

# **Jackals as cleaners: Ecosystem services provided by a mesocarnivore in human-dominated landscapes**

Duško Ćirović<sup>1,\*,#</sup>, Aleksandra Penezić<sup>1</sup>, and Miha Krofel<sup>2,#</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Biology, University of Belgrade, Studentski trg 16, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia

<sup>2</sup> Department of Forestry and Renewable Forest Resources, Biotechnical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, Večna pot 83, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +381 11 2637024; fax: +381 11 2638500. E mail address: [dcirovic@bio.bg.ac.rs](mailto:dcirovic@bio.bg.ac.rs) (D. Ćirović)

# Both authors contributed equally to this work

## **ABSTRACT**

Ecosystem services are receiving increasing attention among researchers and managers, due to emerging recognition of their global extent and contribution towards human welfare. Obligatory scavengers have been identified as important providers of these services, namely waste management, but their populations are declining worldwide and mesocarnivores are taking on the role of dominant scavengers in many regions. However, mesocarnivores are rarely appreciated for their services of waste removal, and are mostly cited in negative contexts in the literature. Here we explored the widely neglected potential of mesocarnivores as providers of ecosystem services. We used the golden jackal (*Canis aureus*) as a model species and evaluated its provision of ecosystem services in a developing country in the Balkans, where waste management creates numerous challenges. Based on contents of 606 jackal stomachs, food intake and population size, we estimate that in Serbia jackal population annually removes >3 700 tonnes of animal waste and 13.2 million crop pest rodents. We estimate the monetary value of animal waste removal at >0.5 million € per year. We scaled this result up to evaluate ecosystem services at the continental-scale, and these results indicate that jackals remove substantial amounts of discarded animal waste (> 13 000 tonnes) as well as potential crop pests (> 158 million crop pest rodents) across human-dominated landscapes of Europe. These results are the first to demonstrate the value of ecosystem services provided by mesocarnivores as facultative scavengers, and show that they are of great value for local communities in the modern world. We emphasize the importance of recognizing ecosystem services provided by species with predominantly negative public images, in consideration of their conservation.

## **HIGHLIGHTS**

- We evaluated ecosystem services provided by a mesocarnivore in a developing country
- Jackals removed substantial amounts of discarded animal waste and crop pests
- Benefits from mesocarnivores for local communities appear higher than costs
- Re-evaluation of mesocarnivore role in ecosystem services is called for

38

39 **KEYWORDS**

40 waste management, anthropogenic food subsidies, scavenging, golden jackal, *Canis aureus*,  
41 Serbia

42

43 **INTRODUCTION**

44 Large mammalian carnivores are regularly recognized as keystone species with important roles  
45 in maintaining biodiversity and stability of ecosystems (Estes et al. 2011; Ripple et al. 2014).  
46 There is also ample literature documenting their provision of ecosystem services (Brashares et  
47 al. 2010; Duffield et al. 2008; Krofel et al. 2014; Ripple et al. 2014). In contrast, mesocarnivores  
48 (i.e. carnivores with body weight <15 kg such as coyotes [*Canis latrans*] and badgers [*Meles  
49 meles*]) are rarely appreciated for their ecological role or the ecosystem services they provide  
50 (Roemer et al. 2009). In fact, most literature reports only their negative ecological effects,  
51 including killing of endangered species or monopolizing vital resources (DeVault et al. 2011;  
52 Prugh et al. 2009; Ripple et al. 2014). Due to real or perceived losses caused by mesocarnivores  
53 on livestock or valuable hunted species, they are often considered to be vermin or agricultural  
54 pests (Roemer et al. 2009). Consequently, the general public and various interest groups often  
55 express negative attitudes towards them (Kellert 1985; Mihelič & Krofel 2012) and they are  
56 subject to intensive lethal control programs (Berger 2006). At present, it is difficult to assess  
57 whether the deficiency of literature on ecosystem services provided by mesocarnivores is due to  
58 a lack of research or if it is a genuine indication that mesocarnivores have a limited role in  
59 providing such services.

