $f \in \text{Aut}(Q_1)$, $g \in \text{Aut}(Q_2)$ be automorphisms that define biquandles X_f and Y_g . Then X_f and Y_g are isomorphic if and only if there exists a quandle isomorphism $F: Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$ such that $Ff = gF$.*

Proof. This follows directly from Proposition 2.7. ■

COROLLARY 2.9. *The number of nonisomorphic constant biquandle structures on a quandle Q is equal to the number of conjugacy classes of $\text{Aut}(Q)$.*

3. Automorphism groups of biquandles. In Section 2, we introduced biquandle structures and showed that every biquandle is given by a biquandle structure on its underlying quandle. Proposition 2.7 determines when two biquandle structures are isomorphic. We may use this result to relate the automorphism group of a biquandle with the automorphism group of its underlying quandle. Given a group G and a subset $S \subset G$, denote by $N_G(S)$ the normalizer of S and by $C_G(S)$ the centralizer of S in G .

THEOREM 3.1. *Let B be a biquandle with $\mathcal{Q}(B) = Q$ that is given by a biquandle structure $\{\beta_y \mid y \in Q\} \subset \text{Aut}(Q)$. Then*

$$\text{Aut}(B) \leq N_{\text{Aut}(Q)}\{\beta_y \mid y \in Q\}.$$

Proof. In Proposition 2.7, we take $Q_1 = Q_2 = Q$ and $\beta_y^1 = \beta_y^2$ for every $y \in Q$. ■

In the case of a constant biquandle structure, the biquandle automorphism group is completely determined by the quandle automorphism group:

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let X_f be a biquandle with $\mathcal{Q}(X_f) = Q$ that is given by the constant biquandle structure $\{\beta_y = f \mid y \in Q\}$. Then $\text{Aut}(X_f) \cong C_{\text{Aut}(Q)}(f)$.*

Proof. If $F \in \text{Aut}(Q)$ is a quandle automorphism, then it follows from Proposition 2.7 that

$$F \in \text{Aut}(X_f) \iff Ff = fF. \blacksquare$$

The automorphism group of Alexander quandles was determined in [H11]. Using this result together with Corollary 3.2, we may obtain the automorphism group of any Alexander biquandle.

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let M be an Alexander biquandle with the corresponding Alexander quandle $\mathcal{Q}(M)$, as defined in Example 2.6. Then*

$$\text{Aut}(M) \cong C_{\text{Aut}(\mathcal{Q}(M))}(s).$$

Similarly, we obtain a classification of Alexander biquandles as follows.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Alexander biquandles M and N are isomorphic if and only if there exists an isomorphism of Alexander quandles $F: \mathcal{Q}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(N)$ such that $F(sx) = sF(x)$ for every $x \in M$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2.7. $\blacksquare$

Next, we study the automorphism group of dihedral biquandles. Recall the definition of the affine group of $\mathbb{Z}_n$:

$$\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \{f_{a,b}: \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n \mid f_{a,b}(i) = ai + b, a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}.$$

By [EMR12, Theorem 2.1], the automorphism group of a dihedral quandle R_n is isomorphic to $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$. The reader may check that the underlying quandle of a dihedral biquandle $\mathcal{Q}(B_n)$ is a quandle with operation $i * j = (1 + s^{-1})j - s^{-1}i$, which is a generalization of the dihedral quandle. Nevertheless, we may show the following.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let B_n be a dihedral biquandle for which $s + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$. Then $\text{Aut}(B_n) \cong C_{\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_n)}(s)$.*

Proof. For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ we have $(f_{a,b}s)(i) = asi + b$ and $(sf_{a,b})(i) = asi + sb$, which implies $C_{\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_n)}(s) = \{f_{a,b} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*, (s-1)b = 0\} \leq \text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$.

Define a map $\psi: C_{\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_n)}(s) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(B_n)$ by $\psi(f_{a,b}) = f_{a,b}$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} f_{a,b}(i * j) &= a((s+1)j - i) + b = (s+1)aj - ai + b, \\ f_{a,b}(i) \underline{*} f_{a,b}(j) &= (ai + b) \underline{*} (aj + b) = (s+1)aj - ai + sb, \\ f_{a,b}(i \bar{*} j) &= sai + b, \\ f_{a,b}(i) \bar{*} f_{a,b}(j) &= sai + sb \quad \text{for all } i, j, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n. \end{aligned}$$

If $f_{a,b} \in C_{\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_n)}(s)$, we have $(s-1)b = 0$ and thus $\psi(f_{a,b})$ is a biquandle automorphism of B_n . The map ψ is clearly a group homomorphism and $\text{Ker}(\psi) = \{f_{1,0}\} = \{1\}$.

It remains to show that ψ is surjective. Choose any element $g \in \text{Aut}(B_n)$. As g is a biquandle homomorphism, we have $g((s+1)j - i) = (s+1)g(j) - g(i)$

and $g(si) = sg(i)$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Define a mapping $h: B_n \rightarrow B_n$ by $h(j) = g(j) - g(0)$. Since $g(0) = sg(0)$, we may compute

$$h((s+1)j - i) = (s+1)g(j) - g(i) - g(0) = (s+1)h(j) - h(i)$$

and $h(si) = g(si) - g(0) = s(g(i) - g(0)) = sh(i)$, thus h is a biquandle homomorphism of B_n . Moreover, $h(0) = 0$ and consequently $h(-i) = -h(i)$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Then we have $h(s+1) = (s+1)h(1)$, and since $h(j(s+1)) = h(s+1 - (-(j-1)(s+1))) = (s+1)h(1) + h((j-1)(s+1))$ for $2 \leq j \leq n-1$, it follows by induction that

$$h(j(s+1)) = j(s+1)h(1)$$

for every j . By our hypothesis, $s+1 \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ and therefore $h(j) = jh(1)$ for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. We have shown that $g(j) = jh(1) + g(0) = (g(1) - g(0))j + g(0)$, which implies $g = \psi(f_{g(1)-g(0), g(0)})$. Since $(s-1)g(0) = 0$, we have $f_{g(1)-g(0), g(0)} \in C_{\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_n)}(s)$ as desired. ■

4. Product biquandles. In this section, we study a family of biquandles that naturally arise from any pair of quandles. For two quandles $(Q, *)$ and $(K, \circ)$, define two binary operations $\underline{*}$ and $\bar{*}$ on the cartesian product $Q \times K$ by

$$(x, a) \underline{*} (y, b) = (x * y, a), \quad (x, a) \bar{*} (y, b) = (x, a \circ b).$$

PROPOSITION 4.1. *$(Q \times K, \underline{*}, \bar{*})$ is a biquandle for any quandles $(Q, *)$ and $(K, \circ)$.*

Proof. Denote $B = (Q \times K, \underline{*}, \bar{*})$.

As $(Q, *)$ and $(K, \circ)$ are quandles, we have $(x, a) \underline{*} (x, a) = (x, a) \bar{*} (x, a)$ for any $(x, a) \in Q \times K$.

For any $(y, b) \in Q \times K$, the maps $\alpha_{(y,b)}, \beta_{(y,b)}: B \rightarrow B$ are given by $\alpha_{(y,b)}(x, a) = (x * y, a)$ and $\beta_{(y,b)}(x, a) = (x, a \circ b)$, so they are bijections. Also the map $S: B \times B \rightarrow B \times B$ given by $S((x, a), (y, b)) = ((y, b \circ a), (x * y, a))$ is a bijection.

Choose any $(x, a), (y, b), (z, c) \in B$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned} ((x, a) \underline{*} (y, b)) \underline{*} ((z, c) \underline{*} (y, b)) &= ((x * y) * (z * y), a) = ((x * z) * y, a) \\ &= ((x, a) \underline{*} (z, c)) \underline{*} ((y, b) \bar{*} (z, c)), \\ ((x, a) \underline{*} (y, b)) \bar{*} ((z, c) \underline{*} (y, b)) &= (x * y, a) \circ (z * y, c) = (x * y, a \circ c) \\ &= ((x, a) \bar{*} (z, c)) \underline{*} ((y, b) \bar{*} (z, c)), \\ ((x, a) \bar{*} (y, b)) \bar{*} ((z, c) \bar{*} (y, b)) &= (x, (a \circ b) \circ (c \circ b)) = (x, (a \circ c) \circ b) \\ &= ((x, a) \bar{*} (z, c)) \bar{*} ((y, b) \underline{*} (z, c)). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

The biquandle $(Q \times K, \underline{*}, \bar{*})$ will be called the *product biquandle* of the quandles $(Q, *)$ and $(K, \circ)$. Product biquandles were already considered in

[KK12] as a tool to study virtual and twisted links. In the remainder of this section, we will describe the automorphism group of product biquandles.