60 Ecosystem services are receiving increasing attention among researchers and managers  
61 (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment 2005, Posner et al. 2016) and waste management has been  
62 identified as one of the most globally important ecosystem services (Costanza et al. 1997). Every  
63 year, human societies discard substantial quantities of livestock carcasses and other animal  
64 waste (Mateo-Tomás et al. 2015; Oro et al. 2013). Obligate vertebrate scavengers, such as  
65 vultures, have been recognized as important agents of carcass removal and related ecosystem  
66 services (Markandya et al. 2008; Moleón et al. 2014b). However, especially in human-dominated  
67 landscapes, obligate scavengers have suffered dramatic declines (Ogada et al. 2012). On the  
68 other hand, facultative scavengers, including mesocarnivores, have been rarely considered as  
69 providers of waste management services, and have even been regarded as detrimental to  
70 endangered scavengers through competition for carrion (DeVault et al. 2011; Moleón et al.  
71 2014a). In contrast to vultures, mesocarnivores are highly adaptable to human-caused habitat  
72 modifications and often thrive in human-dominated landscapes, including urban and rural areas  
73 (Šálek et al. 2013). Therefore, there is potential for mesocarnivores to have an important impact  
74 by removing animal waste in degraded ecosystems with an impoverished scavenger guild. The  
75 need for research on animal waste consumption by scavengers in human-dominated landscapes  
76 has been recently recognized (Mateo-Tomás et al. 2015), and the ecosystem services provided  
77 by these species could be important for future waste management, as well as for mesocarnivore  
78 conservation through improving their often negative image among general public.

79 Here we explore this so far neglected potential of mesocarnivores as providers of ecosystem  
80 services. As a case study, we use the golden jackal (*Canis aureus*) in European human-dominated

81 landscapes. The golden jackal is a typical mesocarnivore with high degree of adaptability and  
82 plasticity in its behaviour, which has facilitated its recent expansion throughout South-eastern  
83 and part of Central Europe (Šálek et al. 2013; Trouwborst et al. 2015). Opportunistic dietary  
84 habits have also enabled jackals to profit from anthropogenic food sources in the area (Lanszki  
85 et al. 2015). Studies comparing jackal feeding habits with other mesocarnivores, such as the red  
86 fox (*Vulpes vulpes*), suggest that diet and foraging behaviour of the golden jackal is typical for a  
87 mesocarnivore in human-dominated landscape (Lanszki et al. 2006).

88 We first analysed jackal diet in rural areas of Serbia, where waste management faces numerous  
89 challenges, including the incapacity to process large quantities of domestic animal carcasses and  
90 widespread unregulated disposal of slaughter remains (Gvozdenac 2015; Slamnig 2015). Despite  
91 being under strong hunting pressure, the jackal population is increasing in the country  
92 (Appendix A, Figure A1). We evaluated the amount of animal waste (remains from slaughtering  
93 and hunting) and rodents removed by jackals at the individual and population levels. For animal  
94 waste removal we also estimated annual monetary value of this service. We also reviewed the  
95 literature to gain a general understanding of ecosystem services provided by this mesocarnivore  
96 throughout Europe. To put these services in perspective, we also provide data to evaluate  
97 potential costs that are associated with presence of jackals. We then call attention to the  
98 importance of recognizing ecosystem services provided by species with predominantly negative  
99 public images for their conservation, as well as the importance of facultative scavengers for  
100 waste management in developing countries (Appendix A, Figure A2).

101

## 102 **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

### 103 **Sample collection and diet analysis**

104 Stomachs of shot animals and road kill were collected during 2004-2014 in all seasons,  
105 throughout the jackal distribution in Serbia (Appendix A, Figure A3). All jackals were sexed,  
106 weighed and measured before the stomachs were removed. Stomach content (Appendix A,  
107 Figure A4) was processed and analysed according to standard procedures (Ćirović et al., 2014a).  
108 Only samples from adult animals with food remains in the stomach were used for further  
109 analysis (N=606). Bones, teeth, hairs, and feathers were identified using identification keys (e.g.  
110 Teerink, 1991) and our own reference collections of wild and domestic animals. Food items  
111 were classified into 12 categories (Table 1) and for each category percentage of biomass  
112 consumed (%B) and relative frequency of occurrence (% O) was calculated. For animal remains  
113 found in stomachs it is generally difficult to differentiate between predation in scavenging. In  
114 our case, absence of muscles from livestock in the jackal stomachs and no reported livestock  
115 losses attributed to jackals according to the questionnaires made among livestock owners in the  
116 study area suggest that at least vast majority of livestock remains found in stomachs come from  
117 scavenging and not predation. Similarly, most of wild ungulate remains found in jackals  
118 stomachs were limited to hide, bones and intestines (i.e. parts that hunters discard in the field  
119 after dressing shot game), although we acknowledge that some of the wild ungulates consumed  
120 might have originate from predation.