Recall that a quandle $(Q, *)$ is called *connected* if for every $x, y \in Q$, there exist some elements $z_1, \dots, z_n \in Q$ such that $y = ((x * z_1) * z_2 * \dots) * z_n$. For biquandles, we have an analogous definition:

DEFINITION 4.2. In a biquandle X , consider the equivalence relation $\sim_c$, generated by $x \sim_c x \underline{*} y$ and $x \sim_c x \bar{*} y$ for all $x, y \in X$. The equivalence classes are called *connected components*, and the biquandle is called *connected* if there is only one class.

LEMMA 4.3. If $(Q, *)$ and $(K, \circ)$ are connected quandles, then their product biquandle $B = (Q \times K, \underline{*}, \bar{*})$ is connected.

Proof. Choose two elements $(x, a), (y, b) \in B$. Since Q is connected, there exist elements $z_1, \dots, z_n$ with $y = ((x * z_1) * z_2 * \dots) * z_n$, and since K is connected, there exist elements $c_1, \dots, c_m$ with $b = ((a \circ c_1) \circ c_2 \circ \dots) \circ c_m$. It follows that

$$(y, b) = ((x, a) \underline{*} (z_1, a) \underline{*} \dots \underline{*} (z_n, a)) \bar{*} (x, c_1) \bar{*} \dots \bar{*} (x, c_m),$$

therefore (y, b) is in the same connected component as (x, a) . ■

PROPOSITION 4.4. Let $(Q, *)$ and $(K, \circ)$ be connected quandles, and denote by $B = (Q \times K, \underline{*}, \bar{*})$ their product biquandle. Then

$$\text{Aut}(B) \cong \text{Aut}(Q) \times \text{Aut}(K).$$

Proof. Consider the map $\phi: \text{Aut}(Q) \times \text{Aut}(K) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(B)$ that assigns to a pair of automorphisms $f \in \text{Aut}(Q)$ and $g \in \text{Aut}(K)$ the map of pairs $f \times g: B \rightarrow B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (f \times g)((x, a) \underline{*} (y, b)) &= (f(x * y), g(a)) = (f(x), g(a)) \underline{*} (f(y), g(b)) \\ &= (f \times g)(x, a) \underline{*} (f \times g)(y, b), \\ (f \times g)((x, a) \bar{*} (y, b)) &= (f(x), g(a \circ b)) = (f(x), g(a) \circ g(b)) \\ &= (f(x), g(a)) \bar{*} (f(y), g(b)) \\ &= (f \times g)(x, a) \bar{*} (f \times g)(y, b), \end{aligned}$$

therefore $(f \times g) \in \text{Aut}(B)$. It is easy to see that ϕ is a group homomorphism and $\text{Ker}(\phi) = \{(\text{id}_Q, \text{id}_K)\}$.

It remains to show that ϕ is surjective. Let $F \in \text{Aut}(B)$. Denote by $p_1: Q \times K \rightarrow Q$ and $p_2: Q \times K \rightarrow K$ the projection maps, and let $p_1 \circ F = F_1: B \rightarrow Q$ and $p_2 \circ F = F_2: B \rightarrow K$. Since F is a biquandle automorphism, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F((x, a) * (y, b)) &= F(x * y, a) = F(x, a) * F(y, b) \\
&= (F_1(x, a) * F_1(y, b), F_2(x, a)), \\
F((x, a) \bar{*} (y, b)) &= F(x, a \circ b) = F(x, a) \bar{*} F(y, b) \\
&= (F_1(x, a), F_2(x, a) \circ F_2(y, b)),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies $F_2(x * y, a) = F_2(x, a)$ and $F_1(x, a \circ b) = F_1(x, a)$ for all $x, y \in Q$ and $a, b \in K$. Since K is connected, it follows that $F_1(x, a) = F_1(x, b)$ for every $x \in Q$ and all $a, b \in K$, thus F_1 is actually defined by a map $f: Q \rightarrow Q$, where $F_1(x, a) = f(x)$. Since Q is connected, it follows that $F_2(x, a) = F_2(y, a)$ for all $x, y \in Q$ and every $a \in K$, thus F_2 is defined by a map $g: K \rightarrow K$, where $F_2(x, a) = g(a)$. Moreover, the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x * y) &= F_1(x * y, a) = F_1(x, a) * F_1(y, a) = f(x) * f(y), \\
g(a \circ b) &= F_2(x, a \circ b) = F_2(x, a) \circ F_2(x, b) = g(a) \circ g(b)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in Q$ and all $a, b \in K$ imply that f and g are quandle homomorphisms. We have shown that $F = f \times g$, and since F is bijective, it follows that both f and g are also bijective, therefore $F \in \text{Im}(\phi)$. ■

What about the automorphisms of product biquandles that are not connected? First we make the following simple observations.

LEMMA 4.5. *Let X and Y be biquandles and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a biquandle homomorphism. If x_1 and x_2 are in the same connected component of X , then $f(x_1)$ and $f(x_2)$ are in the same connected component of Y .*

Proof. The equivalence relation $\sim_c$ on X is generated by the equivalences $a \sim_c a * b$ (type 1) and $a \sim_c a \bar{*} b$ (type 2) for all $a, b \in X$. If $x_1 \sim_c x_2$ in X , this means that x_1 and x_2 are connected by a sequence of equivalences of type 1 and 2, and since f is a biquandle homomorphism, $f(x_1)$ and $f(x_2)$ are also connected by the same sequence of equivalences in Y . Thus $f(x_1)$ and $f(x_2)$ are in the same connected component of Y . ■

LEMMA 4.6. *Let Q be a quandle and let $f \in \text{Aut}(Q)$. If $x, y \in Q$ are in the same component of Q , then $f(x)$ and $f(y)$ are in the same component of Q .*

Proof. If x, y are in the same component of Q , then $y = ((x * z_1) * z_2 * \dots) * z_n$ for some elements $z_1, \dots, z_n \in Q$, which implies $f(y) = ((f(x) * f(z_1)) * f(z_2) * \dots) * f(z_n)$. ■

Let us briefly analyze the automorphism group of a nonconnected quandle. Suppose $(Q, *)$ is a quandle with components $Q_1, \dots, Q_k$. By Lemma 4.6, the restriction of every automorphism $f \in \text{Aut}(Q)$ to the component Q_i has $\text{Im}(f|_{Q_i}) \subset Q_j$ for some j . Since f is a bijection, there exists a permutation $\rho \in S_k$ such that $\text{Im}(f|_{Q_i}) = Q_{\rho(i)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. The automorphism

f may thus be written as

$$f = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k f_i: Q_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup Q_k \rightarrow Q_{\rho(i)} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup Q_{\rho(k)},$$

where $f_i: Q_i \rightarrow Q_{\rho(i)}$ is a quandle isomorphism.

THEOREM 4.7. *Let $(Q, *)$ and $(K, \circ)$ be quandles. Denote by $Q_1, \dots, Q_k$ the components of Q , and by $K_1, \dots, K_m$ the components of K . Then a map $F: Q \times K \rightarrow Q \times K$ is an automorphism of the product biquandle $B = (Q \times K, *, \bar{*})$ if and only if*

- (1) *there exist maps $f_1, \dots, f_m: Q \rightarrow Q$ and $g_1, \dots, g_k: K \rightarrow K$ such that $f_i|_{Q_j}$ and $g_j|_{K_i}$ are bijections for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, k$,*
- (2) *the equalities $f_i(x) * f_r(y) = f_i(x * y)$ and $g_j(a) \circ g_l(b) = g_j(a \circ b)$ hold for all $i, r \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and all $j, l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$,*
- (3) *there exists a bijection $\rho: (1, \dots, k) \times (1, \dots, m) \rightarrow (1, \dots, k) \times (1, \dots, m)$ such that $f_i(Q_j) \times g_j(K_i) = Q_{\rho(j,i)_1} \times K_{\rho(j,i)_2}$ for every $(i, j) \in (1, \dots, k) \times (1, \dots, m)$ ⁽¹⁾*

and $F(x, a) = (f_i(x), g_j(a))$ for every $(x, a) \in Q_j \times K_i$.