### 121 **Diet composition at the continental level**

122 In order to evaluate consumption of the three food categories concerned (domestic animals,  
123 rodents and wild ungulates) at the European level, we reviewed all available literature on jackal

124 diet in Europe. The primary search for references was carried out on Web on Science and  
125 Google Scholar using various combinations of keywords: golden jackal, *Canis aureus*, feeding,  
126 diet, and Europe. We also searched in the literature-cited sections of all retrieved articles. We  
127 retained those studies where food items were categorized in a way that enabled evaluation of  
128 the consumed biomass of categories concerned. Data from 13 references were considered  
129 (Appendix A, Table A3). For studies where consumed biomass was not reported we calculated  
130 conversion factors from our data from Serbia and estimated these values based on reported  
131 frequencies of occurrence (Appendix, Table A1). We estimated average diet composition of  
132 jackals in Europe by first calculating mean values from all studies for each country. Then we  
133 used country averages to calculate overall average diet at the continental level. In this way we  
134 reduced bias due to variable number of diet studies in individual countries. Because not all  
135 studies reported species composition among rodent species consumed by jackals, we first  
136 estimated overall proportion of rodents in jackal diet across Europe and then applied average  
137 proportion of crop pest taxa among the rodents (85.7 %B) from studies (N= 6) that reported  
138 rodent species composition.

### 139 **Evaluation of ecosystem services**

140 We estimated consumed biomass of domestic animals (presumably from illegal dumps or road  
141 kills), wild ungulates (presumably from hunting remains) and rodents, which are often regarded  
142 as major crop pests (Brown et al. 2003). Most of the damage in agriculture caused by rodents in  
143 the study area in Serbia is caused by the species from genera *Microtus*, *Apodemus* and *Cricetus*  
144 (Jokić 2012). We evaluated ecosystem services based on jackal consumption of rodents from  
145 these taxa (termed “crop pest rodents”).

146 Estimation of biomass removed from environment was based on dietary data (see above), daily  
147 food intake rate, and estimated number of jackals. According to previous dietary studies on  
148 jackals (Klare et al. 2010; Mukherjee et al. 2004) we used 850 g for mean daily food intake,  
149 which corresponds also to information obtained from feeding of captive jackals at five zoos  
150 (800-1000 g; M. Heltai, M.T. Cortez García and K. Ovari, pers. comm.) and general estimate of 7-  
151 10% of body weight for carnivores (Mukherjee et al. 2004) and a mean body weight of  $11.3 \pm 1.5$   
152 kg for adult jackals in Serbia (N=769; own unpublished data), which corresponds to 777-1111 g.

153 For evaluation of ecosystem services on the continental scale we reviewed available literature or  
154 contacted local jackal experts to obtain current estimates of jackal numbers in European  
155 countries and thus roughly estimate number of jackals on the continent (Appendix, Table A2).

156 To estimate the monetary value of the animal waste removal services that jackals provide, we  
157 contacted a Serbian carcass processing plant and inquired about the price for destroying animal  
158 waste (18 Serbian dinars = 0.15 €/kg; Proteinka Sombor, pers. comm.). Transport costs were not  
159 included. For rodents we also estimated number of animals consumed, based on mean weight  
160 of the most frequently recorded rodent, *Microtus arvalis* ( $22.7 \pm 3.4$  g, N=83; own unpublished  
161 data).

162

## 163 **RESULTS**

### 164 **Diet composition of golden jackals**

165 *Serbia*

166 Mean biomass of individual stomach contents was 182 g (N=606). At 71.8%, the remains of  
 167 domestic animals (mostly pigs) was the most frequent food type, followed by rodents at 10.1%  
 168 and wild ungulates (wild boar [*Sus scrofa*] and roe deer [*Capreolus capreolus*]) at 8.0% of  
 169 consumed biomass (Table 1). Jackals were also eating small amounts of birds, hares, and plants  
 170 (grass, grapes, plums etc.). Remains of domestic animals and wild ungulates found in jackal  
 171 stomachs mostly consisted of skin and digestive system organs, indicating that jackals were  
 172 mainly scavenging on animal waste discarded by humans after slaughtering or hunting.