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ Suppose $F: Q \times K \rightarrow Q \times K$ is an automorphism of the product biquandle. Denote $F_1 = p_1 \circ F$ and $F_2 = p_2 \circ F$, where p_1, p_2 are the projections to the respective factors of $Q \times K$. Since F is a biquandle automorphism, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F((x, a) * (y, b)) &= F(x * y, a) = F(x, a) * F(y, b) \\ &= (F_1(x, a) * F_1(y, b), F_2(x, a)), \\ F((x, a) \bar{*} (y, b)) &= F(x, a \circ b) = F(x, a) \bar{*} F(y, b) \\ &= (F_1(x, a), F_2(x, a) \circ F_2(y, b)), \end{aligned}$$

which implies $F_2(x * y, a) = F_2(x, a)$ and $F_1(x, a \circ b) = F_1(x, a)$ for all $x, y \in Q$ and $a, b \in K$. It follows that $F_1|_{Q \times K_i}(x, a) = f_i(x)$ for some map $f_i: Q \rightarrow Q$ and $F_2|_{Q_j \times K}(x, a) = g_j(a)$ for some map $g_j: K \rightarrow K$. It also follows from the above equalities that

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(x) * f_r(y) &= F_1(x, a) * F_1(y, b) = F_1(x * y, a) = f_i(x * y), \\ g_j(a) \circ g_l(b) &= F_2(x, a) \circ F_2(y, b) = F_2(x, a \circ b) = g_j(a \circ b) \end{aligned}$$

for $i, r \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $j, l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. We have $F|_{Q_j \times K_i} = f_i \times g_j$.

By Lemma 4.3, the sets $Q_j \times K_i$ are connected components of the product biquandle B , and by Lemma 4.5 we have $\text{Im}(F|_{Q_j \times K_i}) \subset Q_k \times K_l$ for some k and l . Since F is an isomorphism, there exists a bijection $\rho: (1, \dots, k) \times$

⁽¹⁾ For a bijection $\rho: (1, \dots, k) \times (1, \dots, m) \rightarrow (1, \dots, k) \times (1, \dots, m)$, we denote by $\rho(j, i)_1$ and $\rho(j, i)_2$ the first and the second component of the pair $\rho(j, i)$ respectively.

$(1, \dots, m) \rightarrow (1, \dots, k) \times (1, \dots, m)$ with $F(Q_j \times K_i) = f_i(Q_j) \times g_j(K_i) = Q_{\rho(j,i)_1} \times K_{\rho(j,i)_2}$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$ and $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Since F is injective, also $F|_{Q_j \times K_i} = (f_i \times g_j)|_{Q_j \times K_i}$ is injective. Since F is surjective, it follows from Lemma 4.5 that also $(f_i \times g_j)|_{Q_j \times K_i}$ is surjective. Therefore both $f_i|_{Q_j}$ and $g_j|_{K_i}$ are bijections for $j = 1, \dots, k$ and $i = 1, \dots, m$.

($\Leftarrow$) Suppose that $f_1, \dots, f_m: Q \rightarrow Q$ and $g_1, \dots, g_k: K \rightarrow K$ are maps that satisfy conditions (1)–(3) of the theorem. Define a map $F: Q \times K \rightarrow Q \times K$ by $F(x, a) = (f_i(x), g_j(a))$ for $(x, a) \in Q_j \times K_i$. Choose two elements $(x, a) \in Q_j \times K_i$ and $(y, b) \in Q_l \times K_r$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned} F((x, a) \ast (y, b)) &= F(x \ast y, a) = (f_i(x \ast y), g_j(a)) = (f_i(x) \ast f_r(y), g_j(a)) \\ &= (f_i(x), g_j(a)) \ast (f_r(y), g_l(b)) = F(x, a) \ast F(y, b), \\ F((x, a) \bar{\ast} (y, b)) &= F(x, a \circ b) = (f_i(x), g_j(a \circ b)) = (f_i(x), g_j(a) \circ g_l(b)) \\ &= (f_i(x), g_j(a)) \bar{\ast} (f_r(y), g_l(b)) = F(x, a) \bar{\ast} F(y, b), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that F is a biquandle homomorphism of the product biquandle B . It follows from (3) that if $(i, j) \neq (k, l)$, then $\text{Im}(F|_{Q_i \times K_j}) \cap \text{Im}(F|_{Q_k \times K_l}) = \emptyset$, and since $F|_{Q_i \times K_j}$ is injective, F is injective. Since $F|_{Q_j \times K_i}$ is surjective for every $(i, j) \in (1, \dots, k) \times (1, \dots, m)$, it follows from (3) that F is surjective. We have thus shown that $F \in \text{Aut}(B)$. ■

Conditions (1)–(3) of Theorem 4.7 imply that $f_i \in \text{Aut}(Q)$ and $g_j \in \text{Aut}(K)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, k$. Every automorphism of a product biquandle $B = (Q \times K, \ast, \bar{\ast})$ is thus given by

$$F = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \bigoplus_{i=1}^m (f_i \times g_j): \bigsqcup (Q_j \times K_i) \rightarrow \bigsqcup (Q_j \times K_i),$$

where $(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ and $(g_1, \dots, g_k)$ are tuples of quandle automorphisms of Q and K that are connected by conditions (2) and (3) of Theorem 4.7.

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by the Slovenian Research Agency grant N1-0083.

References

- [A14] S. Ashihara, *Fundamental biquandles of ribbon 2-knots and ribbon torus-knots with isomorphic fundamental quandles*, J. Knot Theory Ramif. 23 (2014), no. 1, art. 1450001, 17 pp.
- [C⁺09] J. S. Carter, D. S. Silver, S. G. Williams, M. Elhamdadi and M. Saito, *Virtual knot invariants from group biquandles and their cocycles*, J. Knot Theory Ramif. 18 (2009), 957–972.

- [ELN18] M. Elhamdadi, M. Liu and S. Nelson, *Quasi-trivial quandles and biquandles, cocycle enhancements and link-homotopy of pretzel links*, J. Knot Theory Ramif. 27 (2018), no. 11, art. 1843007, 16 pp.
- [EMR12] M. Elhamdadi, J. Macquarrie and R. Restrepo, *Automorphism groups of quandles*, J. Algebra Appl. 11 (2012), no. 1, art. 1250008, 9 pp.
- [FJK04] R. Fenn, M. Jordan-Santana and L. Kauffman, *Biquandles and virtual links*, Topology Appl. 145 (2004), 157–175.
- [FR92] R. Fenn and C. Rourke, *Racks and links in codimension two*, J. Knot Theory Ramif. 1 (1992), 343–406.
- [FRS07] R. Fenn, C. Rourke and B. Sanderson, *The rack space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007), 701–740.
- [H11] X. Hou, *Automorphism groups of Alexander quandles*, J. Algebra 344 (2011), 373–385.
- [IN17] A. Ishii and S. Nelson, *Partially multiplicative biquandles and handlebody-knots*, in: Knots, Links, Spatial Graphs, and Algebraic Invariants, Contemp. Math. 689, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017, 159–176.
- [J82] D. Joyce, *A classifying invariant of knots, the knot quandle*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 23 (1982), 37–65.
- [KK12] N. Kamada and S. Kamada, *Biquandles with structures related to virtual links and twisted links*, J. Knot Theory Ramif. 21 (2012), no. 13, art. 1240006, 14 pp.
- [K91] L. H. Kauffman, *Knots and Physics*, Ser. Knots Everything 1, World Sci., River Edge, NJ, 1991.
- [M10] V. O. Manturov, *Parity in knot theory*, Mat. Sb. 201 (2010), no. 5, 65–110 (in Russian).
- [NOR17] S. Nelson, M. E. Orrison and V. Rivera, *Quantum enhancements and biquandle brackets*, J. Knot Theory Ramif. 26 (2017), no. 5, art. 1750034, 24 pp.

Eva Horvat
Faculty of Education
University of Ljubljana
Kardeljeva ploščad 16
1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
E-mail: eva.horvat@pef.uni-lj.si