### 173 *Europe*

174 Among diet studies across Europe, jackal diets include 0-71% consumed biomass of domestic  
 175 animals, 0-80% wild ungulates and 1-80% rodents. Among these three categories, European  
 176 jackals on average consume 40.5% domestic animals, 19.7% wild ungulates and 19.4% rodents  
 177 (details are provided in Appendix, Table A3).

178 Table 1. Stomach content of jackals in Serbia (N=606). %B - proportion of consumed biomass; %O -  
 179 relative frequency of occurrence.

180

Food categories	%B	%O
Domestic animals	71.82	49.69
Crop pest rodents	6.45	17.06
Other rodents	3.61	5.4
Wild ungulates	7.99	5.84
European brown hare	2.65	2.74
Birds	2.63	4.16
Plants	2.38	7.96
Medium size carnivores	1.86	1.06
Invertebrates	0.32	1.50
Indigestible	0.24	3.63
Amphibians and reptiles	0.03	0.53
Insectivores	0.03	0.27
Fish	0.01	0.27

181

### 182 **Evaluation of ecosystem services provided by jackals**

#### 183 *Serbia*

184 On average each golden jackal in Serbia annually consumes 222.8 kg remains of domestic  
 185 animals, 24.8 kg remains of wild ungulates and 31.2 kg rodents, among which are 20.0 kg of crop  
 186 pest rodents. Biomass of crop pest rodents corresponds to approximately 881 individuals. Given  
 187 its estimated population size in Serbia (15,000 jackals; Appendix, Table A2), the jackal  
 188 population annually removes 3,341 tonnes of domestic animal remains, 372 tonnes of wild  
 189 ungulate remains, and 13.2 million crop pest rodents. Annual monetary value of animal waste  
 190 removal provided by jackals (excluding transport costs) sums to 60 million Serbian dinars (0.5  
 191 million €) for domestic animal waste and 6.7 million Serbian dinars (55 800 €) for wild ungulates.

192 *Europe*

193 At the European scale of an estimated population of approximately 70,000 jackals (Appendix,  
194 Table A2), jackals annually remove 8,842 tonnes of domestic animal remains, 4,301 tonnes of  
195 wild ungulate remains, and 3.590 tonnes of crop pest rodents or 158.2 million individuals, with  
196 an estimated monetary value of animal carcass removal (without transport costs and assuming  
197 the prices given for Serbia) of 2 million €.

## 198 **DISCUSSION**

199 Our evaluation of ecosystem services provided by golden jackals in human-dominated European  
200 landscapes highlights the neglected aspect of mesocarnivore ecology in the modern world.  
201 Results indicate that every year jackals remove substantial amounts of discarded animal waste  
202 and potential crop pests. Ecosystem services involving waste removal are especially important in  
203 developing countries, where, in contrast to more developed countries, organized animal waste  
204 disposal is often challenging due to limited funds and infrastructure available (transport vehicles  
205 and processing plants). For example, in Serbia only one carcass-processing plant is currently  
206 functional and reportedly 14,600 tonnes of animal waste remain unprocessed annually; the  
207 remainder is likely discarded in the environment, either on illegal garbage dumps or scattered in  
208 rural landscape (Gvozdenac 2015). Our estimates indicate that jackals are an important agent in  
209 animal waste removal in this country, and the estimated monetary value of this service suggests  
210 considerable economic savings for local communities.

211 At the continental level, we noticed some regional variation in the regulating services provided  
212 by jackals. This is likely connected with differences in human activities, especially availability of  
213 animal waste, which appears to be the preferred food of jackals whenever available. Thus in  
214 most countries the main role of jackals is in the removal of slaughter remains. The exception is a  
215 large portion of Hungary, where jackals appear to have adapted their diet to widespread  
216 agriculture and abundant rodents. Accordingly, pest control is their more pronounced role.  
217 Further research is needed, however, to evaluate whether rodent removal by jackals actually  
218 translates into reduced crop damage.

219 Benefits associated with consumption of animal waste by carnivores also needs to be put into  
220 perspective and contrasted with possible negative consequences of using anthropogenic food  
221 sources, such as increased transmission of parasites and other pathogens, conflicts with  
222 humans, or excessive predation on other wildlife (Moleón et al. 2014a; Newsome et al. 2015;  
223 Oro et al. 2013). At least in the case of jackals in Serbia, it is interesting to note that despite the  
224 high level of anthropogenic animal waste in jackal diet, the average parasite load was lower than  
225 in other canids in the region that use less anthropogenic food (Ćirović et al. 2014b). This may be  
226 due to non-concentrated disposal of animal waste and regular boiling of pig carcasses in Serbia.  
227 Although part of the consumed game and domestic animals could have come from predation,  
228 data from body parts found in the stomachs, seasonal dynamics of diet patterns, and records of  
229 reported livestock depredations suggests that the vast majority of ungulate biomass is  
230 consumed through scavenging. Also, in general, jackals in Europe cause substantially fewer  
231 livestock losses in comparison to large carnivores (Bošković et al. 2013; Lanszki et al. 2015;  
232 Mihelič and Krofel 2012; Spassov 1989). We also reviewed the hunting statistics from Serbia for  
233 the period of most rapid jackal population increase (8-fold increase in 2000-2008). There is no  
234 indication that this substantial increase in jackal abundance has affected other game animals, as  
235 the number of European brown hares shot remained relatively stable, and the numbers for roe

236 deer and wild boar have even increased (Appendix, Figure A1). This is in accordance with  
237 previous studies from other countries indicating that jackals do not have important impacts on  
238 other game species (Bošković et al. 2013; Lanszki et al. 2015). There are no known attacks on  
239 people by jackals.

240 The potential for food subsidies from anthropogenic animal waste to cause a substantial  
241 increase in conflicts with humans or threats to other wildlife seems low in case of golden jackal.  
242 This pattern contrasts with examples from large carnivores in which anthropogenic food often  
243 brings them into proximity and serious conflict with humans (Dickman et al. 2011; Nelson 2009),  
244 although recent study on spotted hyenas (*Crocuta crocuta*) suggest that co-existence is  
245 sometimes possible even in such cases (Yirga et al. 2016). Therefore we caution against  
246 generalizing about the consequences of human-food subsidies provided to carnivores. In  
247 conclusion, it appears that the benefits to local communities from jackal-provided services are  
248 higher than the costs associated with this mesocarnivore.

249 We suggest that, despite being neglected in previous studies, mesocarnivores might be  
250 important providers of ecosystem services in general, and especially in human-dominated  
251 landscapes. This is supported by frequent observations of mesocarnivores dominating the  
252 consumption of animal carcasses (e.g. DeVault et al. 2011; Vicente et al. 2011), as well as by a  
253 global review on consumption of animal waste discarded from hunting, which identified  
254 mesocarnivores and corvids as the most frequent scavengers worldwide (Mateo-Tomás et al.  
255 2015). Given the enormous amount of food discarded each year in the environment by humans  
256 (estimates suggest that 30–40% of all food produced on Earth is wasted; Oro et al. 2013), there  
257 is a clear dependence on ecosystem services in removing this huge amount of waste. However,  
258 consumption of human-generated food by predators is often perceived negatively and several  
259 authors have suggested it should be prevented (Newsome et al. 2015; Oro et al. 2013). This is  
260 arguably an important consideration in large carnivore management, as access to anthropogenic  
261 food is one of the key factors promoting conflicts with humans, particularly when residential  
262 areas and garbage dumps are close together. However, this situation can be very different with  
263 mesocarnivores, as exemplified in the case of golden jackals in Europe, wherein conflicts with  
264 humans are rare. Further, reduced food availability around settlements because of consumption  
265 by mesocarnivores could prevent conflicts with large carnivores.

266 Mesocarnivore scavengers' frequent consumption of carcasses likely also supports other key  
267 ecosystem functions and services, similar to those already noted for vultures. These include  
268 acceleration of nutrient recycling, a key global supporting service (Moleón et al. 2014b), as well  
269 as limiting disease transmission, which has important implications for human health and  
270 associated medicine costs (Markandya et al. 2008). Results presented here suggest that the  
271 amount of animal waste removed by mesocarnivores is in a similar quantity as ecosystem  
272 services provided by vultures (e.g. entire Spanish vulture population annually removes 5,551–  
273 8,326 tonnes of meat; Margalida and Colomer 2012).

274 Based on the ecosystem service they provide, we call for a re-evaluation of how the use of  
275 anthropogenic animal waste by mesocarnivores is perceived. When no serious negative  
276 consequences are observed, like in the case of golden jackals in Europe, we suggest that their  
277 use of animal waste should not be prevented. Especially in poorer and rural areas with  
278 challenges in waste management, there might be reason to promote the services provided by  
279 mesocarnivores, or at least to manage mesocarnivore populations in a way that maintains  
280 effective densities. We also suggest that there is a need for managers and the general public to

281 recognize this neglected aspect of mesocarnivore ecology. Appreciation of ecosystem services  
282 provided by mesocarnivores could improve their often negative public image and thus aid their  
283 conservation. Similarly, the role of mesocarnivores in controlling rodent populations and  
284 reducing damage to agricultural crops needs further evaluation. If pest-control role is significant,  
285 the public, especially farmers, might be even more inclined to appreciate the importance of  
286 mesocarnivores. As a comparable example, bats have come to be appreciated for their role in  
287 the control of agricultural pests (Kunz et al. 2011).

288 Since mesocarnivores are regularly subjected to intensive lethal control campaigns, we also call  
289 for studies focusing on effects of such management measures on ecosystem services provided  
290 by mesocarnivores. These studies would provide valuable input for improving the management  
291 of natural resources and using the full potential of services provided by ecosystems, especially in  
292 human-dominated landscapes.

### 293 **Acknowledgments:**

294 ĐC was founded by the Ministry of Science and Technological Development of the Republic of  
295 Serbia (TR 31009) and MK was funded by the Slovenian Research Agency (grant no. P4-0059).  
296 We wish to express our gratitude to all collaborators in the field who helped in collecting the  
297 material for this study. We are also grateful to Lucy Zipf, Amanda Gallinat, and two anonymous  
298 reviewers for their useful advices and editing of the English.

299

### 300 **References**

- 301 Berger, K.M., 2006. Carnivore-Livestock Conflicts: Effects of Subsidized Predator Control and  
302 Economic Correlates on the Sheep Industry. *Conservation Biology* 20, 751-761.
- 303 Bošković, I., Šperanda, M., Florijančić, T., Šprem, N., Ozimec, S., Degmečić, D., Jelkić, D., 2013.  
304 Dietary habits of the golden jackal (*Canis aureus* L.) in the Eastern Croatia. *Agriculturae*  
305 *Conspectus Scientificus* 78, 245–248.
- 306 Brashares, J.S., Prugh, L.R., Stoner, C.J., Epps, C.W., 2010. Ecological and conservation  
307 implications of mesopredator release, In *Trophic Cascades*. eds Terborgh J., J.A. Estes, pp.  
308 221-240. Island Press, Washington DC.
- 309 Brown, P.R., Singelton, G.R., Colin, R.T., Mock, I., 2003. Increasing sowing depth to reduce  
310 mouse damage to winter crops. *Crops Protection* 22, 653-660.
- 311 Costanza, R., d'Arge, R., de Groot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., Naeem, S., Limburg, K.,  
312 Paruelo, J., O'Neill, R.V., 1997. The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural  
313 capital. *Nature* 387, 253-260.
- 314 Ćirović, D., Penezić, A., Milenković, M., Paunović, M., 2014a. Winter diet composition of the  
315 golden jackal (*Canis aureus* L., 1758) in Serbia. *Mammalian Biology - Zeitschrift für*  
316 *Säugetierkunde* 79, 132-137.
- 317 Ćirović, D., Penezić, A., Pavlović, I., Kulišić, Z., Ćosić, N., Burazerović, J., Maletić, V., 2014b. First  
318 records of *Dirofilaria repens* in wild canids from the region of Central Balkan. *Acta Veterinaria*  
319 *Hungarica* 62, 481-488.
- 320 DeVault, T.L., Olson, Z.H., Beasley, J.C., Rhodes, O.E., 2011. Mesopredators dominate  
321 competition for carrion in an agricultural landscape. *Basic and Applied Ecology* 12, 268-274.

322 Dickman, A.J., Macdonald, E.A., Macdonald, D.W., 2011. A review of financial instruments to pay  
323 for predator conservation and encourage human–carnivore coexistence. *Proceedings of the*  
324 *National Academy of Sciences* 108, 13937-13944.

325 Duffield, J.W., Neher, C.J., Patterson, D.A., 2008. Wolf recovery in Yellowstone: Park visitor  
326 attitudes, expenditures, and economic impacts. *Yellowstone Science* 16, 20-25.

327 Estes, J.A., Terborgh, J., Brashares, J.S., Power, M.E., Berger, J., Bond, W.J., Carpenter, S.R.,  
328 Essington, T.E., Holt, R.D., Jackson, J.B.C., Marquis, R.J., Oksanen, L., Oksanen, T., Paine, R.T.,  
329 Pickett, E.K., Ripple, W.J., Sandin, S.A., Scheffer, M., Schoener, T.W., Shurin, J.B., Sinclair,  
330 A.R.E., Soule, M.E., Virtanen, R., Wardle, D.A., 2011. Trophic downgrading of planet Earth.  
331 *Science* 333, 301-306.

332 Gvozdenc, S., 2015. Animalni otpad iz cele Srbije obrađuje samo Proteinka. Radio-televizija  
333 Vojvodine 4th February 2015.  
334 [http://www.rtv.rs/sr\\_lat/vojvodina/sombor/animalniotpadizcelesrbijeobradjujesamoprotein](http://www.rtv.rs/sr_lat/vojvodina/sombor/animalniotpadizcelesrbijeobradjujesamoprotein)  
335 [ka\\_564816.html](http://www.rtv.rs/sr_lat/vojvodina/sombor/animalniotpadizcelesrbijeobradjujesamoprotein). Accessed 17 July 2015.

336 Jokić, G., 2012. Distribution, harmfulness and the possibility of rodents control in the field using  
337 different formulations of natural and synthetical rodenticides (PhD thesis. Belgrade, Serbia:  
338 University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture)

339 Kellert, S.R., 1985. Public perceptions of predators, particularly the wolf and coyote. *Biological*  
340 *Conservation* 31, 167-189.

341 Klare, U., Kamler, J.F., Stenkewitz, U., Macdonald, D.W., 2010. Diet, Prey Selection, and  
342 Predation Impact of Black-Backed Jackals in South Africa. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 74,  
343 1030-1042.

344 Krofel, M., Jerina, K., Kljun, F., Kos, I., Potočnik, H., Ražen, N., Zor, P., Žagar, A., 2014. Comparing  
345 patterns of human harvest and predation by Eurasian lynx *Lynx lynx* on European roe deer  
346 *Capreolus capreolus* in a temperate forest. *European Journal of Wildlife Research* 60, 11-21.

347 Kunz, T.H., Braun de Torrez, E., Bauer, D., Lobova, T., Fleming, T.H., 2011. Ecosystem services  
348 provided by bats. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1223, 1-38.

349 Lanszki, J., Heltai, M., Szabo, L., 2006. Feeding habits and trophic niche overlap between  
350 sympatric golden jackal (*Canis aureus*) and red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) in the Pannonian  
351 ecoregion (Hungary). *Canadian Journal of Zoology-Revue Canadienne De Zoologie* 84, 1647-  
352 1656.

353 Lanszki, J., Kurys, A., Helatai, M., Csanyi, S., Ács, K., 2015. Diet composition of the golden jackal  
354 in an area of intensive big game management. *Annales Zoologici Fennici* 52.

355 Margalida, A., Colomer, M.À., 2012. Modelling the effects of sanitary policies on European  
356 vulture conservation. *Sci. Rep.* 2.

357 Markandya, A., Taylor, T., Longo, A., Murty, M.N., Murty, S., Dhavala, K., 2008. Counting the cost  
358 of vulture decline—An appraisal of the human health and other benefits of vultures in India.  
359 *Ecological Economics* 67, 194-204.

360 Mateo-Tomás, P., Olea, P.P., Moleón, M., Vicente, J., Botella, F., Selva, N., Viñuela, J., Sánchez-  
361 Zapata, J.A., 2015. From regional to global patterns in vertebrate scavenger communities  
362 subsidized by big game hunting. *Diversity and Distributions*, OnlineEarly.

363 Mihelič, M., Krofel, M., 2012. New records of the golden jackal (*Canis aureus* L.) in the upper  
364 Soča valley, Slovenia. *Natura Sloveniae* 14, 51-63.

365 Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005. *Ecosystems and human well-being: Synthesis*. Island  
366 Press, Washington, DC.

367 Moleón, M., Sánchez-Zapata, J.A., Margalida, A., Carrete, M., Owen-Smith, N., Donazar, J.A.,  
368 2014b. Humans and Scavengers: The Evolution of Interactions and Ecosystem Services.  
369 *Bioscience*.

370 Moleón, M., Sánchez-Zapata, J.A., Selva, N., Donázar, J.A., Owen-Smith, N., 2014a. Inter-specific  
371 interactions linking predation and scavenging in terrestrial vertebrate assemblages. *Biological*  
372 *Reviews* 89, 1042-1054.

373 Mukherjee, S., Goyal, S.P., Johnsingh, A.J.T., Pitman, M.R.P.L., 2004. The importance of rodents  
374 in the diet of jungle cat (*Felis chaus*), caracal (*Caracal caracal*) and golden jackal (*Canis*  
375 *aureus*) in Sariska Tiger Reserve, Rajasthan, India. *Journal of Zoology* 262, 405-411.

376 Nelson, F., 2009. Developing Payments for Ecosystem Services Approaches to Carnivore  
377 Conservation. *Human Dimensions of Wildlife* 14, 381-392.

378 Newsome, T.M., Dellinger, J.A., Pavey, C.R., Ripple, W.J., Shores, C.R., Wirsing, A.J., Dickman,  
379 C.R., 2015. The ecological effects of providing resource subsidies to predators. *Global Ecology*  
380 *and Biogeography* 24, 1-11.

381 Ogada, D.L., Keesing, F., Virani, M.Z., 2012. Dropping dead: causes and consequences of vulture  
382 population declines worldwide. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1249, 57-71.

383 Oro, D., Genovart, M., Tavecchia, G., Fowler, M.S., Martínez-Abraín, A., 2013. Ecological and  
384 evolutionary implications of food subsidies from humans. *Ecology Letters* 16, 1501-1514.

385 Popović, Z., 1998. Hunting and production characteristics of Roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*).  
386 (MSc thesis. Belgrade, Serbia: University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture)

387 Posner, S.M., McKenzie, E., Ricketts, T.H., 2016. Policy impacts of ecosystem services knowledge.  
388 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113, 1760-1765.

389 Prugh, L.R., Stoner, C.J., Epps, C.W., Bean, W.T., Ripple, W.J., Laliberte, A.S., Brashares, J.S.,  
390 2009. The Rise of the Mesopredator. *Bioscience* 59, 779-791.

391 Ripple, W.J., Estes, J.A., Beschta, R.L., Wilmers, C.C., Ritchie, E.G., Hebblewhite, M., Berger, J.,  
392 Elmhagen, B., Letnic, M., Nelson, M.P., Schmitz, O.J., Smith, D.W., Wallach, A.D., Wirsing, A.J.,  
393 2014. Status and Ecological Effects of the World's Largest Carnivores. *Science* 343.

394 Roemer, G.W., Gompper, M.E., Van Valkenburgh, B., 2009. The Ecological Role of the  
395 Mammalian Mesocarnivore. *Bioscience* 59, 165-173.

396 Slamnig, S., 2015. Lešinama hrane pse. *Kurir* 26. February 2012. [http://www.kurir.rs/lesinama-](http://www.kurir.rs/lesinama-hrane-pse-clanak-142304)  
397 [hrane-pse-clanak-142304](http://www.kurir.rs/lesinama-hrane-pse-clanak-142304). Accessed 17 July 2015.

398 Spassov, N., 1989. The position of Jackals in the *Canis* genus and life-history of the Golden Jackal  
399 (*Canis aureus* L.) in Bulgaria and on the Balkans. *Historia Naturalis Bulgarica* 1, 44–56.

400 Šálek, M., Červinka, J., Banea, O., Krofel, M., Ćirović, D., Selanec, I., Penezić, A., Grill, S., Riegert,  
401 J., 2013. Population densities and habitat use of the golden jackal (*Canis aureus*) in farmlands  
402 across the Balkan Peninsula. *European Journal of Wildlife Research*, 1-8.

403 Trouwborst, A., Krofel, M., Linnell, J.C., 2015. Legal implications of range expansions in a  
404 terrestrial carnivore: the case of the golden jackal (*Canis aureus*) in Europe. *Biodiversity and*  
405 *Conservation*, 1-18.

406 Vicente, J., Carrasco, R., Acevedo, P., Montoro, V., Gortazar, C., 2011. Big Game Waste  
407 Production: Sanitary and Ecological Implications. *Integrated Waste Management – Volume II*  
408 (ed. by S. Kumar), pp. 97–128. InTech, Rijeka, Croatia.

409 Yirga, G., Leirs, H., De longh, H.H., Asmelash, T., Gebrehiwot, K., Deckers, J., Bauer, H., 2016.  
410 Spotted hyena (*Crocuta crocuta*) concentrate around urban waste dumps across Tigray,  
411 northern Ethiopia. *Wildlife Research* 42, 563-569.